22 January 1/7 Mountes of the Court / Centre

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Prosecution's Witnesses	Page
TANAKA, Ryukichi (resumed)	15867
Direct by Mr. Comyns Carr (cont'd)	15867
Cross by Mr. S. OKAMOTO " " Mr. Warren " " Mr. Brooks " " Mr. Blewett " " Mr. Logan " " Mr. SHIOBARA	15874 15921 15938 15940 15943 15946
Redirect by Mr. Comyns Carv	15949
(Witness excused)	15951

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

	Pros. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
587В	2224	Article appearing in the Japanese Newspaper "Yomiuri" on 5 November 1940 entitled "The Outline of Ten-Year Plan for Block Economy of Japan- Manchoukuo-China"		15952

1	Wednesday, 22 January 1947
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
5	FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal
	War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan
6	Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
10	
11	
12	
13	Appearances:
14	For the Tribunal, same as before.
15	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
16	For the Defense Section, same as before.
17-	
18	
19	
20	(English to Japanese and Japanese
21	to English interpretation was made by the
22	Language Section, IMTFE.)
23	
24	
25	

W MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International 1 0 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session. 2 f THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present 3 28 except OKAWA, who is represented by his counsel. That 4 Y e 1 will be taken to be the case until I state otherwise. 5 d Major Moore. 6 е LANGUAGE ARBITER (Major Moore): Mr. President, with the Trib nal's permission we present the following 8 language corrections: 9 Exhibit No. 2205-A, Record page 15,765, 10 line 1, substitute "higher authority" for "commander 11 of the above force." 12 Line 3, "12.40 P.M." is correct. 13 Record page 15,766, line 13, insert "not" 14 after "affair would." 15 16 There are no further objections to the 17 translation of this exhibit. 18 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr. 19 20 22 23 24 25

RYUKICHI TANAKA, recalled as a witness on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR (Continued)

Q General TANAKA, you have told us that the bureau chiefs met under the presidency of the War Ministry twice a week. All the time that General MUTO was Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau did you meet him on those occasions?

A Yes, he was in attendance at these conferences, generally speaking and on the whole.

Q How frequently did you converse with him apart from that during that period?

A Practically every day inasmuch as we had our noon meals together and also various dinners in the evening.

Q Were you working with him in the old War Ministry Building until it was destroyed and then in this building?

A Yes.

Now, in the course of your conversations did he tell you what his plans and views were?

A Not in detail but I heard his ideas in general

and on the occasion of the bureau chiefs' conferences and other dinner meetings.

Q Did you notice whether those views which he expressed to you were generally adopted or rejected by the War Minister?

A I think on the whole they were adopted by the War Minister.

Q Did he express to you his views with regard to war against United States and Great Britain?

A Yes, once in the course of a conference.

Q What were they?

A Shortly after the reply was received from Roosevelt to Ambassador KURUSU's compromise plan on the 25th of December at a meeting of the bureau chiefs of the War Ministry, General MUTO said that if Japan adopted the United States' proposal, proposed reply -- proposed plan in the reply -- then Japan would have to abandon her national policy, which was to establish the New Order -- the sphere of common prosperity in East Asia -- which was a long standing policy of the Japanese Government.

THE MONITOR: Correction: "December 25" should read "November 25," according to the witness.

A If Japan were to accept the reply it would be a case of gradual exhaustion of Japanese resources.

3 4

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

In order to prevent Japan from collapsing as a result of this gradual exhaustion and in order to keep alive the policy of the establishment of a sphere of common prosperity in East Asia, which has been Japan's national policy of many years, and also for which Japan had made many sacrifices, Japan might have to fight, according to General MUTO, in a statement he made at a conference of bureau chiefs on November 29, if I remember correctly.

Had he on any earlier occasion than those you mentioned expressed any view about war with the United States and Great Britain?

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, we object to this question. The witness has already answered that he only had this one conversation.

THE PRESIDENT: That is so, Mr. Carr, but we are not bound by technical rules of procedure here. Still we must conduct a just trial. I think with some doubt that the witness should be allowed to answer your question.

It is a fact that since the ABE Cabinet the Military Affairs Bureau had a policy, that is, it had one consistent policy which springs from the Tri-Partite Alliance among Japan, Germany and Italy. Whereas it was the policy of Germany and Italy to establish a

New Order in Europe, Japan parallel with that program should have a policy of establishing a New Order in East Asia. That was a consistent policy held by the Military Affairs Bureau.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, this answer is not responsive at all to the question. I ask it be stricken out.

THE PRESIDENT: It may yet lead to a responsive answer; I do not know.

MUTO about the establishment of the East Asia New
Order. As Chief of the Military Service Bureau of
the War Ministry, I have always from the side lines
observed, heard or saw through printed announcements
the policies as announced by the Military Affairs
Bureau -- as announced by the press section of the
War Ministry -- and I can say it as a fact that this
was a consistent policy of the Military Affairs Bureau.
The Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau must be held
responsible for all words and actions on the part of
officers in that bureau. That is all.

Q I will leave it there now.

General TANAKA, that leads to a question I was going to ask you later. Did the War Ministry take any part in the matter of press control?

3 4

5

6,

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2324

25

A Press control was in the hands of the press section, Military Affairs Bureau, War Ministry.

Q Now, do you remember any conversation with General MUTO about Ambassador KURUSU?

A I think it was on December 9, 1941, at a meeting held in a dining room of the old War Office Building on which occasion General MUTO in the course of an informal conversation said that the dispatch of Ambassador KURUSU to the United States, as well as the dispatch of the Tatsuta Maru, was nothing more than a sort of a camouflage of events leading to the opening of hostilities.

THE MONITOR: At the time of dinner, at the time of luncheon held at the bureau chiefs' dining room.

Q Was there a meeting of the bureau heads on the previous day, the 8th of December, the day of the Pearl Harbor attack?

A No, there was not. There was a meeting of all staff members of the War Ministry.

Q What happened at that meeting?

A Before War Minister TOJO issued his instructions to his staff we were standing by together and he said that at last the War Minister has become a hero.

Q Who said that?

4

3

,

,

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

2324

25

A Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, General MUTO.

Q Now, when General MUTO ceased to be Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau on the 20th of April, 1942, where did he go, what did he become, and where did he go?

A He went to Sumatra as commander-in-chief of . the Second Imperial Bodyguard Division.

Q Now about General SATO; who succeeded him as Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau? What had he been before that?

A He was Chief of the Military Affairs Section of the Military Affairs Bureau.

Q What were, as far as you observed, his personal relations with TOJO, the War Minister?

A Yes, he was held in confidence.

Q And do you remember General SATO telling you anything about the manner in which TOJO became Prime Minister?

A After War Minister TOJO became Prime Minister he came to my office and told me about the matter. It was-always the stand of the Military Service Bureau of the War Ministry that the army, outside of the War Minister himself, should not participate or interfer in politics; and I think that SATO's visit to me was

in connection with this matter by way of excuse -to explain his position in the matter -- and he told me that he had seen the two veteran generals, ABE and HAYASHI, to whom he said in speaking of the trend within the army itself, that unless TOJO is War Minister -- was made Prime Minister -- it would be difficult to control the army. I listened silently to his words on this matter.

MR. COMYNS CARR: That is all I have to ask, your Honor.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: I am counsel OKAMOTO, counsel for the defendant Akira MUTO.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel OKAMOTO.

19

20

23

24

bram & spratt

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. S. OKAMOTO:

Q You testified, Mr. Witness, that in order to carry the operational plans of the General Staff into execution you had to have the consent of the Military Affairs Bureau concerning the matters relating to the budget. Does that mean that the consent of the War Minister should be obtained?

A Yes, on the basis of regulations governing the handling of business, yes, but detailed consultation on that matter would be held between the General Staff and the Military Affairs Bureau, after which a plan is drawn up for the approval of the War Minister. That is a fact.

Navy Foreign and Home Ministries, the positions of the Bureau Chiefs are determined by the regulations in the Article 18 of the regulations governing the organization of various ministries; is it not a fact?

A I don't know as to the Foreign Office, but with respect to the Foreign Office or the Home Office — but in so far as the duties of the Chiefs of Bureaus in the War Ministry is concerned, that is determined by the regulations governing the organization of the War Ministry itself.

16

19 20

22 23

24

24

Mr. Witness, please refresh your memory. Is it not a fact that in the regulations governing the organization of the War Ministry there is no mention of the position of the Chiefs of -- Bureau Chiefs?

Well, I haven't seen the regulations governing the Bureau Chiefs in the various ministries, but having served as Chief of the Military Service Bureau 8 of the War Office, I know as a matter of fact that the duties of Bureau Chiefs in the Ministry are regula ted 10 by the regulations of that Ministry.

Although I am confident that what you are saying is wrong, I should like to ask you, Mr. Witness, to state in what articles are mentioned regulations governing the status of Bureau Chiefs, in the regulations governing the organization of the War Ministry?

Well, I don't know what article that appears in, but I know that the Bureau Chiefs are responsible to the War Minister, and that he should direct and supervise the work of his subordinates. If you want to ask me what article in the regulations of the War Ministry that is stipulated in, I shall be glad to answer if you will first show me those regulations.

> MR. S. OKAMOTO: May I show him the regulations? THE PRESIDENT: You may.

MR. OKAMOTOR I will send for it, and in the

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

meantime I will ask him another question.

THE PRESIDENT: We will have to be satisfied they are the regulations and all the regulations. You may have to want until you are giving evidence for the defense before you can establish that fully.

Q Now, this is a document thedered by the prosecution section.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: If this is a document tendered by the prosecution which is being shown to the witnes, it must have an exhibit number and must be identified.

- Q No. 74, exhibit No. 74, IPS No. 180.
- A Yes, I understand very well.
- Q What are the functions of the Bureau Chiefs?
- A Yes. Now, this is the regulations governing the organization of the War Ministry and the duties of the Bureau Chiefs, as I explained. This is in accordance with the regulations governing the duties of Bureau Chiefs of all the Ministry.
- You understand it now, Mr. "itness, don't you? It is stipulated -- the position or status of the Bureau Chiefs of the War Ministry is stipulated in accordance with general rules of Article 18 of general regulations governing the organization of

. 15

ministries, and according to that article various bureau chiefs should conduct his business in accordance with the orders from ministers of the ministries concerned; isn't that so?

A Yes, that is so.

o Then, from a wider point of view, the position of the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau is identical with the positions of, for instance, Chief of the Military Service Bureau, of which you were the head at one time, and the Chief of the Medical Affairs Bureau, or that of the Legal Affairs Bureau of the War Ministry, as well as Bureau Chiefs of other ministries.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we do not want a statement from counsel. We want the witness! evidence. Do not converse with the witness. Ask him questions.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: What I was trying to get out of this witness is that the position of the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau of the War Ministry is the same as the position of other bureau chiefs in the War Ministry or that of bureau chiefs of other ministries, because I have the impression that the position of the Bureau Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau of the War Ministry has been exaggerated.

THE PRESIDENT: You are still obliged to ask

questions and not carry on a conversation.

A The duties of the chiefs of bureaus are stipulated by Japanese law, as you say, Mr. Counsel; but, whether this bureau is important or not depends on the kind of business it handles as well as the time when such business is handled.

O This is just as you say. But what I am asking you, Mr. Witness, is not the content of the business but whether the bureau chiefs only handled business under their jurisdictions in accordance with orders from the Ministry?

THE MONITOR: That may be as you say, Mr. Witness; but what I am saying is: is it not true that bureau chiefs, whatever ministry he belongs to, acts in accordance with and carries out duties of his office in accordance with the orders given to him by the Minister of his particular Ministry?

A Yes, there is no difference between General MUTO or me in so far as acting in accordance with the orders of the Minister, but there is a very great difference when you consider the contents or kind of business of the duties handled by his office and mine.

TANAKA

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Then I will ask you another question. In cases where requests pertaining to operational, mobilization or organization matters made and presented to the War Ministry, how does the Military Affairs Bureau deal with it? I should like you to answer to this question in order.

This matter is handled principally by the Military Section of the Military Affairs Bureau in the War Ministry, and first of all, those consultations or negotiations are held among those in charge of the matter, and if there is no agreement between those in charge then it will have to wait agreement between the section chiefs concerned, and if no agreement is reached there then it will have to be brought up to the chiefs of bureau in the War Ministry concerned and the chiefs of the divisions in the General Staff concerned. In most cases it is customary for agreement on these matters to be reached between section chiefs and it is rarely if ever that the matter is brought up as high as the bureau chiefs. However, there are cases when conferences or consultations are held between War Ministry bureau chiefs and divisional chiefs in the General Staff office. Generally, when a draft plan is decided upon then it is submitted to the War Minister for approval.

7 8

That is all.

Q Then I will put my question this way: Then is it not a fact that in cases where the Military Affairs Bureau receives requests from the General Staff it reports first of all to the War Minister and to the Vice War Minister?

A If my memory is not incorrect, if matters which are handled by the bureaus and divisions between the two offices concerned are incomplete they are not submitted to the War Minister, and it is customary for matters to be submitted to the War Minister only when these plans are complete. But, of course, in cases of very important or urgent matters they are first of all presented to the War Minister and then submitted to the chiefs of bureaus and divisions for consultation.

Q The yearly annual operational plans are matters of extreme importance, and in case of requests made concerning such matters they are naturally reported immediately to the War Minister and the Vice Minister, is it not?

A As to the annual operational plans, I do not think that they come to the Military Affairs

Bureau from the General Staff. Such cases generally cannot occur, and under no circumstances, inasmuch as

4 5

the annual operational plans are highly secret and cannot be disclosed to the War Minister. If ever it does that would be an infringement on the duties or responsibilities of the high command.

Q Some words in my question were not heard probably. What I said was when requests were received from the General Staff based upon annual operational plans. That is what I said.

THE MONITOR: I am speaking of requests made by the General Staff of the War Ministry on the basis of the annual operational plan. I am not speaking of the operations plan.

A Concerning materiel and personnel.

That is what I mean to say. That is after the draft plans are completed. That is after the matter has been agreed upon and completed that the General Staff office formally makes its request to the War Ministry, but before any such plans are completed there is a great deal of informal negotiations and consultations between the two offices; that is, the War Ministry and the General Staff, and with respect to this matter the Military Affairs Bureau handles the matter for the War Ministry.

Q Did you say that of those matters those important are reported to the ministry, is it not?

TANAKA CHOSS

A Yes, that is correct.

Q In preparation, at such a time does not the Military Affairs Bureau submit the budget aspects of the matter to the Intendence Bureau of the War Ministry? Does it request the accounting of the budget to the Intendence Bureau?

A Yes, accounting is done by the Intendence Bureau, but it has no power of decision.

Q Then does the Military Affairs Bureau request the Military Service Bureau in connection with matters pertaining to personnel and horses, and so forth?

A The Military Section of the Military Affairs Bureau refers the matter to the section dealing with mobilization of materiel, and whether this request should actually be met or not is studied and decided by the Military Service Bureau.

Q Because of the fact that the Military Service Bureau is an expert, as it were, concerning matters of the personnel and materiel, is not the decision made by the Military Service Bureau, in the light of what I said, decisive?

A We can't decide, but it is a fact that what is decided upon by the Military Service Bureau serves as a basis.

TANAKA CROSS

Q Is it not a fact that concerning materiel, studies are conducted by the Materiel Mobilization Bureau, and concerning arms and weapons plans and studies are made by the Ordnance Department?

A Yes, that is correct. .

1.0

Eder & Luda

Q And then the results of those studies and investigations are brought together by bureau chiefs and their subordinates to be assembled and coordinated to establish over-all plan for the War Ministry; is it not a fact?

A The outline for such studies are made by the Military Affairs Bureau, and the various departments concerned conduct their studies in accordance with the established outline.

Q While the outline of the plans are decided, determined, by the Military Affairs Bureau, the overall plan of the War Ministry are decided by various ministries concerned, by their mutual consultations and under their common responsibility -- various bureaus of the ministry and of their common responsibilities?

A Yes, your question, Mr. Counsel, refers to what is customary to all ministries of the Japanese government; that is true. What you are referring to, Mr. Counsel, is what is customary in all ministries. But in the War Ministry, the Military Affairs Bureau established the outline, it handled policy, the budget, accounting, and, in a word, it handled -- it was the office wherein all matters were given final decision. And so it is a fact that the Military Affairs Bureau

had, in substance, the real control.

Q I reframe my question.

THE PRESIDENT: Probably we have heard enough about the bureaus and what they do and what their functions are. What we are concerned about is the activity of incividuals, and more particularly the accused, within those bureaus.

among government departments and sub-departments is very likely the same in Japan as elsewhere. We are concerned about the activities of individuals, and more particularly the accused. The other matter, of course, it is necessary to know; but I think we have heard enough about it.

The distribution of powers and responsibilities

MR. S. OKAMOTO: Then I will ask a question observing your suggestion, your Honor.

Affairs Bureau under General MUTO, the final plans were determined after consultation among various ministries - various departments and bureaus of the War Ministry in dealing with the requests coming from the General Staff to the War Ministry?

A As I think I have said in my reply before, the business routine itself is the same at all times, whether under MUTO or anybody else.

Q The final plans at the time which were made, were they reported to the War Minister and Vice War Minister in order to obtain their approval at the time when General MUTO was at the head of the Military Affairs Bureau?

A Yes, all matters are reported to the Minister, but if my memory is not incorrect, I think that they were not necessarily in all cases reported to the Vice-Minister.

1 2 3

O The requirements of various bureaus are first assembled and budgets compiled by the Intendance Bureau, and in following this procedure does the outcome of the budget necessarily become unnecessarily great?

A It is customary for the budget to become very much inflated.

7 8

O Then I want to ask you again a question about what happened during the time of tenure of office by General MUTO. Are the budgets curtailed, the excessive part of the budget curtailed after a conference in the War Ministry under the presidency of the War Minister?

A Curtailment of budgets are within the power of the Military Affairs Bureau and therefore if there is any budget or aspect of the budget that requires curtailment and is recognized by the Military Affairs Bureau, that is done.

O What I am asking was that whether the curtailment is decided at a conference sponsored -- ministerial budgetary conference sponsored by the War Minister and at which are present all the chiefs of bureaus.

A For two years I have been a chief of bureau in the War Ministry, but at no time have I ever

1/

attended such a conference nor have I ever been called to such a conference. I think that is a matter between the Chief of the Intendance Bureau and the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau.

O Then is one of your subordinates in attendance at the meeting with respect to the budget?

A My subordinates do not attend. They merely negotiate or consult.

General Staff has neither money nor material, while the War Ministry has the both -- has both, and is able to utilize them, but according to your explanation today any proposal or plan or request brought to the attention of the War Ministry by the General Staff office is studied in the War Ministry where a plan is drawn up, and that this plan is then brought to the Ministry of Finance. Isn't that it?

A I say that the General Staff has the power to ask but it has no power to decide; that power resides in the War Ministry. That is my point.

And therefore it is my view that money and materiel resides where the power of decision resides.

However, that power of decision is bestowed upon the Ministry by first negotiating with the Finance Ministry and then the Finance Ministry

presenting the plan to the Diet, which gives the approval to the plan, and then the power of decision is created. Isn't it a fact?

A Well, that is the legal procedure in so far as the budget is concerned, but what I was saying -- what I was doing was comparing the power of the General Staff and the War Ministry, and said that the power resides -- power of decision resides in the War Ministry because they have money and men and materiel.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel OKAMOTO.

BY MR. S. OKAMOTO (Continued):

Q Needless to say that the testimony that you are bound to make here is not neither allegory nor mere description of something. So will you try to testify more accurately?

A I am not using allegorical remarks or adjectives.

Q Perhaps I am mistaken, but I took this to be an allegory, the fact that the testimony went in to the effect that the general staff receives money and material of the War Ministry.

A Maybe my words were insufficient, but I mean to say that the War Ministry allocates money and materiel because in drawing up a budget, it naturally concerns money and materiel; and that is especially the case under a planned or controlled economy.

Q To put it more accurately, does that mean that the government asks the Firance Ministry for the budget whereupon the Ministry of War receives money and material?

A As I have said before, that is the matter of allocating the budget, and whatever concerns the Ministry of War, the Ministry of War allocates.

I am not speaking about the government budget but the budget as it concerns the War Ministry.

Q Then the budget obtained at the request of the general staff, after having obtained approval of the Finance Ministry and the approval of the Diet, is clearly determined as to the purpose of its use and it cannot easily -- readily be changed, is it not true? The War Ministry cannot on its own change the purpose of its use?

A That is true with respect to the budget in peacetime, but in wartime there is this extraordinary wartime supplementary budget which can readily be changed by the War Ministry at its own will with respect to all items included in that budget. I recall that since 1941 practically the entire war -- Army budget was included in the supplementary wartime budget.

Q Then as to its use, you testified previously, decision is made after consultations have been held between Chief of General Staff and the War Ministry, and the War Ministry cannot of its own free will decide the use of that budget?

A Yes, it cannot decide by its own will.

However, the power of decision resides in the War Ministry.

3 4

Q Then that means in the long run that -- in the final analysis the power of decision rests with the War Winister?

A In any branch of the government the final decision is made by the Minister.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsellor OKAMOTO, I would like you to tell us the point of your cross-examination. If I understand you rightly, you are attempting to show that the responsibility rests in one place, or rests really not in one place but is shared. What does it matter to the question of guilt or innocence whether it rests in one place or is shared? I would understand your duty to be to show that your client, at all events, had no part in these things. You do not do that by showing the responsibility was shared with others. These general budgeting matters are common to all countries, if I understand the situation.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: Mr. President, the prosecution have been trying to prove the importance -- in fact, the great importance of the role of the Military Affairs Bureau by calling witness TANAKA already twice and by other means.

THE PRESIDENT: The prosecution have

endeavored to show through TANAKA that individual accused were personally responsible in that they shared responsibility with others and not that they assumed the whole responsibility. All you have been endeavoring to do, if I understand you rightly, is to distribute the responsibility, and that gets you nowhere.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: I believe that I have succeeded to make it clear that the Chief of Military Affairs Bureau was merely a clerk or an administrator under -- or merely an officer or official who acted under the -- on the orders of the War Minister by the help of this witness. Then how the business is handled afterwards, and especially concerning that matter in relation to comparing with the Military Service Bureau of which the witness himself was connected, was there any difference?

General MUTO, as a soldier, was the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau at that time. However, whoever was put in his place, he would have done the same thing, the same thing under those circumstances. So that was the point I wanted to establish. However, I shall reframe my question.

Q Mr. Witness, you testified that the Military Affairs Bureau was the only bureau responsible for negotiating with ergans or with persons outside the War Ministry. However, was the Military Service Bureau not responsible concerning military training at schools and young men's associations -- and military training of the youth?

fied that all negotiations between the War Ministry and other departments of the gavernment were carried on by the Military Affairs Bureau. Is it not true that the bureau of which you were chief, that is, the Military Service Bureau, negotiates with the Education Ministry with respect to youth training and military training in the schools:

A Yes, on the basis of the regulations governing the organization of the War Ministry, the Military Affairs Section of the Military Affairs Bureau handles the matter, but at the time the Military Service Section -- Military Affairs Section was so busy that, with the understanding of that section, the matter was taken over and handled by the Military Service Bureau.

Q And is it not true that the section or bureau to which you belonged negotiated with the

)

Home Ministry pertaining to the matters of preservation of peace and counterespionage?

A Not with respect to the maintenance of law and crder; but my bureau has negotiated with the Home Office with respect to the question of counterespionage, that being one of the duties of my bureau.

Q Concerning matters relating to worsh's associations, did not the Military Service Bureau negotiate with Home and Welfare Ministries?

A With respect to that, we haven't negotiated with the Home Office, but we have consulted the Welfare Ministry.

Q With respect to other business handled by the War Office with organs outside of the Ministry, is it not true that matters pertaining to the procurement and mobilization of material the War Ministry would consult the Planning Board; with respect to labor, the Ministry of Welfare; and with respect to shipping and railways, the Transportation Ministry?

THE INTERPRETER: War Ministry should read War Mobilization Planning Bureau instead of as translated.

A Yes, business negotiations with various outside bodies is done by the Military Service Bureau and other bureaus with respect to matters

under their jurisdiction.

Now, concerning such programs -- imp: rtant programs -- as budget, for instance, wasn't
the Indendance Bureau responsible for negotiating
with the Finance Ministry and not the Military
Affairs Bureau?

A The Intendance Bureau serves as an adviser to the Military Affairs Bureau with respect to business negotiations with the Finance Ministry, but as to the contents of the budget itself, such negotiations are done by the Military Affairs Bureau itself.

Q I understood you to say, Mr. Witness, a while ago that Military Affairs Bureau was solely responsible for conducting negotiations with outside. Now, Mr. Witness, may I understand that you have rectified your previous testimony by your present statement?

A Yes, with respect to business negotiations, all bureaus in the War Ministry conducted them.

However, in carrying out certain plans -- in carrying out the actual negotiations with the outside, the Military Affairs Section and the Military Section in the Military Affairs Bureau was primarily responsible with all negotiations with the outside.

1 2

7 8

Q However, in the final analysis, is it not true -- is it not more correct to say that the Military Affairs Bureau were responsible as well as the Military Service Bureau and Materiel Mobilization Bureau and Intendance Bureau for handling business actually? I should like to get your answer in yes or no.

A Due to shortage of personnel, the various bureaus conducted negotiations with the outside, but they could not do so without the consent and approval of the Military Affairs Bureau, which, as I have said before, was principally responsible for negotiation with the outside, and my answer is emphatically no.

You have testified that all plans announced by the War Ministry are drafted and originated by the Military Affairs Bureau. Is that statement correct?

A May I correct that to say, on the whole or mest of it.

Q Were not matters such as defense of the homeland, national defense, land planning, city planning, counter espionage, and air defense not

TANAKA

2.2

originated and drafted by the Military Service Bureau as well as military conscription?

A Yes. It had drafted a plan, but it cannot publicize these plans without the approval of the Military Affairs Bureau, nor can it carry these plans into operation.

Q I am asking about originating of the plans.

A lnst applies also to drafting of the plans.

Q Does that mean the plans are not drafted at the Military Service Bureau?

A Will you read and study my last reply?

Q I thought you said that the Military Service Bureau drafted the plans.

A Even with respect to drafting of plans, the Military Service Bureau cannot carry it out into operation without the approval of the Military Affairs Bureau.

1
1:
U
72
r
C
-
e
-
10
n
L
b
-
-
0
20
r
100
~
8
2.0
n'e
à
å
å
å
å w
W
W
w h
w h
n h
n h
n h
w h
hal
hal
n h
hale
hale
hal
hale

	4	Do	es	the	mil	ita	ry	Affe	irs	Burea	u s	hare	the
resp	onsi	bil	ity	· wit	h t	he	mil	itar	y S	ervice	Би	reau	de-
pend	ing	on	the	nat	ure	oi	f th	ie ma	tte	ry			

A well, rarely; but the military Affairs Bureau requests the common responsibility of the military Service Bureau also.

tion and productive expansion, were these plans not drafted by the military Intendence Bureau and Mobilization Flanning Bureau?

a as this relates to national defense, the outlines of the plan would be prepared by the Military Section of the Military Affairs Bureau, and the plan is carried out within the scope of the outline as established.

THE FRESIDENT: Counselor OKAMOTO, I must tell you again I do not think any Member of the Tribunal is getting the least assistance from this cross-examination on generalities.

MR. S. OKAMOTO: Then I will change to another question -- change the subject in accordance with the suggestion of mr. President.

opinions expressed by General mUTO as Chief of the military Affairs Bureau were adopted by Prime Minister

ToJo -- war Minister ToJo. However, is it not true that most of the plans made by the chiefs of the military affairs Bureau were adopted generally and as a whole by the war Minister?

A There is a case of a chief of the Military affairs Bureau who resigned from the war Office as a result of conflictive views with the War Minister.

General MUTO is a very erudite politician, and as I observed from the side lines, General TOJO to a very great extent adopted the political and diplomatic views held by MUTO.

But, however, was General TOJO, or war Minister TOJO, a man who would accept without criticism opinions expressed by bureau chiefs?

views on certain subjects, on certain questions, which he held at all times, but on subjects with which he was not acquainted he received advice and opinions from others. As I said, His Excellency TOJO has little experience with respect to politics and diplomacy. Although this is my own subjective view, TOJO was very much taken over with the consistent aim and policy of the military affairs Bureau to which I referred before, that is, the concept of the axis with Germany creating a new order in Europe and Japan establishing a sphere

1 of common prosperity in East asia. This political 2 concept or idea held by the military affairs Bureau 3 was won over and was also the view held by General 4 TOJO. Let me give an example: This does not refer 5 to mar minister ToJO but to another accused, mar min-6 ister HaTa, who at the end of the cabinet of Premier 7 YONAI resigned from the cabinet because the army's 8 views on the Tri-Partite Alliance was not accepted 9 by the cabinet. Shortly after I became Chief of the 10 Military Service Bureau in 1940 I had occasion to 11 take dinner with General HaTa in Tsukiji, Tokyo, and 12 at that time I asked General HaTa why he took such an 13 attitude which was really against his ideas and his 14 nature, and he said that he was obliged to do so by 15 the influence of MUTO. Wer minister TOJO was a posi-16 tivist and did not mention such matters, but I think 17 he entertained the ideas and concepts of the Military 18 affairs Bureau at that time. I was asking about relations between war 20 minister TOJO and not with other persons such as General 21 HATA. I was merely trying to exclain the very great 22

23 influence and power held by the Military Affairs Bureau.

24 I just merely gave this as an example to show that with

25 respect to political and diplomatic questions the

willitary Affairs Bureau held sway, and those ideas and concepts were accepted by the Wor Minister. Had wer minister TOJO not accepted the views of the Military affairs Bureau he would have met the same fate os mer minister HaTA. Is it not your subjective view or your own 6 speculation? I base this on the way Japan moved since 8 then, and also by my observations with respect to the political activities of army officers at the time I 10 was Chief of the Military Service Bureau as a part of 11 my duty. It may be my subjective view but it is a 12 fact. 13 Does that mean that in spite of the fact you. 14 yourself, as Chief of the Military Service Bureau worked side by side with General MUTO who was at the time Chief of the military affairs Bureau, you cannot 17 stify -- give any complete examples or statements 18 concerning relations between MUTO and TOJO? 19 I did not speak of anything like that. 20 I have not heard any. 21 The fate which has befallen Javan speaks for 22 tself. There is nothing more eloquent than facts. 23 Then, mr. witness, you are not testifying on the

basis of the fact that you were present at the time

policies were discussed between MUTO and TOJO? Such policies are discussed in secret, and I had no authority to attend such meetings.

 Q Then it amounts only to your guess and your subjective views, doesn't it?

A It isn't speculation or guess. I'll give an example.

Q I'm asking for facts.

A I'm trying to give you an example. According to the regulations governing the War Office, the only person who is permitted to participate in politics is the War Minister himself. However, at a session of the Diet in 1940, War Minister TOJO said that the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau may also participate in politics in the House of Peers. Now, what does this speak of? Does this not say that the Military Affairs Bureau Chief was a very intimate aide de camp, politically speaking, of the War Minister?

Q .It only shows the way TOJO -- War Minister TOJO interpreted regulations governing the organization of the War Office, does it not?

A I am positive that, inasmuch as officers on the active list are not civilian officers and the War Minister himself is a civilian officer, they cannot participate in politics.

THE MONITOR: Therefore, I am positive that this is stipulated in the Japanese Constitution: namely, that the War Minister, who is by the status

2

5

7

.

10

12

13

11

14

1)

16

17

18

20

23

24

25

of his office a civilian officer -- active officers on the active list cannot be civilian officers and, therefore, cannot participate in politics.

Q Again changing the subject, are you not aware of the fact that War Minister TCJO frequently asked opinions of Bureau Chiefs and accepted their criticism?

A Yes, that is the nature and character of War Minister TOJO.

Of Then again I shall ask you, was not General TOJO's character like this: He was a man who acted on his beliefs, and he was ready to accept what was right. But, once he accepted something, he made it his own belief and acted upon it. Once he accepted opinions from his subordinates, he made it his own belief and acted on the basis of it?

A That is true.

Q Then, if ever TOJO accepted all what General MUTO said without any criticism, as you testified, does that not mean that he was not a man of belief -- that he did not act upon his ewn belief?

THE MONITOR: Then, do you mean to say that, in case TOJO accepted the views and beliefs and opinions of MUTO, he would not make it his own belief and act upon it?

7 8

A Yes. In so far as ordinary administration of duties were concerned, War Minister TOJO was very strict. But, in so far as political and diplomatic matters were concerned, his knowledge and experience were so shallow that he acted upon the views and opinions of MUTO.

Q I don't want to hear any more of your speculations.

Do you recall, Mr. Witness, an occasion where you and MUTO presented vour respective views to the War Minister TOJO whereat MUTO's views were rejected -- MUTO's opinions were rejected and yours accepted?

A Yes, I think there was such an occasion, but there there were also occasions where I was rejected.

Q Does it not mean that you and MUTO were treated equally as Chiefs of Bureaus by TOJO?

A I guess so, yes; as a Bureau Chief --

Q Mr. Witness, you testified here in this court on the 6th of January that General TOJO gave an immediate reply to a request or proposal made by General UEMURA, Chief of Prisoners of War Information Bureau, at the time of a meeting of Bureau Chiefs at War Ministry and gave an immediate reply.

	A Yes, that is correct.
1 2	Q Mr. Witness, have you ever heard instructions
3	by War Minister TOJO with respect to setting an
4	example in leading your men?
5	A Yes, twice.
6	Q Does it mean that a chief should act and
7	carry out plans determine his ideas on his own
8	responsibility?
9	A Yes. That is, to handle matters in a blitz
10	manner.
11	Q And TOJO followed this principle by setting
12	an example by his own actions, did he not?
13	THE MONITOR: He was the first to set this
14	example, was he not?
15	A That is correct.
16	Q On the 4th of January, 1941, when General
17	TOJO made a New Year's speech, were you present?
13	A Yes, I was there, and I heard him in the
19	large dining room of the War Ministry.
20	Q At that time, did not the War Minister say,
21	"You officers, never do anything that is outside of
22	your will or intention."?
23	A Not only that; he spoke of that matter
24	frequently on many occasions

Not only did he say that in his instructions,

5

4

7 8

6

9

11

10

12

14 15

16

17

19

18

20

22

23

25

but he never allowed himself to do anything which was not in accordance with his own will -- one with his own will.

THE INTERPRETER: Not only with respect to his instructions to others, did not War Minister TOJO, himself, do nothing that would be contrary to his own intentions, purposes and will?

A No, he would not if he found it out himself.

THE INTERPRETER: Not only in his instructions, but he also prohibited any actions that would be contrary to one's intentions, will or purposes.

The counsel's question should be corrected to read that, "Not only in his instructions, but he expected all at all times to act in accordance with his beliefs and intentions and will."

The reply of the witness was that, "Once he discovered anybody acting contrary to that, he would not readily pardon them."

THE PREFIDENT: We will adjourn until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

AFTERNOON SESSION W 1 1 2 The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess at 3 25 1330. Y 5 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International е 7 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. 0 7 THE PRESIDENT: Counsel OKAMOTO. n 8 9 RYUKICHI TANAKA, recalled as a witness 10 11 on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand 12 and testified through Japanese interpreters as 13 follows: 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. S. OKAMOTO (Continued): 16 Q Mr. Witness, are you an intimate friend of 17 General MUTO? 18 A Up to the time I became Director or Chief of 19 the Military Service Bureau I was a friend of his --20 an intimate friend. After becoming Chief of the Military 21 Service Bureau we were privately, as individuals, on intimate terms, but from the standpoint of the views held by the Military Service Bureau, that military men should not participate or interfere in politics 25 and the Military Service Bureau frowned upon the

	1	_
	1	
	1	
	1	
1	1	
	1	
	1	
2	1	
4	1	
	-	
	1	
3	1	
	1	
4	1	
5		
,		
-		
6		
7	-	
8	1	
0		
100		
9		
	1	
10		
10		
	-	
11		
11	1	
	1	
10	1	
12	1	
	1	
13		
	1	
14		
7.7		
	1	
15	-	
1)	1	
	1	
16		
	-	
17		
18		
10		
20		
10	-	

24

act	tions	of	the	Mil	itar	уА	ffairs	s Bu	ireau	ı, so	on	matte	ers
of	princ	cipl	e th	nere	was	a	confli	ict	betw	een :	the	two	
bui	reaus	. T	here	is	no	ill	will	of	any	kind	bet	ween	
us	as in	ndiv	idua	als e	even	to	day.						

- Q You graduated one period behind MUTO from the Military Academy, didn't you?
 - A Yes, you are correct.
 - Q And two periods behind from the War College?
 - A One year behind -- one term behind.
 - Q Were you his subordinate in the Kwantung Army?
- A From June 1936 to March 1937 I was a subordinate of his.
 - Q At that time was he kind to you?
 - A Will you repeat that question, counsel?
 - Q Was MUTO kind to you in those days?
 - A Yes, very kind.
- 9 However, after you became the Chief of the Military Service Bureau, I do not know what was the true relationship between you yourself and MUTO but the world at large said that you were opposed to each other -- in other words, you were not in good terms with each other; is that true?
 - A Yes, the public at large rumored to that effect.
 - Q Did you ever speak ill of MUTO?
 - A I said political participation was bad.

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Q Have you ever attended the Diet when you were Chief of the Military Service Bureau as one of the government committeemen?

A Yes, I attended the Diet in the spring of 1940 as a member of the government committee but at the next session of the Diet, in spite of the fact that there was a bill drafted by my bureau pending in the Diet, I was unable to attend because of the opposition of the Military Affairs Bureau. However, because Vice-Minister of War KIMURA said that I must attend that Diet in the spring of 1942 and therefore by his order, I attended the Diet.

Q At the time, the bold statement that you made at the Diet, was it not played up by the press and printed in the Japanese press with much publicity?

A Yes, it was very greatly publicized by the press.

Q Did the public say that TANAKA would be appointed next Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau?

A Yes, there was some who said that and there was some who came to me to ask me to become chief of that bureau.

Q How did you reply to your fans, so to speak?

A My reply was to the effect that I entertained no ambitions of becoming chief of that bureau but if

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2324

25

such an opportunity came I would positively take action to bring about the abandonment of political participation by the army.

- Q However, in your statement at the Diet, did you not express boldly your political views?
 - A What kind of political expression did I make?
 - Q If you do not remember it, it is all right.
- A What I wish to emphasize is that as Chief of the Military Service Bureau I said that the army should not play with politics and that any actions of that kind would be controlled by the bureau of which I was chief.

THE MONITOR: As long as anyone held a post in the army.

Q Don't you think that the fact you participated in the Diet as a member of the committee of the government and as the Chief of the Military Service Bureau constituted a political action -- participation in politics?

A A government committeeman is appointed by the government and his expressions in the Diet itself is free.

- Q That means within that scope you could freely express your political opinions; is that it?
 - A That as stipulated in the in-laws or in the

15,913

1 2

3

5

8

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

constitution is in reply to interpellations in the Diet.

Q Then I shall ask you, Mr. Witness, was it not the thing that you liked most, to attend the Diet and reply to the interpellations as a member of a political committee of the government, and the fact that MUTO, General MUTO, Chief of Military Affairs Bureau, tried to prevent you from this kind of activities offended you very much?

No. I was rather -- rather, I was happy that I did not have to attend the Diet, The petition to Vice-Minister of War KIMURA that I should attend the Diet in connection with the bill drafted by my bureau, that is, the military conscription order, was made by Chief of the Procurement and Material Mobilization Section, who on his own went to the Vice-Minister to get his approval and order for my attendance, and I scolded him for having taken such action. The man I reprimanded was Colonel SUGAI. Colonel SUGAI insisted that I attend inasmuch as the matter was in the jurisdiction and province and under the charge of my bureau of which I was chief, and he replied that therefore he went to Vice-Minister KIMURA on his own initiative and asked that I be permitted to attend. I entertained no desire whatsoever to attend the Diet

1	on this sort of a matter.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
2)	

Mr. Witness, you have testified in answering a question put to you by counsel Blewett on the 6th of January in this Court, that you never dreamt of becoming 4 Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau; that you never dreamt of even desiring to become a Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau. According to the English text, that is, the translation of your testimony in Japanese, words such as "I never expected nor hoped" are used. However, in the Japanese text, I mean in the Japanese, it was "I never dreamt of becoming such and such." Does that mean, according to you, definite mitigation of the intention? In short, in the English text of the transcript on January 6, you are interpreted as having said that you have not expected or desired to become Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, but in the Japanese shorthand transcript the following words are used, that you have never dreamt or hoped -- dreamt of becoming Chief of that bureau. Now, that is a stronger term than the English. What is your true expression on that subject?

Well, my -- the circle of men around me and my subordinates persuaded me to become Chief, but at no time ever entertained or dreamt of becoming or holding that office and I told these subordinates of mine that I had not even dreamt or entertained the ambition of

21

23

14

15

17

18

19

15,916

2.

,

becoming Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau nor am I the type to become Chief of that bureau. That was my reply to my subordinates and my circle of friends who persuaded me to take that office.

the spring of 1941, His Imperial Highness, Prince
KAYA, called upon me and told me that His Imperial
Majesty, the Emperor, entertained -- was very much
anxious and worried over the participation of the
army in politics and proposed me -- proposed that I
become Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau in order to
stop such military participation in politics and my
reply to His Imperial Highness was that I am not the
kind of person to do so nor could I realize such a
purpose and that I entertained no ambitions of becoming
Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau. Therefore,
I flatly refused his proposal or rejected his proposal.

Q Have you ever heard of public comments to the effect that TANAKA, because he did not become Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, holds a grudge against General MUTO and that he is testifying against his favor in the Court.

A There was such a reputation current at the time I was Chief of the Military Service Bureau that Major General TOMINAGA, as Chief of the Personnel Section --

19

23

24

25

Personnel Bureau of the War Ministry, came to me to ask me about it. At that time I told him my feelings on the subject such as I have already expressed and I 4 think TOMINAGA understood just where I stood on the 5 matter. I am not mixing emotions when I make any 6 expressions before this Tribunal. My expressions 7 in this Tribunal is for the purpose of giving expression, 8 giving voice to the cause why Japan has met her present 9 fate and that cause, I say, is the Army's participation 10 in politics and I should like to have -- let the truth 11 be known to the people in order to set this country 12 aright and also to let known these truths to our posterity. 13 I will change the subject.

Are you aware of the fact that in the course 15 of 1941 criticism was voiced from General Staff circles against MUTO to the effect that he was an exponent of weak-kneed American policy -- weak-kneed and compromising policy towards America?

Yes, there was such a criticism of him. At the same time in September 1941 I wrote an article under an assumed name in the Kaikosha Journal, that is, the Army Officer's Magazine, to the effect that Southern operations was dangerous and that there was no possibility or prospect of winning -- of succeeding in such operations and, therefore, not only MUTO, but I myself was strongly

15,918

TANAKA CROSS

1 2

criticised by the very strong and positive elements within the General Staff Office as being defeatist military men. That is a fact.

4 5

There were no political views expressed in that article and the subjects discussed in that article were with respect to military organization, military equipment and installation, training, and so forth and was written entirely from the military point of view and pointed how insufficient and deficient the training of the army was. I also heard from the then Colonel SATO, then Chief of the Military Affairs Section of the Military Affairs Bureau, that Lieutenant General MUTO's attitude towards the United States was very vague and abstract. That is all.

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q I wanted to ask of you MUTO's attitude towards the United States, however, Mr. Witness, you stated your own attitude towards the United States at that time. It helped me quite a lot. Thank you.

THE MONITOR: You have also stated your own position towards the United States and by so doing you have made the situation all the more clear. Thank you.

Q In connection with that matter, do you remember that military police -- contingent of military police was attached to MUTO to protect him against possible attacks from the rightest elements?

THE MONITOR: Rightest, positivist elements.

A Yes, I instructed the Kempeitai to give MUTO a bodyguard, and that was because it was shortly after an attempted attack upon the Vice President of the Privy Council, HIRANUMA.

Q Then, are you aware of the fact that Colenel IWAKUPA, who was sent to assist Ambassador NOMURA in the America-Japan negotiations on the recommendation of MUTO, then Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau?

A Yes, I know that very well, but that was by the order of the War Minister.

Q Are you aware of tha fact that at that time both the War Minister and the Chief of the Military

23

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

Affairs Bureau were endasvoring -- struggling hard to bring the Japanese-American negotiations to a successful conclusion? 3 4

Yes, I know that very well, and I also, in the event such a successful settlement were reached between the United States and Japan and during the possibility of a possible uprising within the Army by the rightest and positivist elements I had even made preparations with regard to stopping or preventing any such uprisings, such movements.

THE MONITOR: I was thinking of preparing to settle these things if it should rise. I even thought of it.

Immediately after an address -- the address was given by the War Minister in the afternoon -immediately after the mid-day of the 8th of December. 1941, did you or not tell General TOMINAGA that TOJO by this act became a hero?

Yes, General MUTO spoke to me before the War 20 Minister's address to his staff members, but I don't recall having had any talk with TOMINAGA after that address was given.

23 THE MONITOR: Slight correction. General MUTO told me -- made this statement to me before the War Minister delivered his address, but I don't

1 remember having said this to General TOMINAGA after the address. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren. 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 5 BY MR. WARREN: 6 Q Mr. TANAKA, in your testimony yesterday you 7 stated that at the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident 8 the head of the Special Service organization in Man-9 churia was General DOIHAKA. Do you desire to change 10 your answer at this time on that? 11 MR. COMYNS CARR: He said in southern Man-12 churia? 13 MR. WARREN: I am reading from the record. 14 your Honor, and I will read the entire answer and 15 answer his correction. (leading): "At the time of 16 the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident the head of 17 the Special Service organization was Major General 18 DOTHALA in Mukden." Do you want to change that answer? 19 THE PRESIDENT: What page. 20 THE WITNESS: I am ready to change it. 21 MR. WALREN: 15,857. 22 Q You state you are ready to change it? 23 A I said that he was Chief of the Special 24 Service Department at Mukden. Inasmuch as the Chief 25 of the Military Service Department was in charge of

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

small local areas, I said that he was in charge of the Special Service Department in south Manchuria, or, that is, Mukden.

You further stated that he remained at the head of that organization right down to the time when the control of the opium traffic was transferred. In answer to a previous question you had stated that the Opium Control Board was set up in 1935. Do you want to change those answers?

In my recollection, the Opium Control Board was -- the establishing of the Opium Control Board was completed in the spring of 1935. After that it became necessary for opium retailers to abide by the regulations and permission issued by the Special Service Department, and without such permission they were not permitted to engage in this traffic, and so therefore General MINAMI, then the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, ITAGAKI, Chief of Staff, and TOJO, later Chief of Staff of the Kwantung Army, took this authority away from the Special Service Department.

Just a minute. I am not asking for an explanation. I want to know if you want to change your answer to the question concerning DOIHARA, and as to the date of setting up the Opium Control Board. Please

4 5

answer my cuestion.

A I was speaking of the period when the Special Service Department was engaged in the opium traffic. As for General DOIHARA, he was Chief of the Special Service Department at the time of the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident, and after that he once returned to Japan and then went back to Manchur a in December. If my recollection is not mistaken, in December, 1934, as Chief of the Special Service Department. If there is any mistaken in my moment I shall be very glad to charge it.

recall the Captain NAKAMURA Incidents. That is an incident where a Chanese army captain was killed by Japanese soldiers and then his body burned in order to destroy the evidence of their guilt.

A Yes, I know that very well.

Q Do you know whether General DOIHARA was appointed by his government to investigate that matter?

A Yes, I taink he was ordered to do so.

I will ask you if he was not in Tokyo at the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident and was not in any way connected with the Special Service Department of the Army in Manchuria?

15,924

CROSS

TANAKA

A	Y	s,	I kı	NOI	that	the	Mano	churian	Inc	ident
broke c	ut 1	when	he	wes	in	Tokyo	to	report	on	the
Captain	NA!	KAMUI	RA :	Inci	dent					

Well, you didn't answer all of the question, Mr. TANAKA. I want to know if he had any connection whatsoever with Special Services Department of the Army in Manchuria at that time.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I submit, your Honor, my friend ought to make clear the date he is speaking of.

THE PRESIDENT: Now you are talking against the red light. I was waiting for that. If the red light stops me it stops you too, Mr. Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I would like to repeat my objection. The question was put "at that time." We have not yet heard whether my friend was referring to a particular date, and if so, what date, or to a period, and if so, what period. I submit that the witness should have his attention drawn to the period or date about which he is being asked.

MR. WARREN: I might suggest, your Honor, if counsel listens to the question he would know we are referring to the Mukden Incident; and for his information, according to the prosecution, it occurred on the 18th of September, 1931. I think the witness understands.

THE PRESIDENT: You mentioned the NAKAMURA Incident.

MR. COMYNS CARR: My friend has not answered the point in the least. The Mukden Incident may mean the precise date, the 18th of September, 1931, or my friend may be referring to and sometimes appeared to be referring to a much longer and undefined period of time. The witness is entitled to know which he is being asked about.

MR. WARREN: He is quibbling, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Do not say that.

MR. WARREN: I explained it was the 18th of

3

4

5

7

6

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

September, 1931. I am sure the withess understood that is the date I had reference to, the date of the Mukden Incident.

I will repeat the question in order to save time.

Q At the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident or the Mukden Incident on September 18, 1931, will you tell this Tribunal if General DOHIHARA had anything to do with special services in Manchuria?

A He was Chief of the Mukdon Special Service Organization, but at the time of the outbreak of the Incident he was in Tokyo. My recollection is that he returned to Mukden after the outbreak of the Manchurian Incident.

Q In what capacity did he return?

A My recollection is that after returning to Mukden he became mayor, provisional mayor of that city.

Q For one month; isn't that correct?

A I think he was concurrently Chief of the Mukden Special Service Department and mayor. I think he was mayor for a period of one month, of about one month.

Well, do you know?

A Since he was at that time Assistant Military

Attache of the Military Attache's office in Shanghai and saw telegrams from the Kwantung Army, I think I am right -- Military Attache's office of the Japanese Legation in China.

Q What, if you recall, was the context of any one of those telegrams?

THE PRESIDENT: That is too much to expect.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, that may be true, but he is basing it upon his recollection, trying to think things. He says, "I think"; he didn't say, "I know," and if he can't remember that tests his credibility. That is the reason I am asking him. However, if your Honor believes that is an extreme test I will not ask him.

THE PRESIDENT: So it is.

Q Now, to refresh your memory, I will ask you if the Opium Control Board was not set up, I mean not promulgated on November 30, 1930 and put into effect on January il-- wait, I am sorry -- November 30, 1932 -- correction on that date -- and put into effect on January 11, 1933?

A Yes, I think that is when the board was put into effect, but the actual completion of the organization of the Opium Control Board took place in April, 1935, at the time of the reorganization of the

Manchurian Government, Manchukuo Government. In my recollection the Opium Control Board up to that time was an organization in name and not in fact.

Q Then you insist that it wasn't until 1935 that they had an Opium Control Board?

THE PRESIDENT: He did not say that. He said they did not have an effective one until then.

MR. WARREN: That is quite right, your Honor. I withdraw the question.

Q And at a previous time when you testified from the witness stand, do you recall that you testified that shortly after DOHIHARA's term as Mayor of Mukden that he was sent into North China?

A I don't recall ever having said that in this Tribunal; but I do recall that after he served as Mayor of Mukden he went to Tientsin in China. At the same time my recollection is that his position as Chief of the Mukden Special Service Department remained, and that he merely made a trip to Tientsin on a special mission. That, of course, was by order of the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, General HONJO.

Q I ask you if it isn't a fact that he severed his connections with the Kwantung Army and was under the command of an entirely separate unit of the armies

of Japan?

A I think I am quite sure in saying that he returned to Mukden from North China in the spring of 1932 and returned to Japan as Divisional Commander of the HIROSHIMA Division, and that he again returned to Mukden as Chief of the Special Service Department in 1934. That is my recollection.

Q Well, to assist your recollection somewhat, I will ask you if he didn't become the Commander of the 14th Division in Japan?

case was appointed to a particular command. The

MR. COMYNS CARR: Would my friend kindly D 1 11 d tell the witness when he suggests he became Commender of the 14th Division? 3 & MR. WARREN: If your Honor please. E 5 THE PRESIDENT: It is easy. I will ask d him. 7 Were you ever Commander of the 14th Di-8 vision? Was DOHIHARA ever Commander of the 14th 9 Division? 10 THE "ITNESS: Yes. 11 THE PRESIDENT: When? 12 MR. WARREN: Your Honor, my objection was 13 this. I must pay attention to the red light. I 14 stopped. I had not completed my answer. It would 15 have been in there. I tried to tell Mr. Carr that 16 when he came up but apparently he didn't understand 17 me. The red light cut me off. 18 Now do you recall an occasion when he be-19 came the Commander of the First Division of North 20 China, and if you do what is your recollection on 21 that date? 22 IR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I submit it 23 is unreasonable to expect this witness to remember 24 the date on which every person concerned in this 25

dated are recorded in the personnel records and in DOHIHARA's case that is exhibit 104. THE PRESIDENT: Well, if they are recorded 4 here we don't want them again unless their accuracy is challenged. They constitute no memory 6 test and no test of credibility. If he has said anything inconsistent with those dates, well, you may cross-examine about that. MR. WARREN: Your Honor, to tell this witness the date when testing his credibility is 11 not cross-examination. He has made statements 12 and he has the statement which I have read to the Tribunal, or at least referred to, that General DOHIHARA remained the head of the organization from right on down until 1935. Now he has made that statement. THE PRESIDENT: Well if that is inconsistent with the record, with the exhibit already in evidence, you could refer him to the exhibit and that would be sufficient for our purposes, unless

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, this man's memory has been most convenient on direct examination. THE PRESIDENT: That is another question.

he could show that he held the dual capacity.

MR. WARREN: Yes, sir.

1 2

5

7

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 5

THE PRESIDENT: This is a very important witness and we hesitate to interfere with the cross-examination, but nevertheless there is a short way of cross-examining this man effectively. I have already suggested it.

MR. WARREN: I know you have, your Honor.

MR. CONYNS CARR: In view of what my friend has just said, I think I ought to point out that according to DOPIHARA's personnel record, exhibit 104, he was not appointed to the 1st Division, as my friend was asking about a moment ago, until May -- March 23, 1936, and he wasn't appointed to the 14th Division until March 1, 1937, both of which dates are long after the period of which the witness has spoken, which ended in April 1935.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I was testing this witness' credibility. Counsel has educated him. It is impossible to do so now. If this witness did not know those matters, it is a matter of record, of course; the Court knows it. But I am testing his credibility. If his memory is so lax, we want to know it.

THE PRESIDENT: The exhibit to which Mr. Carr refers shows that the witness' memory is sound.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I prefer that the witness ancwer from his own memory and not from prompting of counsel by objection.

I will proceed.

THE PRESIDENT: I repeat, the exhibit to which Mr. Carr refers confirms his recollection.

Where you stated that Major General ISHIHARA was in charge of Special Service Organization at Mukden when the Manchurian Incident broke out. You further state that for a short time someone's name whom you do not remember occupied that position, and then again Major General DOHIHAPA became the head of that organization. You later corrected that as I have called to your attention. May I suggest to you that your first designation of the person who was in charge was General ISHIHARA, if that is not the correct person who was

22 23

in charge, and not General LOHIHARA?

A That is not so. I said DOHIHARA, but I think the interpreter made the mistake and said ISHI~ HARA. I think it was a mistake in interpretation.

Q Well, how do you account for the fact that you said after DOHIHARA or ISHIHARA, whichever was correct, was the head of the Special Service Organization, that you mentioned another person who occupied it, and then continued to state, in additional question, that General DOHIHARA occupied that position up until 1935? Both statements could not be true. Will you clarify that?

A I did not say that he was the chief of the Special Service Organization up till 1935. I said in 1935 he was the chief of the Special Service Organization.

THE PRESIDENT: In fairness to the witness, you ought to quote far more from page 15,857 than you have quoted. At that page, he first mentioned Major General ISHIHARA as being in charge when the Manchurian Incident broke out. There is some confusion there, undoubtedly, and it may be, as he says, mistranslation, which could easily occur.

THE MONITOR: That point has been corrected by the Monitor, Mr. President. I think it will

state so further ahead in the record.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

BY MA. WARREN:

Q The President of the Tribunal has suggested that I read at length from your testimony yesterday, and I shall do so.

THE PRESIDENT. I have read all that we need.

Mh. WARKEN: Sir?

THE PRESIDENT: I do suggest that there has been some mistake about it.

here in which the word appears "correction." I don't know whether that was the witness. I took it that the witness made that statement. It may have been the interpreter. If it was the interpreter, it appears as the statement of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think you can effectively cross-examine on the assumption of a contradiction there. He started off by saying that ISHIHARA was the head of that organization at the time of the Mukden Incident. After all, you are only testing his credibility by way of testing his

memory.

MR. WARREN: That is quite true, your Honor. In addition to that, I am laying definite grounds for bringing in testimony at a later time to impeach the witness, if possible. Maybe I can clarify it in this manner:

Q Mr. TANAKA, did you mean that General DOHIHARA was the head of the Special Service Organization in the City of Muklen only?

A No, I said that he was Chief of the Mukden Special Service Department and at the same time Mayor of Mukden.

Q Now what I want you to do is to define the limitations of his command in regard to the, as you refer to it, Mukden Special Service Organization.

THE PRESIDENT: You know what DOHIHARA says about it. Put that to him and see whether he accepts it. That is the shortest and the conventional way.

MR. WARREN. That is quite true, your Honor; I know what General DOHIHARA says about it and I know what the Lytton report says about it. I want to know what this witness says about it.

There are two ways to attack the credibility of the witness: one is on direct examination and

3

6

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

the other is to come back later with evidence to show that he was mistaken; and that is what I want to find out. In other words, your Honor, I want to know exactly what this witness says and what he thinks under no misapprehension as to an error which may have occurred in the record. I want to impeach him on what he actually says when he isn't mistaken. I am not mistaken in what he means.

However, if your Honor feels that I am just taking the time of the Tribunal on that point, I shan't insist on it. I certainly don't want to do anything of that kind.

THE PRESIDENT: I feel you are about to impose a severe memory test, and if he fails it won't amount to much anyhow.

MR. WARREN: Very well, your Honor, Then, in deference to the feelings of the Tribunal I shan't proceed further with this witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. BROOKS:

Now, General, in reference to your testimony on court record page 15,857, line 25 to line 5 of page 15,858, was the setting up of this opium

control board for a good purpose:

- A Yes, you are correct.
- Q Now, wasn't this a measure to prevent bootleg and blackmarket activity in narcotics and to gain control of the sources of supply?
- A Yes, it is as you say, but there is also one more important purpose. Putting aside opium addicts, the other purpose was to prevent new opium smokers.
- Q Thank you, General. And wasn't it also the plan to restrict the use of opium, gradually eliminating the addicts that had previously existed?
 - A You are correct, yes.
- And as this was also a result, because prohibitory laws had failed to stop the use of pium and sources were available to addicts and new addicts were being created previous to this time, is that not correct?
 - A You are right.

MR. BROOKS: I think that is all.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Court please:
CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. BLEWETT:

Q Were you not as Chief of the Military Affairs and Discipline Bureau absent from Tokyo on many occasions in pursuance of your responsibilities?

THE MONITOR: Mr. Counsel, by "Military

Affairs and Discipline Bureau" -- do you have the

Japanese spelling of that, that is, the Romanized

spelling? You see, we can't translate these bureaus

by the English words. We are not sure of it.

THE INTERPRETER: Mr. Blewett, we do not like to guess here. You said "Military Affairs and Discipline Bureau." That name has not come up so far. We have the name "Military Service Bureau" and "Military Affairs Bureau."

THE MCNITOR: There are two bureaus, Military Service Bureau and Military Affairs Bureau. You may have something else in mind. We cannot tell.

MR. BLEWETT: That was referred to the last time this witness testified. Ask him "Military Affairs Bureau."

A I have never been a Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau.

Q Well, as Chief of the Military Service Bureau,

. 12

	1
1	1
2	
3	-
4	-
	1
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	-
10	-
11	
12	
13	
14	-
15	
16	To a serious
17	
18	
19	100000
20	
21	
22	
23	
THE R	

25

were you not absent from Tokyo or many occasions in pursuance of your responsibilities and on your job?

A Yes, I was.

Q I see your point, General. I am sorry.

It is "Service"--"Military Service Bureau", that was the department of which you were the head of, that is correct, isn't it?

A Yes.

Q Now, then, were you not out of Tokyo on official business during part of November and December, 1941?

A I was out of Tokyo in October, but not in November or December.

Q On what date did SATO speak to you about the Prime Minister situation?

A That was two or three days after TOJO formed the Cabinet.

Q Did not SATO tell you at that time that the War Minister suggested that one of the Royal Household be selected as Prime Minister by the Emperor?

A He did not mention anything about the Imperial House. He said, that is, SATO said that TOJO was the best choice for Prime Minister for the

TAI AKA

2

3

5

6

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

purpose	of	controlling	the	Army.
---------	----	-------------	-----	-------

And that statement made to you was made 6 subsequent to the time that General TOJO assumed the position of Premier, was that not right?

A Yes.

Was not the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau selected by the War Minister?

A You are right.

Q Was he not the sole authority in such selection?

A Yes, you are right.

Were you ever asked at any time by General TOJO to be Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau?

A Not even orce.

If, as you say, you were opposed to the policies of the military, why did you remain in office until your resignation was requested in the fall of 1942?

A I resigned.

Now, General, were not all these conversations between yourself, MUTO and SATO, simply friendly exchanges on social occasions and in no way corrected with official business?

A You are correct.

MR. BLEWETT: That is all. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. LOGAN:

Q General, you say that HONJO, General HONJO, was the one who made up his mind that Manchukuo must become an independent state. At least that is what was conveyed to you in a conversation, is that correct?

A It was in a talk with General ITAGAKI.

The independence of Manchuria carnot be effected without the decision of General HODJO.

Q And that was his sole idea, that is, HONJO's idea, is that correct?

A Yes, that is true in the light of his official position of responsibility.

Q When that idea got back to Tokyo, the Government was opposed to it; and by the Government you mean the Cabinet, I suppose?

A Yes, I mean the Cabinet.

Q And not only was the Cabinet opposed to it, but there was a divergence of views among the Army as to whether or not it should be done, isn't that so?

A That is positively a fact.

Q In other words, there was no conspiracy between all these men, was there?

3

5

6

7

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

19

20

21

23

24

25

MR. COMYNS CARR: I must object to that, if the Tribunal please.

MR. LOGAN: I withdraw the question.

Q And you spoke of the sphere of common prosperity in your testimony this morning. By that do you mean the Co-Prosperity Sphere?

A What I mean is to flourish together by cooperating together.

Q By that do you mean the Co-Prosperity Sphere, those words exactly?

A Yes.

Q You said this morning that idea was a long-standing policy of the Japanese Government. Isn't it a fact, General, that those words were rever used until 1939?

A I do not say that this phrase was used for a long time in Japan; but, as you say, it is a fact that it has been in use since 1914.

THE INTERPRETER: That was the statement of the witness.

Q Those words "Co-Prosperity Sphere" have been used in Japan since 1914, do you mean that, General?

A The idea that Asia must mutually cooperate has been in currency for a long time, but the word "Co-Prosperity" care into currency about the time of

the ABE Cabiret when a plan for the establishment of a trade ministry was under consideration.

Q In other words, those words "Co-Prosperity Sphere" have no implication of any invasion, have they, military invasion?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I object to that question, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: This amounts to swearing the issue if he answers. You can ask him what the name implies in the way of action on the part of Japan?

BY MR. LCGAN (Continued):

Que Do those words imply any military action on the part of Japan?

A The words "Co-Prosperity Sphere" in itself is a very fine expression and does not include anything military -- any military action.

MR. LOGAN: Thank you, General.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1		MR. SHIOBARA:	Counsel SH	IOBARA.
2		CROSS	-EXAMINATION	(Continued)
3	BY MR.	SHIOBARA:		
	0	To it noceihl	e for a wice	-minister w

Q Is it possible for a vice-minister, who has not the status of a state minister, to work -- to act in the place of the War Minister?

A Absolutely not.

Q Was the Accused KIMURA ever appointed a state minister?

A No.

Q Then in cases where TOJO was absent on business and other matters was it not a fact that the position, the post of Vice War Minister remained -- I mean the position of War Minister remained vacant and no deputy or acting War Minister was appointed?

A You are correct.

Q Since the War Minister TOJO was appointed concurrently Prime Minister, did he remain mostly at the official residence of the Prime Minister and so preoccupy himself solely with the business of the Prime Minister leaving the business of the War Ministry in the hands of his vice-minister, or did he as previously continue to look after the business of the War Ministry at the same time?

A He cannot, that is, the War Minister cannot

15,947

1

3

4

6

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

leave matters pertaining to state affairs in the hands of Vice-Minister KIMURA. War Minister TOJO himself took the leadership in supervising the work of the War Minister.

In your testimony which you made either on the sixth or seventh of January, you said that the War Vice-Minister had no power of decision but did the vice-minister have executive authority, that is, to carry out the business routine within the War Ministry?

A No. Only matters delegated to the viceminister could be carried out by him pertaining to the business of the ministry.

In Article 16 of the regulations governing organization -- general regulations governing organization of ministries, and in the functions of viceminister is stipulated as follows: "The vice-minister shall look after the business of his ministry and supervise various bureaus and sections." By supervision do you mean that the vice-minister, if bureau chiefs and section chiefs did not obey his orders, he had the power to compel them to execute his orders or to punish them?

THE MONITOR: Not "do you mean to say that they had" but "did he have the power to punish or

3

5

7 8

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

force the bureau chiefs to obey his orders."

A No.

Q Now, turning to the question of opium, since the policy which Mr. Brooks mentioned was adopted in Manchuria, did the number of opium smokers among the Manchurian -- among the leaders -- Manchurian officials and leaders in Manchuria decrease?

A I have indirectly heard that the number decreased but not knowing the fact I cannot answer positively,

Q Do you remember in what year the ABE
Cabinet planned to set up a Foreign Trade Ministry,
Foreign Trade Department, established the Foreign
Trade Department? In what year did the ABE Cabinet
establish the Foreign Trade Department, if you know?

A I think the ABE Cabinet was formed in September, 1939, and its primary purpose was to set up a Foreign Trade Ministry but, because of the strong opposition of the Foreign Office, the plan proved abortive. That is the principal reason for the fall of the ABE Cabinet.

MR. SHIOBARA: That is all. This concludes all the cross-examination.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. COMYNS CARR:

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, there is only one matter I need refer to on redirect examination, and that is exhibit 74, the regulations about which the witness was asked this morning. We find that in the translation Article 10 has been accidentally omitted. It reads as follows: In the Military Affairs Bureau there are the Military Administration Section and the Military Affairs Section." The next article, numbered, in your copy, 10, should be 11, and lists the business of the Military Administration Section of which No. 5 is: "Matters concerning the general control of the military estimates." Then Article 12 lists the affairs of the Military Affairs Section: "1. Matters concerning general affairs of national defense policy;

"2. Matters concerning international regulations;

"No. 4. Matters concerning general affairs of national mobilization" it should be instead of demobilization;

"5. Matters concerning army affairs of Manchuria and China and others concerned with them;

"6. Matters concerning army affairs of foreign countries other than Manchuria and China."

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I don't know exactly what my friend is doing here, if he is correcting an exhibit or reporting from the Language Section or whether he is cross-examining the witness or just what it is. Frankly I don't know.

THE PRESIDENT: Obviously it is preliminary to the asking of a question on re-examination, but if there is any contest about this correction it should be referred to the board. If the correction is not agreed upon, Mr. Carr, I suppose you will have to refer to the board.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Quite so, your Honor. The main purpose in which I was engaged was drawing the attention of the Tribunal to the regulations about which the witness was being cross-examined this morning which were not before the Tribunal while he was being cross-examined. Incidentally, I find it is necessary to make those two corrections.

"7. Matters concerning connection affairs with the Imperial Liet;

"8. Matters concerning popularization of national defense spirit and counterplan for nation's thoughts."

BY MR. COMYNS CARR:

Q The question I want to ask the witness is

7 8

this: Was the section of which you have told us that SATO was the head before he became head of the whole bureau, was that the Military Administration Section or was it the Military Affairs Section?

A He was chief of the Military Affairs Section.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Thank you. That is all
we have to ask him.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is excused on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused)

THE PRESIDENT: Will you finish tomorrow,

Mr. Carr?

MR. COMYNS CARR: I hope so, your Honor.
THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.

Tribunal: I propose to introduce two documents to complete the case against the Accused HOSHINO. The first is prosecution document No. 587B. It is a page from the Japanese newspaper Yomiuri of the 5th of November, 1940, containing an article "The Outline of Ten-Year Plan for Block Economy of Japan-Manchoukuo-China."

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 587B will receive exhibit No. 2224.

ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 2224 and received in evidence.) LIEUT. COLONEL MORNANE: I tender the article itself in evidence. I propose to read excerpts marked in the exhibit. THE PRISIDENT: You propose to read quite a lot of this document, Colonel? We will adjourn until half past nine tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 1550, an adjournment was taken until Thursday, 23 January 1947, at 0930.)

(Thereupon, the doran nt above re-

