



**association of university and college employees**

September 24, 1982

Libby Nason  
Employee Relations  
Campus Mail

Dear Libby:

Re: Lisa Stenger

It is the Union's position that the Appointment Notice would constitute the final word as long as it has not been tampered with. I note with interest that the Appointment Notice in this instance was filled on August 17, 1982; after my initial inquiry into the matter. At the time I wrote to you we had received no copies of the Appointment Notice but we had received a different severance notice (copy enclosed) from the one you copied for me.

There is still a question in my mind as to whether these forms have been tampered with as a result of an error on the University's part or as a result of the Union's inquiry. Am I being unreasonably suspicious? Can you explain the difference in the severance notice? Please advise.

Yours truly,

Patricia House  
Secretary-Treasurer  
AUCE Local 1

cc: Lisa Stenger