#### Record of Proceedings

of the

### INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST

Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan

The United States of America, the Republic of China, the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Commonwealth of Australia, Canada, the Republic of France, the Kingdom of the Netherlands, New Zealand, India, and the Commonwealth of the Philippines

-Against-

ARAKI, Sadao; DOHIHARA, Kenji; HASHIMOTO, Kingoro; HATA, Shunroku; HIRANUMA, Kiichiro; HIRO-TA, Koki; HOSHINO, Naoki; ITAGAKI, Seishiro; KAYA, Okinori; KIDO, Koichi; KIMURA, Heitaro; KOISO, Kuniaki; MATSUI, Iwane; MATSUOKA, Yosuke; MINAMI, Jiro; MUTO, Akira; NAGANO, Osami; OKA, Takasumi; OKAWA, Shumei; OSHIMA, Hiroshi; SATO, Kenryo; SHI-GEMITSU, Mamoru; SHIMADA, Shigetaro; SHIRATO-RI, Toshio; SUZUKI, Teiichi; TOGO, Shigenori: TOJO, Hideki; UMEZU, Youshijiro;

-Accused-

#### Official Court Reporters

Jack Greenberg, Chief Fred T. Abram James F. Barton Antoinette Duda Samuel Goldberg Robert B. Morse Johit J. Smith Daphne Spratt Elvira Whalen Julian Wolf Lorraine Yelden INDEX Of

# WITNESSES

Prosecution's Witnesses	Page
SEJIMA, Ruizo	8093
Direct by Colonel Rosenblitt	8093
Cross by Dr. KIYOSE "" " Mr. Blakeney " " Mr. McManus	8103 8109 8123
Redirect by Colonel Rosenblitt	8125
MATSUMURA, Tomokatsu	8138
Direct by Colonel Rosenblit	8138
Cross by Dr. KIYOSE " " Mr. SHIM NOUCHI " " Mr. Furness	8147 8151 8152

# INDEX

# Of

## EXHIBITS

	Pros. No.	Description	For Ident.	In <u>Evidence</u>
2462	826	Text of Statement by Defendant TOJO, Hideki, the then Prime Minister on the occasion of the Declaration of War by Germany and Italy on the U. S. A. and the Con- clusion by Japan of the Military Agreement with Germany. Published in the Newspaper "Asahi" on 12 Dec 1941	8060	

2462 826-A

Excerpt therefrom

8060

## INDEX

Of.

WITNESSES

(Cont<sup>i</sup>d)

Doc. No.	Pros. No.	Def. No.	Description	For Ident,	In Evidence
2461	827		Excerpt of Speech of Defend- TOJO, Hideki the then Prime Minister made on 16 April 1944 at a meeting of Mixed Specialists Com- mission convened in accord- ance with the Tripartite Pact	8063	
2461-A	827-A		File of Newspeper "ASAHI- SHIMBUN" for April		8063
2528	828		Telegram sent by SHIGEMITSU on 11 December 1944 to Ribbentrop and Mussolini, published in the newspaper "Nippon Times."	8065	
2528	828-A		Excerpt therefrom		8066
590	829		Symposium "Kanpo" dated 22 January 1945 containing speech of Defendant SHIGEMITSU at the 86th Session of the Diet made on 21 January 1945	8067	
590	829 <b>-</b> A		Excerpt therefrom		8068
4052	830		Photostatic Copy of Telegram sent by Ott, German Am- bassador in Japan and Kretschmar, German Military Attache in Japan 25 July 1941 (No. 1355)		8071
2595 <b>-</b> A	831		Certificate of MIYAMA, Yozo,		
			dated 7 August 1946		8075

# I N D E X Of EXHIBITS (cont'd)

	Pros. Det No. No		For In Ident. Evidence
1973	832	Photostatic Copy of the Directive of the Kwantung Army Headquarters of 16 Sept 1941 signed by UMEZU, Yoshijiro	8081
2153	833	Affidavit of Major MATSUURA, Kusuo, dated 18 May 1946	8092
2673	834	Affidavit of the Witness SEJIM Ruizo, dated 27 Sept 1946,(i the Japanese language)	A, n 8094
2467	835	Statement of General KITA, Seiichi,(written by him- self in the Japanese language) 20 Apr through 23 Apr 1946	8127
2672	836	Affidavit of Witness MATSUMURA Tomokatsu, dated 27 September 1946 (in the Japanese language)	8139
1981	837	Affidavit of OTSUBA, Kajuma, dated 29 March 1946	8160
1982	838	Affidavit of KUSABA, Tatsumi,	8164

8,057

	A
1	Friday, 18 October, 1946
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST
5	Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building
6	Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
10	
11	
12	
13	Appearances:
14	For the Tribunal, same as before.
15	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
16	For the Defense Section, same as before.
17	
18	
19	
20	(English to Japanese, Japanese to
21	English, Russian to English and Japanese to
22	Russian interpretation was made by the
2.3	Language Section, IMTFE.)
24	
25	

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

1

2

3

4

5

6

77

8

9

10

11

15

21

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Minister Golunsky.

MR. GOLUNSKY: If the Tribunal please, about the names of those two sailors killed on those ships, I have verified the whole matter and it shows that there is no mistake in translation and, as it appears from the document, the situation is this. On the seas December 18 the ship "Svirstroy" was shelled by Japanese and two men, Kovernikov and Elagin, were killed. This is exhibit 819.

12 As for the second ship, "Sergey Laso," 13 mentioned in the exhibit 820, it was not shelled; 14 it was only bombed from the airplanes and nobody was killed during this bombing on this ship. After this 16 the crews of all the ships were brought ashore and 17 pooled together, and when they were ashore a shell 18 from an English ship broke into the house where they 19 lived and two men, Krivoruchko and Bochko, were 20 killed by that shell. The document does not show from which ship those two men were but their names 22 are mentioned in both exhibits, 819 and 820.

With the Tribunal's permission, I would like to take another matter up now about the document 2462.

8,059

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

夏

2

3

4

5

6

7

13

23

24

25

MR. BLE ETT: If the Court please, on the 16th I objected to the admission of document 2462 on the ground that no rule had been requested for the admission of the excerpt.

THE PRESIDENT: That number does not recall the document to me. Has it been admitted?

MR. BLEWETT: It was refused, your Honor.
""e have now checked the newspaper from which this is
an item and, while there may be other objections sustained as to the introduction, to save time, I shall
withdraw my objection to its admission.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, at the 14 15 close of yesterday's proceeding the prosecutor made 16 a statement which appears on page 8054 of the record. 17 I quote: "I conclude my statement with a presentation 18 of several documents proving that up till 1945, in-19 clusive, the Japanese Government, including the 20 defendants TOJO and SHIGEMITSU, repeatedly proclaimed 21 their loyalty to the alliance with Hitlerite Germany." 22 Following that statement there was intro-

duced into evidence as exhibit 825, a document dealing with economic agreements between Germany, Italy and Japan, dated January 21, 1943. I wish to deny any implication that SHIGEMITSU had anything to do with these agreements and call attention to the fact that exhibit 123 shows that he did not become Foreign Minister or part of the Government until April 20, 1943.

1

2

3

4

3

25

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, General Vasiliev. 6 GENERAL VASILIEV: I shall present in evi-7 dence the text of this statement of the defendant 8 TOJO, the then Prime Minister, on the occasion of 9 the declaration of war by Germany and Italy on the 30 United States of America and of the conclusion by FF Japan of the military agreement with Germany and 12 Italy. This statement was published in the newspaper 13 "Asahi" on December 12, 1941, document No. 2462. At 14 15 the same time I present for identification this news-25 paper in a file for December, 1941.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 2462 will be given exhibit No. 826 for identification only and the excerpt therefrom bearing the same
document number will be given exhibit No. 826-A.
("hereupon, prosecution's document
No. 2462 was marked prosecution's exhibit No.

826 for identification; the excerpt therefrom was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 826-A and

İ	was received in evidence.)
1	GENERAL VASILIEV: I quote an excerpt from
2	TOGO's statement:
3	"The world is now divided into two groups;
4	one that vainly struggles to maintain the status quo,
5	and the other, who earnestly strives to establish the
6	rightful new order; and they are fighting the greatest
7	battle that has ever occurred in history.
9	"With just cause and substantial power, I
10	believe without doubt victory is ours.
11	"I now offer my hearty congratulations on
12	the alliance of the three countries in becoming
1.8	stronger than ever, and herewith express my firm belief
14	in our glorious future."
15	The second document showing the tenacity
16	in the realization of aims of the conspiracy and the
17	unity in aggressive war of the German-Italian-
18	Japanese bloc is a radio address of the defendant
19	SHIGEMITSU made on September 27, 1943, on the occasion
20	of the third anniversary of conclusion of the Tri-
21	partite Pact. The text of this speech has been taken
22 23	from a symposium of Official Announcements Concerning
25	Foreign Relations. The entire publication has already
25	been presented by me for identification and two pub-
	lished speeches concerning the question under

consideration are presented in evidence, exhibit 773-A.

I quote brief excerpts from this speech containing the gist of the matter, page 1 of the English text:

"We are marching forward toward the fulfill-6 ment of our great mission with an unfaltering spirit 7 regardless of what happens on the way. No matter to 8 what machinations and maneuvers Britain and America 0 may resort in order to induce the Badoglio regime to 10 fresh acts of betrayal and bad faith, the Axis TT Alliance remains unshaken. The Pact of Alliance 12 shines forth as brightly as ever to illumine our 王器 14 road to victory."

15

-

2

3

4

鸭

I omit a few lines.

15 "It is because our very existence was 17 actually endangered that we have at last taken up 18 the sword. Indeed, this war is to us no other than 19 a war of self-defense. This is precisely the reason 20 why we have steeled our determination to fight it 21 through until we gain the ultimate victory." 22 The third document which I present in 23 evidence on this same issue is a speech of the 24 defendant TOJO, the then Prime Minister, made on 25

April 15, 1944, at a meeting of Mixed Specialists

Commission convened in accordance with the provisions 1 of the Tripartite Pact. This document, No. 2461-A. 2 is a file of the newspaper "Asahi Shimbun" for April 3 1944 which I present for identification, while the 4 text of TOJO's speech published in the newspaper 5 "Asahi" on April 16, 1944, I tender in evidence, as 6 I have said already. 7 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 8 No. 2461 will receive exhibit No. 827 for identifica-9 tion only. 10 ("hereupon, the document above 11 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 12 No. 827 for identification.) 13 THE PRESIDENT: The speech is admitted on 14 the usual terms. 15 16 CLERK OF THE COURT: And the excerpt there-17 from, to-wit, prosecution's exhibit No. 2461-A will 18 receive exhibit No. 827-A. 19 ("hereupon, the document above 20 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 21 No. 827-A and was received in evidence.) 22 GENERAL VASILIEV: I quote a few excerpts 23 from this speech: 24 "They have mobilized their full force and 25 are now pressing the borders of the Axis territories -- in the East and the West. Despite their efforts our strong and solid camp has not even shown the slightest stir. The firm conviction of ultimate victory and the undefeatable positions of Japan, Germany and Italy have been all the more strengthened.

"I am always paying my respects to the brave 6 and courageous fight put up by the Germans and 7 Italians in Europe; \*\*\*. Through the complete 8 cooperation given in war efforts by the countries 9 and nations within the Greater East Asia Sphere, and 10 the utilization of the abundant important resources 11 for armaments, our position of ultimate victory is 12 being strengthened day by day. Our Empire will 生马 14 maintain this position to the last, and resolutely 15 deal blows to the enemies and thereby fight through 16 this war to the end."

I continue to quote page 2 of the English text:

"Even though battle fields may be apart, to the east and the west, the necessity arises for us, the Axis nations, to cooperate and concert much more closely and to increase our fight against our common enemies, America and Britain.

"To meet this situation, Japan must carry on connections with the countries of Germany, Italy

1

2

3

4

3

17

18

19

and the other Axis nations in Europe on a closer 1 basis, and smash all Anglo-American plots to segre-2 gate Japan from the other Axis nations. We will 3 then be able to advance together towards the achieve-4 ment of common objective and thus, with the collabor-3 ation of Europe and Asia, gain the ultimate victory." 6 The fourth document on the same issue is a 7 congratulatory telegram sent by SHIGEMITSU on December 8 11, 1944, to Ribbentrop and Mussolini on the occasion 9 of the third anniversary of signing the military 10 alliance. The telegram was published in the news-11

paper "Nippon Times" on December 12, 1944. I present this number of the "Nippon Times" in a file for identification and the text of the telegram in evidence, document No. 2528.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
 No. 2528 will receive exhibit No. 828 for identifica tion only.

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 828 for identification.)

19

20

21

22

THE PRESIDENT: The excerpt is admitted on the usual terms.

25 CLERK OF THE COURT: And the excerpt therefrom bearing the same prosecution documentary number 1

2

3

á

53

6

1

28

9

10

11

\$2

13

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

("hereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 828-A and was received in evidence.)

GENERAL VASILIEV: I quote brief excerpts from the telegram containing the gist of the matter:

"I also recall that on December 11, 1941, the three nations, concluding a new treaty, firmly pledged themselves to fight out the common war until final victory and simultaneously clarified again to the world their common ideal of establishing a new world order."

I omit a few lines.

"For three years since then, the Armed 14 15 Forces of the three nations have achieved numerous 16 brilliant war results in the various battlefields of 17 the east and west."

I continue to quote on page 2:

"We firmly believe that after all Europe will be saved by Hitler and Mussolini.

"The war situation in Greater East Asia as 22 everyone knows, has today entered the decisive stage. Confident of final victory both on the first line and on the home front, we are fighting this decisive war to a finish."

8,067

I omit a few lines. Quote at the bottom of page 2:

1

2

3

4

"Undoubtedly the decisive war is progressing in our favor.

"We harbor unwavering faith in the fact that the struggle for justice will emerge victorious in the end. As long as Japan, Germany, Italy and other Axis nations are solidly united, we need entertain no doubt as to the successful outcome of the war."

Finally, on the same issue, namely, the 10 tenacity in the realization of the aims of the con-11 12 spiracy and the unity in aggressive war I present the 13 fifth document. It is the text of the defendant 14 SHIGEMITSU's speech at the 86th Session of the 15 Diet made on January 21, 1945, that is to say, only 16 three months prior to the unconditional capitulation 17 of fascist Germany. 18

I present for identification the symposium "Kanpo" dated January 22, 1945, in which this speech can be found, document No. 590. An excerpt from this speech is tendered by me in evidence.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 590 will receive exhibit No. 829 for identification
only.

("hereupon, the document above

į	referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
1	No. 829 for identification.)
2	THE PRESIDENT: The excerpt is admitted on
3	the usual terms.
4	CLERK OF THE COURT: And the excerpt there-
. 5	
6	from bearing the same prosecution documentary number
7	will receive exhibit No. 829-A.
8	("hereupon, the document above
9	referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
10	No. 829-A and was received in evidence.)
11	GENERAL VASILIEV: Quote on page 3 of the
12	English text:
13	"The combination between our empire and the
14	other allied countries is becoming firmer and firmer,
15	and we are convinced of carrying out the current war
16	successfully to the last together with our allied
17	countries at any cost.
18	"I am convinced that it is our most sacred
19	mission to exert ourselves to carry out, together
20	with our allied countries both in the East and West,
21	the severe battles destined to exploit the future of
22	
23	mankind in order to accomplish the common war aim of
24	making clear international justice in the war of
25	self-existence and self-defense."
	"ith this I conclude the presentation of

· · · · · · · · · · · · ·

evidence. Further evidence on the question of planning and preparing the attack on the Soviet Union by Japan in the course of Soviet-German war will be offered by my colleague, Colonel Rosenblit.

-

2

3

4

5

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Rosenblit.

COLONEL ROSENBLIT: Beginning now on the 6 8th of October of the current year the Tribunal ruled 7 that prosecution document No. 2367, which is an ex-8 cerpt from the defendant ARAKI's talk with ISHI"ATA. 0 reported in the "Kokumin Shimbun," be accepted in 10 evidence conditionally and the scope of the transla-11 tion or the translated portion be increased on the 12 13 request of defense counsel so that the Court might 14 ascertain whose words were quoted by us, whereupon 15 the excerpt was given exhibit No. 667-A. We have the 16 full text of the talk which was published in the 17 "Kokumin Shimbun" of August 14, 1941, translated -18 and served on the Court and the defense counsel. 19 As to the Japanese copy, the full text of it had been 20 distributed beforeeour presentation of the case. 21 Now it is clear from the full text of the transla-22 tion that the passage quoted by us and recorded on 23 pages 7309 and 7310 of the Court report is ARAKI's 24 own speech. I respectfully ask the Tribunal to rule 25 that the above-mentioned exhibit No. 667-A be

unconditionally accepted.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21.

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: The condition has been met. COLONEL ROSENBLIT: Now I enter upon the presentation of evidence concerning the plans of attack on the U.S.S.R. which were being worked out by the Japanese Army General Staff during the Soviet-German war.

I respectfully bring to the memory of the Tribunal prosecution document No. 1652, exhibit No. 779, presented by my colleague in prosecution, General Vasiliev, containing the resolution of the conference in the presence of the Emperor, July 2, 1941. It was decided at that conference to go on with the preparations for war against the Soviet Union and to wait for a suitable moment to solve by force of arms Japan's northern problems, to-wit, her claims upon the territories of the Soviet Union.

I do not believe it is necessary to quote the said document again.

I shall submit evidence to the Tribunal which will show how the Japanese General Staff carried out the decisions of that Conference of the 2d of July, 1941.

Now I submit to the Tribunal as evidence a photostatic copy of the telegram sent by Ott, German

Ambassador in Japan, and Kretschmar, German Military Attache in Japan, July 25, 1941, No. 1355, to 1 Reichsminister for Foreign Affairs, and concurrently 2 3 to the higher command, OKW, and to the Army General 4 Staff, OKH, prosecution document No. 4052. 5 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 6 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 7 No. 4052 will receive exhibit No. 830. 8 (Whereupon, the document above 9 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 10 No. 830 and was received in evidence.) II COLONEL ROSENBLIT: In this telegram (tt 12 and Kretschmar state their impression of the progress 13 of the mobilization proclaimed by the Japanese after 14 Germany's attack on the U.S.S.R. This telegram will 15 prove that this mobilization was carried out for the 16 17 purpose of war against the U.S.S.R. I quote the 18 full text of the telegram: 19 The draft of reserves slowly beginning "1) 20 in Japan and Manchukuo on 10 July and the following 21 days, suddenly reached a large and no longer conceal-22 able extent, especially in the 1st, 4th, 7th, 12th 23 and 16th division, and continued until today in 24 decreased strength. Until the middle of August 25 supposedly about 900,000 (nine hundred thousand)

reservists are to be drafted, that is the 24 to 45 year olds; among the eldest, however, only specialists like drivers, technicians, people able to speak Russian, etc. After that, another 500,000 (five hundred thousand) reservists, are supposedly available.

1

2

3

4

5

6

25

"2) Together with the drafting of the
reservists on 10 July /orders came for/ a draft of
horses, motor vehicles, etc., and a little later,
instructions to firms to provide military goods of
consumption, like foodstuffs, candles, and others
till the end of September at the latest.

"3) On 11 (12) July limitation of the
use of foreign languages in the teletype and telephone communications. In addition, starting 20 July
mail censorship on foreigners' letters, also within
Japan.

"4) Since 12 July prohibiting of travel by
railway, ship, and airplane for foreigners on gradually
all along distance lines within Japan and to Korea,
China, Formosa. Japanese are also prohibited from
entering Sachalin. Japanese students have to remain
in the vicinity of their residence.

"5) Since about 10 July transporting of troops, beginning with Quartermaster troops, technician troops and artillery of the 16th and 1st division and transport of reservists from Japan. Goal: Seishin and Pashin for troops and reservists, Tientsin and Shanghai only for reservists.

"6) Since the middle of July preparation in Manchuria for billeting and the arrival of troop transports. In addition increased transport of military goods, which may be interpreted as the establishing of supply bases."

Further on in this telegram Ott and Kretschmar give their considerations as to the Japanese military plans and the time of Japan's attack on the Soviet Union. I continue quoting the telegram:

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

.

2

0

#### "7) My impression:

"(a) Besides the increase of Japanese troops
in Manchuria and probably also in North China, a new
army group is being formed in Korea.

18 "(b) According to conversations with officers 19 of the General Staff, besides the Japanese forces in 20 Manchuria and Korea apparently also parts of the 21 North China Army are to be used for action against 22 the Soviet Union. No clarity regarding the Japanese 23 operations plan. But it is probable that it will not 24 be limited only to an attack on the Vladivostok area 25 and in a northerly direction, but will also

simultaneously start in the direction of Lake Baikal, along the Manchurian Railroad, through Chita, and from the area of Kalgan through Outer Mongolia.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

17

18

19

"(c) Time of start /of operations/ unknown. One fact which might bear this out is that, in my opinion, the deployment of troops will take until about the middle of August, and that General OKAMOTO several times mentioned in his conversations that Japan would only start when the German units had reached the Volga.

"(Signed) Kretschmar, Ott."

12 Evidence has been produced to the Tribunal 13 that the Japanese Army General Staff destroyed oper-14 ation plans and other secret documents in August 1945. I refer to the testimony given by Lieutenant -16 General KAWABE, Torashiro, former Deputy Chief of the Japanese Army General Staff, which is to be found on pages 7677 and 7678 of the records of the Court.

In his testimony KAWABE acknowledged the 20 fact that those secret documents had been destroyed 21 22 by the department and section chiefs of the Army 23 General Staff during the period from August 13, 1945, 24 to the date when the order of the Allied Powers was 25 received, by which order destroying documents was prohibited.

8.074

1.

2

3

4

5

6

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SMITH: If your Honor please, I object to the prosecutor recapitulating testimony given by a witness and stating what it proved.

THE PRESIDENT: He is tying in certain evidence which is desirable.

7 COLONEL ROSENBLIT: To corroborate KAWABE's 8 testimony I offer in evidence a certificate of MIYAMA, 9 Yozo, Chief of correspondence section, 1st Demobiliza-10 tion Bureau of August 7, 1946. It is clear from 11 KAWAPE's testimony that operation plans of Japan as 12 well as other secret documents, pertaining to preparing 1B war against the U.S.S.R. cannot be submitted as they 14 are not in the possession of the 1st Demobilization 15 Bureau, prosecution document No. 2595-A. 16

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
No. 2595-A will receive exhibit No. 831.
(Whereupon the document above

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 831 and was received in evidence.)

8,076

S	1	COLONEL ROSENBLIT: I quote the full text of
p r	2	the certificate.
a t	3	"CERTIFICATE
t	4	"Aug.7, 1946.
£	5	"The undersigned does hereby certify that
Du	6	it is impossible to submit you the following docu-
d a	7	ments, as they are not in our possession now.
	8	"1.) All the files of 1st and 2nd Depart-
	9	ments of Japanese General Staff bearing upon the plan-
	10	ning of war against the USSR. (Otsu and Kan-Toku-
	11	En Plans).
	12	"2.) The correspondence of the General
	13	Staff and the War Ministry of Japan with the Head-
	14	quarters of Kwantung and Korean Armies on the ques-
	15	tion of military preparation of Japan for a war
	16	against the Soviet Union.
	17	"Yozo MIYAMA."
	18	"Chief of Correspondence Section,
	19	lst Demobilization Bureau."
	20	Therefore we cannot present in evidence to the
	21	Tribunal the original plans of war. However, by a
	22	series of affidavits and other evidence, we shall first
	23	of all prove that in the Summer of 1941 the Japanese
	24	Army General Staff elaborated a special plan of a
	25	speedy preparation for war against the U.S.S.R. under

the cipher "Kan-Toku-En", and secondly: we shall 1 establish the contents of that plan. 2 I quote in evidence an excerpt from the 3 affidavit of Lieutenant-General MIYAKE, Matsuhara 4 of February 22, 1946 which has been tendered to the 5 6 Court (Prosecution document No. 1950, Exhibit No. 699). 7 Quote from page 8 of the English text: 8 "Answer: A document was delivered from the 9 KWANTUNG ARMY Headquarters to the Central Headquarters 10 office of the KYOWA-KAI late in 1941: on the cover 11 of the document there were written the words: "KAN-12 TOKU-EN". 13 "Answer: It was stated in this document that 14 300,000 laborers for military construction were de-15 manded by the KWANTUNG APMY Headquarters from the 16 MANCHUKUO Government." 17 Now I quote in evidence an excerpt from the 18 affidavit of Major-General AKIKUSA, Shan of February 19 22, 1946 which has already been tendered to the Tri-20 bunal (Prosecution document No. 1983 Exhibit No. 743). 21 Quote from the middle of page 6: 22 "Question: What do you know concerning the plan 23 of Kan-toku-en (A special grand maneuver of the Kwan-24 tung Army)? 25 "Answer: From the conversations with Colonel

8,077

Saburo HAYASHI, Chief of the Russian Section of the Second Department in the General Staff and other officers, I learned that the plan of the Kan-toku-en was quickly drawn up after the start of attack on the Soviet Union by Germany in 1941 and its contents were the plan of preparation of military operations for the purpose of taking military aggression against the Soviet Union by Japan. And in connection with my duties I was personally aware of some measures with regard to the 'Kan-Toku-En' plan."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I quote further from the bottom of page 7: "Question: Did you see any documents stamped 'Kan-toku-en!?

"Answer: Yes, in 1943 I saw such documents concerning a financial account of the expenditure which was paid out of the han-toku-en budget."

I quote in evidence an excerpt from the affidavit of the Japanese TAKABE, Rokuzo, former Chief of the General Affairs Department of the Manchoukuo Government, of March 26, 1946 (Prosecution document No. 2239 Exhibit No. 670). We have already presented this affidavit. This excerpt will prove that the "Kan-Toku-En", the literal translation of which is "Special Manceuvres of the Kwantung Army" was actually a ciphered plan of strengthening the Kwantung Army for the purpose of attacking the USSR.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

0

10

11

12

18

14

15

16

17

18

19

25

I quote an excerpt from page 3 of the English text:

"Question: What do you know about the measures by the 'Kwantung Special Manoeuvre,' the plan called "Kan-Toku-En'?

"Answer: The 'Kwantung Special Manoeuvre' was a name for keeping secret the actions of the Kwantung Army. Actually the Kwantung Army was reinforced by this plan. According to this plan there were orders concerning the delivery of food and other things, supplying laborers, and transportation of goods.

"Question: When and by whom were you made to know the existence of the 'Kantokuen'?

"Answer: I heard about it at the beginning of July SHOWA 16 (1941) from KURCKAWA, Chief of the Fourth Section of the Kwantung Army Headquarters, and also from UMEZU, Commander of the Kwantung Army.

"Question: What kind of concrete things did UMEZU say concerning the 'Kantokuen' plan? 20

"Answer: During his talk with me, UMFZU told 21 me about the increasement of the troops of the Kwan-22 tung Army and demanded the delivery of food for these 23 troops. 24

"Question: Under your command what measures

should be taken by the Manchurian Government regarding 1 the 'Kantokuen' plan? 2 "Answer: First of all, the delivery of goods 3 necessary for the reinforced troops, transportation 4 of these goods, the mobilization of laborers, and 5 anything else necessary for the Army. 6 "Question: When were the measures of the Man-7 churian Government according to the Kantokuen'plan 8 to be realized? 9 "Answer: Its measures were to be realized with-10 in six months. " 11 We are able to confirm the existence of the 12 "Kan-Toku-En" plan still in another way. 13 We present to the Court as evidence, a photo-14 static copy of the directive of the Kwantung Army Head-15 quarters of September 16, 1941, signed by the Command-16 17 ing General of the Kwantung Army, the now Defendant 18 UMEZU, Yoshijiro, that the army interpreters for the Kwantung Army be given additional education (Prose-19 20 cution Document No. 1973). 21 We present this directive so that the Tri-22 bunal may have a doc mentary evidence that in Sept-23 ember 1941 there really existed the "Kan-Toku-En" plan; 24 and secondly that in order to carry out the "Kan-Toku-25 En" plan, intensive training of Russian Interpreters was necessary.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney. 1 MR. BLAKENEY: I should just like to point 2 out to the Tribunal that this is another document 3 emanating from the Red Army Chief Military Prosecution 4 Department in Moscow, and, therefore, I assume would 5 be admitted, if at all, conditionally as in the past. 6 MR. GOLUNSKY: If the Tribunel please. 7 yesterday night we received from Moscow an answer to 3 our inquiry about this document, and the certificate 9 containing the information received has been dis-10 tributed today. 11 12 THE PRESIDENT: Well, the condition stands until the certificate is tendered in evidence later. 13 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 14 No. 1973 will receive exhibit No. 832. 15 16 (Whereupon, the above-mentioned 17 document was marked prosecution's exhibit 18 No. 832 and received in evidence.) 19 COLONEL ROSENBLIT: I quote the full text of 20 the document signed by General UMEZU. 21 "The students of foreign language schools 22 in Japan and Harbin College (Harbin Gakuin) who have 23 been employed as Army interpreters on Russian lang-24 uage since the opening of the "Kan-toku-en" should 25 be given supplementary education, in accordance with

8,081

8,082

	the Outline of Supplementary Education for Kwangtung
1	Army Interpreters', as explained in the separate book-
2	let.
3	"Sept. 16, 1941
5	"Commander, Kwantung Army UMEZU, Yoshijiro."
6	Now it remains for us to present evidence
7	as regards the contents of the 'Kan-Toku-En' plan.
8	I shall now deal with the affidavit of Feb-
9	ruary 21, 1946 of Lieutenant-General TOMINAGA, Keoji
10	former Chief of the Operation Department of the Army
11	General Staff, who was later on appointed Vice-War
12	minister. This affidavit has already been submitted
13	to the Tribunal and partly quoted by Colonel Ivanov
14	(Prosecution document No. 1984 Exhibit No. 705)
15	I quote in evidence an excerpt from the afore-
16	said affidavit, page 6 of the English text:
17	"The Kan-Toku-En plan was elaborated in the
18	middle of 1941, as war broke out between the U.S.S.R.
19	and Germany. The war situation was not favorable for
20	the Red Army and we expected that the U.S.S.R. would
21	transfer her troops from the Soviet Far East to the
22	western front. On account of this, we supposed that
23	we could easily occupy the Far East."
24	I skip one line and quote further:
25	"The 'Kan-Toku-In' plan was based upon the

1

operation plan of the Army General Staff drawn out by me in 1940. As I have mentioned before, according to the former plan, the attacks on all fronts were supposed to be brought about in turn with rather long intervals between them; but according to the 'Kan-Toku-En' plan all the blows were to be dealt simultaneously."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

TOMINACA then explains the defendant TOJO, Hideki's point of view concerning the 'Kan-Tohu-En' plan.

I quote further from page 7 of the English text:

"Answer. In 1941, TOJO said to me in a 13 conversation: The resent time is very suitable 14 for an aggression against the U.S.S.R. because 15 we can carry on war without encountering any strong 16 resistance from the Soviet Army. ! He further said, 17 'The Japanese Army would gain a great prestige by 18 attacking the U.S.S.R. at a time when it is ready 19 to fall to the ground, like a ripe persimmon. ' TOJO 20 also said that it was necessary to increase the num-21 ber of present units in Manchuria, as a way of prompt 22 mobilization of the Kwantung Army. 23

<sup>24</sup> "Question. Do you confirm that TOJO had a 25 strong intention of aggression against the U.S.S.R. at that time?

8,033

### "Answer. Yes, I do."

The question of strengthening the Kwantung Army according to the 'Kan-Toku-En' plan is likewise disclosed in a series of other affidavits which I shall present later.

Hy colleague, Colonel Ivanov, has already presented to the Court as evidence, a report of the Red Army General Staff concerning the increase in strength of the Kwantung Army and the Japanese Army, in general, for the period from 1931 through 1945. (Prosecution document No. 2237, Exhibit No. 706).

If the Tribunal please I shall once more 12 refor to this document and call it to the Tribunal's 13 attention that by January 1, 1942, the strength of 14 the Kwantung Army had reached, 1,100,000 men, 15 and at the same time the number of tanks in the 16 Kwantung Army was doubled, whilst the number of air-17 planes had even tripled in comparison to those of 18 1937. 19

We offer in evidence the affidavit of Major MATSUURA, Kusuo, of May 18, 1946, who was Chief of the Ciphering Service of the Japanese forces in Inner Mongolia, and later was assigned the same position in the Kwantung Army. (Prosecution document No. 2153). This document will show that in the same period the

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

1	Japanese Army in Inner Mongolia was also preparing to
2	attack the U.S.S.R.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. BLAKENEY: If the Tribunal please, I wish to call attention to at least one objectionable question and answer in this affidavit, which I submit should be removed from consideration of the Tribunal. I refer particularly to the top of page 3. The affidavit has very few questions quoted verbatim, but the entire affidavit gives evidence of having been the result of leading questions. And at the top of page 3 we see a perfect example of a question which is leading and which also assumes facts which have not been disclosed earlier by the witness. Therefore, I object to that question and answer and request that they be disregarded.

> THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled. Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: May I address the Court? THE PRESIDENT: I had called on you to do so. You are not using your earphones, and you are making me repeat things unnecessarily, which I resent.

23 MR. McMANUS: If your Honor please, this 24 might not be the propitious time, but in view of 25 the fact that I am somewhat confused, I think if 26 the Court will grant me that privilege of making my statement concerning my client, I should like to call the attention of the Court to make a simple statement that in exhibit 787 the name of ARAKI is not the accused in the dock. I make that statement for the simple reason that several other counselors made that statement, and my silence might have militated against me. I would just like that noted in the record. I am sorry that I am interrupting the proceedings at this time, but I would like it noted in the record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Rosenblit.

COLONEL ROSENBLIT: I shall quote excerpts from the aforesaid affidavit which will show how the Japanese Command was preparing for a major war against the U. S. S. R. in the fall of 1941.

Quote from page 3 of the English text:

"After the beginning of the German-Soviet War, about June 23-24, 1941, Gen. OKAMURA, Commander of the North China Area Army, called by cipher telegram Lieut.-Gen. AMAKUSU, Commander of the Mongolia Stationary Army, and Col. KABURAGI Masataka, senior staff officer in charge of military operations, to the North China Area Army Headquarters in Peking. The above two left for Peking about June 24, 1941. "On returning from Peking, about July 5, 1941,

8,087

Lieut.-Gen. AMAKASU Tataro called all commanders of the forces under his command for an assembly. It appeared that directives concerning preparations of war against the Soviet Union were given at this meeting. Regarding the military operations against the Soviet Union, Japanese troops in Inner Mongolia had to act in concert with the Kwantung Army."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A few lines further, on page 3, the same document reads as follows:

"At the same time, the Commander of the 26th Division who had been in charge of suppressing Chinese guerilla army received orders to prepare for military operations against Outer Mongolia in the Feisuantaiku desert district."

As is clear from Major MATSUURA's testimony, the matters were not limited only to conferences and directives, soon actual deeds followed.

I further quote the same document from page 3: "Early in Sept., 1941, Lieut.-Col. KOTANI, General Staff Officer arrived by airplane from the Kwantung Army Headquarters."

I skip a few lines and quote further: "Since June, 1941, the discharge from military service upon expiration of the term was suspended, and the increase of troops was enforced by newly conscripted strength. For example, over 5,000 appear to have arrived between June and Dec., 1941."

E

2

3

4

5

6

I shall now quote MATSUURA's report of a conversation with Captain NANI, regarding the future attack on the U. S. S. R.

At that time MATSUURA was also a captain. 7 The relevancy of the talk of the two Japanese cap-8 tains is doubtless because, taking into account all 9 evidence together, these talks show that the plans 10 elaborated in the upper strata, penetrated into the 11 core of the Army and were the actual themes of con-12 versations inciting the Army to prepare for an attack 13 on the U.S.S.R. 14

I further quote the affidavit of MATSUURAfrom p. 4:

17 "I cannot help but say that the problem of 18 an offensive against the Soviet Far East was openly 19 discussed by Japanese officers. During a conversa-20 tion with Captain NANI, Ichiro, an officer attached 21 to the Army Intelligence Section, he stated that the 22 offensive of the Japanese army against Outer Mon-23 golia was to be directed against Ulanbator-Zabaikalye, 24 the object which lay in making military transporta-25 tion to the Far East difficult by cutting off the

Trans-Siberian Railroad." In order to follow the logical development of my presenting the case I need not quote from this affidavit for the time being, and shall return to it later on. As is clear from the evidence tendered by my colleague General Vasiliev and myself, the Japanese attack against the U. S. S. R. was first fixed at August or September, 1941. 

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLAKENEY: At this time, if the Court please, we should like to request information of the prosecution as to the status and location of this witness, and should like to make the usual request for a direction that he be brought and made available for cross-examination.

> (Whereupon, Colonel Rosenblit made a statement in the Russian language.)

10 RUSSIAN INTERPRETER: The first part of the 11 statement was not heard.

MR. GOLUNSKY: If the Tribunal please, we have sent a telegram asking for information about all the Japanese witnesses whose affidavits have been produced here. As soon as we get the information we will submit it to the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: The application for production of the witness here will be considered.

Colonel Rosenblit.

COLONEL ROSENBLIT: As is clear from materials of Military Games of the Institute of Total War presented by us, prosecution document No. 1622, exhibit No. 686-A, there are indications in those materials that the Japanese attack on the U.S.S.R. was postponed from the summer of 1941 to July-August 1942.

If the Tribunal please, I shall call for direct examination, the witness, former Lieutenant Colonel of the Kwantung Army SEJIMA, Ruizo, who, in 1940 through 1944, worked in the Operations Department of the Japanese Army General Staff.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.

1

2

3

4

5

6

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BLAKENEY: While we are waiting, may I 7 point out that through inadvertence the last document 8 was not admitted and given an exhibit number. 9

THE PRESIDENT: I inquired as to that. It 10 was really admitted. An objection was dealt with. 11 12 The only objection raised to it was dealt with, and 13 the number was then called. We treat that as admission.

14 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document No. 2153 was given exhibit No. 833.

> (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 833, and was received in evidence.)

1	RUIZO SEJIMA, called as a witness on
2	behalf of the prosecution, being first duly
3	sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters
4	as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY COLONEL ROSENBLIT:
7	Q Mr. Witness, tell to the Court your name and
8	your first name.
9	A SEJIMA, Ruizo.
10	Q What is your age?
11	A Thirty-four.
12	Q What was your position in the Kwantung Army
13	before the surrender?
14	A Staff officer, First Section, Kwantung Army.
15	Q Did you or did you not work in the Operations
16	Lepartment of the Army General Staff?
17	A Yes.
18	Q You are a war prisoner of the Soviet Army
19	at present? You are being shown prosecution document
20	No. 2673, which is written in the Japanese language.
21 22	This is your affidavit of September 27, 1946, isn't
22	it? Look through this document. Did you or did you
23	not write it by your own hand?
25	A Yes, I wrote it with my own hand. Yes, this
	was written by me.

8,094

1	G The signature which appears on all the pages
2	of the affidavit is your signature, isn't it?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Are the contents of this affidavit true to
5	fact?
6	A Yes.
7	COLONEL ROSENBLIT: I produce in evidence
8	prosecution document No. 2673, which is an affidavit
9	of the witness SEJIMA, Ruizo, of September 27, 1946,
10	in the Japanese language.
11	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
12	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
13	No. 2673 will receive exhibit No. 834.
14	(Whereupon, the document above
15	referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
16	No. 834, and was received in evidence.)
17 18	THE PRESIDENT: Do you propose to read all
18	this?
20	We will recess for fifteen minutes.
21	(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was
22	taken until 1100, after which the proceedings
23	were resumed as follows:)
24	
25	

SEJIMA

## DIRECT

Mor	1	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
	2	Military Tribunal for the Far Fast is now resumed.
s e	3	THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Rosenblit.
&	4	COLONEL ROSENBLIT: If the Tribunal please,
G	5	I shall produce in evidence prosecution document
r	6	No. 2673, the full text of the affidavit.
e n	7	(Reading)
b e	8	"I, SEJIMA, Ryuzo, ex-Lieutenant-Colonel
r g	9	of the Japanese Army, born in 1911 state as follows:
	10	"I graduated from the Military Academy in
	11	1932 and from the Military College in 1938. In January
	12	1940 I was attached to the Army General Staff Office;
	13	then in December 1941, I was appointed member of the
	14	1st Department (Military Operations Department) of
	15	the Army General Staff Office, where I actually worked
	16	till August 1944, 19th year of Showa.
	17	"I take oath and testify as follows to the
	18	facts I had been able to learn in the execution of
	19	my duties while working with the Army General Staff
	20	Office from January 1940 to August 1944.
	21	"I. During this period I worked continuously
	22	in Section II /'DAINIKA'/ 1st Department of the Army
	23	General Staff Office. This section, called the Military
	24	Operations Section, took charge of duties regarding
	25	plans for military operations. From January 1940 to

\*

## DIRECT

8,096

1	
1	December 1941, I attended to matters of general business
2	which included safe-keeping of secret documents and
3	incineration of documents for which the custody period
4	had expired. During the period that I was a member
5	of Section II, I had charge of matters regarding employ-
6	ment of military forces, and during the latter part,
7	I also participated in the drawing up of operational
8	plans, some of which I drew up myself.
9	"In about spring of 1941, I incinerated the
10	documents concerning the plans for the 1939 military
11	operations which had been kept in the document safe.
12	In the Army General Staff Office, the period of
13	custody for operational plans was generally two
14.	years. Before incinerating these documents I took
15	a glance through them and found among them the plans
16	for the 1939 operations against the U.S.S.R. It was
17	thus that I learned about these plans and can still
18	recollect their outline.
19	"According to this plan, the chief strategical
20	scheme of Japan's Supreme Command, in case of a Russo-
21	Japanese war, was to concentrate our main forces in
22	Eastern Manchuria and take the offensive against Far
23	East Russia. In this case, the Kwantung Army was to
24	occupy VOROSHIVOW, VLADIVOSTOK, IMAN and then KHABA-
25	BOUSK BLACOVESHOVENSK and KUTBUSHEVSKA

ROVSK, BLAGOVESHCHENSK and KUIBYSHEVSKA.

SEJIMA

DIRECT

"After I became a member of Section II, 1st 1 Department of the Army General Staff Office, I was 2 able to learn in far more detail the contents of the 3 operational plans as my duties were related to the 4 employment of military forces. It was because I 5 had to consider operational plans for the various 6 areas concerned, in the employment of military forces. 7 8 Accordingly, I have been able to learn the plans for 9 operations against Rus is for the years 1941 and 1942. 10 "According to the operational plan for the 11 year 1941 the Kwantung Army was to concentrate its 12 main forces in the direction of the Maritime Provinces, 13 a part of its forces in the direction of BLAGOVESHCHENSK 14 and KUIBYSHEVSKA, and another part in the neighbourhood 15 of HAILAR while the reserve was to be concentrated 16 in HARBIN in the event of a Russo-Japanese war. The 17 offensive was to be taken from the SUI-REN-HO district 18 towards and from the HEI-HO district towards the 19 BLAGOVESHCHENSK and KUIBYSHENSKA districts. Plans 20 were made for the forces in the neighbourhood of 21 HAILAR to trke a defensive position in order to protect 22 offensive operations in other areas. The aim of the 23 offensive operations in the Maritime Provinces was to 24 occupy that area, while the offensive in the BLAGOVE-25 SHCHENSK and KUIBYSHBUSKA districts was meant to cut

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### DIRECT

8,098

the railway, to make reinforcement and supply from
 the west impossible.

"In the first phase of the war, they expected
to occupy VOROSHILOV, VLADIVOSTOK, BLAGOVESHCHENSK,
IMAN, KUIBYSHEVSKA and RUKHLOVO, while in the second
phase, they expected as far as the situation permitted,
to occupy North SAKHALIN, Port PETROPAVIOVSK of
KAMCHATKA, NIKOLAYEVSK of the Amur River, KOMSOMOLSK
and SOVGAVAN.

"Beside the Army's plan of operation worked out at the Army General Staff Office, there were as a part of the operational plans, the plans for joint operations to be carried out in close cooperation by the Army and Navy together. Out of these plans, those concerning Naval operations were worked out at the Naval General Staff Office and forwarded to the Army General Staff Office where they were inserted as part of the operational plans. "

## DIRECT

1	"Accordingly, I looked through some of the
2	plans for Naval operations also. For instance, the
3	Naval operations for 1941 had the three following ob-
4	jects:
5	"(1) to protect the landings on Port PE-
6	TROPAVIOVSK of KAMCHATKA and NORTH SAKHALIN;
7	"(2) to attack the Russian Pacific Fleet
8	and blockade VLADIVOSTOK from the sea front.
9	"(3) to protect the communication line con-
10	necting Japan Proper, Korea and Manchuria by guarding
11	the TSUSHIMA channel.
12.	"In 1942, the 1st Department of the Army
13	General Staff Office had worked out a new plan for
14	operations against the U.S.S.R., which was adhered to
15	until the spring of 1944. Having seen this plan many
16	times, I can still recollect its outline.
17	"Like all the previous operational plans,
18	this plan for the year 1942 was an offensive plan and
19	the operation was scheduled to commence with a sur-
20	prise attack.
21	"According to the above plan, about thirty
22	divisions were scheduled to be concentrated in MANCHURIA
23	with the main force in Eastern MANCHURIA and concentra-
24	tions of some of the forces in the SUN-WU and HAILAR
25	districts repsectively. The First Front which was to
-	

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

#### DIRECT

take the offensive against VOROSHILOV consisted of the 2nd, 3rd, 5th and 20th armies. The forces of the four armies were to advance in parallel so that they could fight a decisive battle in the vicinity of VOROSHILOV. The 2nd Front consisted of the 4th and 8th armies and its object was to take the offensive in the SVOBODNI and KUIBYSHEVSKA districts to annihilate the Russian forces in those areas, and cut the railway. Diversional operations were scheduled to be undertaken by the 6th Army in the Western areas. In the first phase of the war, the Japanese Army was scheduled to occupy the Russian cities in the Maritime Province and BLAGOVESHCHENSK, SVOBODNI and KUIBYSEEVSKA. The forces in HOKKAIDO were to occupy North SAKHALIN, and a division in Japan Proper was to occupy Port PETROPAVLOVSK of KAMCHATKA.

"The plans for Naval operations for the year 1942 was in general the same as that of 1941. The operational plans against the U.S.S.R. for 1943 also followed the above plans for 1942.

"It had never been explained to me whether there was to be a war against Russia or not. All I knew were the military matters concerning operational plans as an officer of the 1st Department of the Army General Staff Office, and I have no knowledge concerning

#### DIRECT

political relations. 1 2 "II. In about the summer of 1941, after the 3 German attack on Russia, I came to learn the following 4 facts in connection with the reinforcement of the 5 Kwantung Army. 6 "A. In about the summer of 1941, I saw, 7 8 at the 1st Department of the Army General Staff Office, 9 the text of the Imperial Command (handwritten) for 10 despatching two new divisions to the Kwantung Army. 11 "B. In about the summer of 1941, I saw, at 12 the 1st Department of the Army General Staff Office, a 13 military order (printed) reorganization in connection 14 with the fifteen divisions of the Kwantung Army on a 15 semi-war-time basis, which was distributed to each sec-16 tion of the department. 17 "C. Through the documents I saw at the Army 18 General Staff Office, in other words, through the tele-19 grams reporting the progress of mobilization in all 20 districts, I knew that a mobilization was underway in 21 Japan in the summer of 1941 to reinforce the Kwantung 22 Army. The number of mobilized men was about 300,000, 23 and I came to learn about it through calculation of 24 the strength of the Kwantung Army in 1942. 25 "The mobilization was secretly carried out,

DIRECT

1	
1	and the usual elaborate send-offs for the conscripts
2	were prohibited. In Tokyo, I often saw mobilized troops
3	passing through or leaving from the stations in pro-
4	found silence.
5	"The above was written by my own hand and the
6	contents are true.
7	
8	"(signed) Sejima, Ryuzo"
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

.

1	The affidavit is signed by the witness
2	SEJIMA, Ruizo, on the 27th of September, 1946, and the
3	witness was duly sworn in the presence of United States
4	Army Captain Roland Schwarts and Second Lieutenant
5	Hattori.
6	The witness is now at the disposal of defense
7	counsel for cross-examination.
8	THE PRESIDENT: Dr. KIYOSF.
9	
10	CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY DR. KIYOSE:
12	Q In the affidavit just read, fifth page of
13	the Japanese text, fourth page of the English text,
14	second paragraph, the following words are found:
15	"It had never been explained to me whether there was
16	to be a war against Russia or not." Now, I ask you,
17	Mr. Witness, is this not a plan formulated regularly
18	whether there is any intention on the part of the
19	government for a policy of war or not?
20	THE MONITOR: Regularly every year.
21	A I have no knowledge whatsoever of the rela-
22	tions between the plans strategic plans of the
23	General Staff and the government's policy. However,
24	at the General Staff it is customary to formulate a
25	plan yearsly anticipating that such a situation might

SEJIMA

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CROSS

arise so that the General Staff can cope with the eventuality.

Q In our country is it not the high command which independently makes operational plans? Mr. Witness, I ask you in our country is it not true that the government is an advisory organization, advisory body, and that the high command independently of the government formulates operational plans?

THE MONITOR: Advisory to the Emperor.

A "ith respect to such problems of the highest command, such as the relationship between the government and the high command, I do not know a thing.

Q In your affidavit, Mr. Witness, you state that you had participated in formulating an operational plan. Did you or did you not do so at the instructions of the government or the cabinet?

A In formulating operations plans we always acted according to the orders from our superiors and we had nothing to do with the cabinet.

THE MONITOR: No direct orders from the cabinet.

Q Then the fact that operational plans were made against a specific country does not mean, does it, that the government or cabinet had any desire to make war on that country?

in an	
1	THE PRESIDENT: That isn't within the scope
2	of the affidavit. We are not going to take opinions
3	of that type from this class of witness. His rank
4	is not sufficiently high to warrant such a question.
5	Q Mr. Witness, you testified with respect to
6	formulating operational plans for the years 1942 and
7	1943. Were new plans formulated for the years 1944
8	and 1945?
9	THE INTERPRETER: Correction: You testified
10	in your affidavit that you had participated in the
11	formulation of operational plans for the year 1941
12	and 1942. Were new plans made in 1944 and 1945?
13	A Yes. I will answer to that question, operation-
14	al plans were made for the years 1944 and 1945.
15	Q Can you give the outline of those plans?
16	A Operational plans for the years 1944 and 1945
17	consisted of defensive strategic plans.
18	THE MONITOR: Strategical defensive plans.
19	THE INTERPRETER: Strategical defensive plans,
20	rather.
21	Q Is that the end of your reply?
22	A Yes, that is all.
23	Q You just spoke of strategic defensive plans.
24	Now, even in case such plans were offensive in nature,
25	that doesn't mean that there were aggressive designs,

SEJIMA

CROSS

Isn't that so? 1 MR. GOLUNSKY: I object to the question as 2 calling for the opinion of the witness on matters on 3 which his opinion cannot be founded. 4 THE PRESIDENT: Do you wish to support your 5 question, Dr. KIYOSE? 6 DR. KIYOSE: I thought it would be made much 7 clearer if some explanation was made with respect to 8 9 defensive strategical operations to which the witness 10 testified and offensive strategic operations, the difference between the two. 11 12 THE PRESIDENT: I can't conceive that there, is any difference in these circumstances. You might 13 ask whether there is that difference here but that 14 wasn't the question actually. 15 16 Then, as the Court said, Mr. Witness, will you 0 17 briefly explain the difference between a defensive 18 and an offensive oper tion? 19 Is the purport of your question this, that A 20 an offensive operation means an operation which would --21 by which the territory of a foreign country is invaded 22 by the attacking army? Is that the idea of the ques-23 tion? Or do you mean whether or not it is aggression 24 or not? 25 In your affidavit you mention offensive Q

SEJIMA

#### CROSS

operations, in the latter part of your affidavit, and that is why I should like for you to make this point clear.

THE MONITOR: Including the point you just mentioned.

A Whether a military operation is to be considered as a defense or offense is a purely strategic matter, and whether a war is a war of aggression or not is completely without the scope of strategic matters and I wouldn't be able to say anything about it.

THE MONITOR: Slight correction: Whether the offensive operation constitutes aggression or not is outside of the operation plan. That is the war plan. That I don't know anything about.

SEJIMA

In your testimony with respect to the year G Q 1 0 ld 1942, Mr. Witness, you spoke of the first phase and 2 the second phase, and later after that the third phase. Ъ 3 e Were the plans of that phase of which you spoke the r 4 g westward movement? Was there such a place as that? 5 8 THE MONITOR: A slight correction. You 6 B spoke of the first phase and the second phase, and a 7 r t later on you spoke of the westward movement, which 8 0 n gave the impression that there was a third phase to 9 this. Was there such a third phase or following 10 11 phases? 12 A According to the strategic plan which I 13 knew personally there was no such plan as a plan of 14 the western front, Outer Mongolia and Baikal region. 15 0 Mr. Witness, do you know of the years 1904 16 and 1905, the time of the first Russo-Japanese war, 17 whether or not Japan already had a planned phase 18 to fight Russia at the time? 19 THE MONITOR: A slight correction. Do you 20 know, Mr. Witness, that through the years 1904 and 21 1905, at the time of the Russo-Japanese war, whether 22 or not there was any year in the Japanese history 23 when there were no plans vis-a-vis Soviet Russia, 24 operational plans? 25 No, I don't know about that. A

1	Q Lastly, in the second part of your affidavit,
2	namely, on page 4, there is a statement from you to
3	the effect that since 1941 the Kwantung Army was
4	re-inforced. Since then has there been any move-
5	ment of these troops for deployment to other areas,
6	other theaters resulting in a decrease of the strength
7	of the Kwantung Army?
8	A Out of the troop re-inforcement in the
9	summer of 1941 a considerable number was deployed
10	and sent to the Facific front; that is, the front
11	against the United States, since 1943.
12	DR. KIYOSE: That concludes my cross-
13	examination.
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued).
15	BY MR. BLAKENEY:
16	Q Mr. Witness, what were your duties in the
17	second section of the second division of the General
18	Staff office?
19	A As I have stated in my affidavit, I was in
20	charge of general matters at first and then with re-
21	spect to matters regarding employment of military
22	forces.
23	NONITOR: Employment of troops in the
24	whole army.
25	Q What was your connection with the drawing of

SEJIMA

operations plans? 1 As I have stated in my affidavit, in hand-A 2 ling matters with respect to the employment of mili-3 try forces I also participated in drawing up opera-4 tional plans. I have had occasion to see overational 5 plans. 6 6 In addition to having occasion to see them 7 did you or did you not assist in drawing them up? 8 A By operational plans, Mr. Counsel, do you 9 mean operational plans against the Soviet Union? 10 Yes, or any others. 6 11 A Yes, plans which I drew personally by order 12 of my senior officer. 13 14 0 Were plans vis-a-vis the United States since 1943 up to the Philippine occupation -- the Fhilippine 15 16 operation -- and then the operational plan against 17 Russia, or against other countries, if there were 18 others, were all prepared, were they not, by the 19 General Staff office? 20 A Operational plans are made by the General 21 Staff office under the direction of the Chief of Gen-22 eral Staff, and then after it has been revised and 23 sanction received from the Throne it becomes a for-24 mulated operational plan of the army. 25 Q Then in the drafting of these operational

SEJIMA

operations plans? 1 A As I have stated in my affidavit, in hand-2 ling matters with respect to the employment of mili-3 try forces I also participated in drawing up opera-4 tional plans. I have had occasion to see operational 5 plans. 6 0 In addition to having occasion to see them 7 did you or did you not assist in drawing them up? 8 A By operational plans, Mr. Counsel, do you 9 mean operational plans against the Soviet Union? 10 6 Yes, or any others. 11 Yes, plans which I drew personally by order A 12 of my senior officer. 13 Were plans vis-a-vis the United States since C 14 1943 up to the Fhilippine occupation -- the Fhilippine 15 16 operation -- and then the operational plan against 17 Russia, or against other countries, if there were 18 others, were all prepared, were they not, by the 19 General Staff office? 20 A Operational plans are made by the General 21 Staff office under the direction of the Chief of Gen-22 eral Staff, and then after it has been revised and 23 sanction received from the Throne it becomes a for-24 mulated operational plan of the army. 25 Q Then in the drafting of these operational

SEJIMA

8,111

plans no assistance was received from the outside of 1 any nature, is that right? 2 By outside do you mean outside the General A 3 Staff office? 4 That is what I mean. 0 5 No assistance in connection with anything A 6 outside the General Staff office itself. 7 0 Therefore, in the drafting of the operations 8 plan against the Soviet Union the commanding general 9 of the Kwantung Army had nothing to do with such plan, 10 is that correct? 11 12 With respect to the operational plan vis-a-vis A 13 the Soviet Union, I might say that in connection with 14 the relationship between the General Staff office and 15 the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army, that the 16 Chief of the General Staff, after reporting and re-17 ceiving the sanction of the Throne, would send di-18 rections with resput to the operational plans to 19 the Chief or Commanding General of the Kwantung Army, 20 who adopts it on the basis of the plans forwarded to 21 him and formulates his plans. 22 Q. That is to say, that the plans forwarded to 23 him by the General Staff office are his orders, is 24 that correct? 25 If I should put it more literally in Japanese, A

SEJIMA

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CROSS

I would say that as follows: operational orders with 1 respect to the operational plans of the Imperial Army 2 of such and such a fiscal year, with respect to this 3 order of the Chief of the Army General Staff, after 4 reporting and receiving the sanction of the Throne, 5 sends instructions of the order to the Commanding Gen-6 7 eral of the Kwantung Army and the Commander-in-Chief 8 of the Kwantung Army acts in accordance with this 9 order, together with which comes an Imperial order, 10 or Imperial command, after which sanction has been 11 received. Therefore, the Commanding General acts in 12 accordance with the orders of the Emperor as General-13 issimo.

Q In addition to the annual operations plan against the Soviet Union, were there any prepared in the General Staff office, annual operations plans against other nations as well?

A As regards other countries, Japan had already engaged in war the United States and Britian and China and, therefore, actual operation plans were already being carried into effect.

Q I am not referring specifically to the time when war was in progress, but I mean in general were the war plans prepared annually.

A Yes, with respect to the years with which I

am familiar; that is, 1939 and just before the out-1 break of war in 1941, the plans were already formulated, 2 in addition to the operational plan against the Soviet 3 Union, operational plans in the event of American-4 5 Japanese war, and in the event of British-Japanese war. 6 Q And annual plans regarding operations against China? 7 8 THE PRESIDENT: He has not made any admission 9 about annual plans, as far as I understand him. He 10 spoke of particular years, but you can clear that up 11 if you wish. 12 BY MR. BLAKENEY: 13 I understood otherwise, but I will be 14 glad to bring it out. 15 Q Mr. Witness, during the time that you served 16 in the operation section of the General Staff office 17 and for many years plior to that time, is it or is it 18 not true that the General Staff office annually pre-19 pared operational plans of the type which we are now 20 discussing? 21 Yes, that is a fact. A 22 You have spoken of yourself destroying the Q 23 1939 operations plan against Soviet Russia. Was that 24 such an annual plan? 25 A Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

Q You have referred also to operation plans against the Soviet Union for the years 1941 and 1942. Were these also such annual operations plans?

A Yes.

Q Then my question, which has not been answered, was: were such annual plans drawn also for operations in the event of hostilities with China?

A The conflict with China began in 1937, but I am only personally familiar with the plans since 1939, at which time the conflict with China was already in progress; therefore, the operational plans were already being carried out.

13 THE PRESIDENT: Does his knowledge of other 14 countries extend before 1937?

THE WITNESS: No.

16 BY MR. BLAKENEYI:

get of the

Q Were you also familiar during your period of service in the General Staff office with the annual plans to be used in the event of hostilities with the Philippines, French Indo-China, and perhaps other countries?

A For the Philippines, yes, but I don't remember for the others.

Q As I understand from your affidavit, these operations plans were periodically destroyed after

8,114

CROSS

### SEJIMA

#### CROSS

adoption of later plans. Is my understanding correct? 1 A Yes. 2 Then, as a matter of routine in the General 0 3 Staff office, operational plans were annually drawn 4 up providing for operation against countries with 5 which Japan might come in conflict, and the plans 6 were periodically revised and then destroyed, is that 7 8 correct? 9 A Yes. The plans, as I have stated before, 10 were annually made, and when the next new year ap-11 proached the plans for the former year were destroy-12 ed. But even during a year plans were constantly re-13 vised to move in accordance with the international 14 situation. 15 Do you know whether that routine is the prac-Q 16 tice in General Staff offices through the world? 17 THE PFESIDENT: Let him say first whether he 18 served in any general staff office other than Japan. 19 MR. BLAKENEY: Obviously he did not, but I 20 asked him whether he knew, sir. 21 THE PRESIDENT: I suppose we know that plans 22 are prepared in the general staff offices of other 23 countries, but what they would be about we would not 24 know, nor would he. 25 MR. BLAKENEY: Nor do I, but I think none of

C:	77		-	Th /*	
5	11.	-1	1	M	1
~	-	U	-	diver,	2

1	
1	us care, except the fact that they do exist. We will
2	change the subject.
3	Q You have stated in your affidavit that the
4	operations plans for 1942 vis-a-vis Soviet Union, was
5	an offensive plan.
6	A Since 1942?
7	Q Yes.
8	THE PRESIDENT: This is a convenient break,
9	Major Blakeney. We will adjourn now until half-
10	past one.
11	(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was
12	taken.)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

SEJIMA

## CROSS

		AFTERNOON SESSION
·1]1 0		
l f	1	The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.
	2	
ž	3	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
e X	4	Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
1 1	5	THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.
e n	6	BY MR. BLAKENEY (Continued):
	7	Q I will repeat the question which I was put-
	8	ting when we recessed. You have stated in your
	9	affidavit, Mr. Witness, that the operations plan for
	10	1942 was an offensive plan. May we take this to mean
	11	that this plan and other plans of a similar nature
	12	were drawn in accordance with the military precept
	13	that offense is the best defense?
	14	THE PRESIDENT: You hardly need his assistance
	15	
	16	on that, but let him answer.
	17	A I know that offense is the best defense,
	18	and a good offensive is the best defensive according
	19	to the principles of strategy.
	20	Q Did these various operations plans include
	21	within them the time at which they were to be put into
	22	operation?
	23	A I do not understand the meaning of your ques-
	24	tion.
	25	Q Did the 1942 operations plan, vis-a-vis the

## SEJIMA

## CROSS

	Soviet Union, for example, contain a provision
1	that it should go into effect at a particular time
2	that operations should commence at a particular time?
3	A There is no mention of any particular date
4	for the commencement of the operations.
5	Q As a matter of fact, did not these plans
7	provide that they should not go into effect until
8	such time as orders were given by the Grand Imperial
9	Headquarters?
10	A In my recollection there is no I do not
11	recall any provisions of this matter in the operations
12	plan. With respect to the commencement of hostilities
13	against a third power this is within the this be-
14	longs to the Imperial authority and is prohibited
15	correction: the commanding general of the Kwantung
16	Army cannot do it on his own initiative, that is,
17	commanding generals in the field were prohibited from
18	taking their own initiative inasmuch as the authority
19 20	belonged to the Imperial authority.
20	Q The 1939 annual plan for operations in con-
22	nection with the Soviet Union was never carried out,
23	was it?
24	A I do not think the operations plan were
25	carried into effect.
	Q The 1940 plan was not carried into effect,

was it?

1	A Inasmuch as the operations plan formulated
2	by the General Staff office as against the Soviet
3	Union was to be enforced only in the event of a
4	general outbreak of general hostilities between Japan
5	and the Soviet Union, such plans were not carried
6	out until the 8th of August, 1945, when those
8	hostilities began.
9	O In drawing your annual plans for operations
10	against the Soviet Union, did you use certain estimates
11	of the Soviet strength on which to base your plans?
12	A Matters with respect to Soviet national
13	
14	strength, military strength and operational ability
15	were studied by the Second Department of the General
16	Staff office; in other words, the department handling
17	military intelligence. I do not know the details.
18	Q But is it not true that the First Section
19	of the General Staff office in preparing operations
20	plans took into account the estimates of Soviet
21	strength, in this case prepared by the Second Section?
22	A Yes, they were taken into account.
23	Q And what did those estimates show as to the
24	relative strength of the Japanese Kwantung Army and
25	the Soviet Far Eastern Army during the years in which

you were working in this section?

SEJIMA

	MR. GOLUNSKY: If the Tribunal please,
1	the prosecution objects to this question as being
2	outside the scope of direct examination.
3.	THE PRESIDENT: He could be asked whether
4	the plans he drew up or assisted in drawing up were
6	based on the strength of the Russian Army and, if so,
7	on what strength. Major Blakeney's question is not
8	substantially different, but I think in the form I
9	suggested it would be less objection. the.
10	MR. BLAKENEY: The first half of the
11	President's question I believe he has just answered.
12	I will ask him the second half.
13	BY MR. BLAKENEY (Continued):
14	Q Upon what estimates of the strength of the
15	Soviet Far Eastern Army did you base the operations
16	plans in which you yourself participated during the
17	years that you were working in the General Staff office?
18	A I do not recall the exact figures inasmuch
19 20	as these figures change from year to year. However,
21	what I do remember is in 1942, according to the estim-
22	ate or judgment given by the Second Section of the
23	General Staff office, the strength of the Far Eastern
24	Soviet Army was about twenty-five divisions ground
25	forces.
	Q Is that the only figure which you can

## SEJIMA

CROSS

Í	remember at this time?
1	A As to that I do not recall the exact figures.
2	Q Are you able to remember and state how this
3	strength of twenty-five divisions in 1942 compared
4	with the strength of the Kwantung Army at the same
5	period?
6	A I recall that the forces under the control
7	of the Commander-in-Chief of the Kwantung Army at
8	that time was about fifteen divisions.
9	THE MONITOR: In Manchuria.
10	Q And are you able to state in general whether
11	
12	a proportion somewhat similar to that obtained during
1.3	the years that you were working on operations plans
14	against the Soviet Union?
15	A In comparing the strength between our forces
16	and the forces of the opposite party, we followed the
17	principle of estimating the air force, the strength
18	of the air force, the strength of the ground force,
19	the supply capacity in the rear, as well as topograph-
20	ical factors; but as I do not recall the exact figures
21	I cannot say at this time.
22	Q Do you recall it as a fact that during the
23	period when you were working on operations plans the
24	Japanese strength of the Kwantung Army was always sub-
25	stantially less than that which your Second Section
1000	sourcearty ress chan chao witten your second section

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

estimated to be the strength of the Soviet Far Eastern Army?

A What I said just a moment ago was the division strength on the ground; but as to general or overall strength we have to take into consideration air forces as well as other units which constitute an army.

Q I am asking you whether, taking those things into consideration, it was or was not a fact that during the period of time in question the strength of the Kwantung Army was less than what you estimated the strength of the Soviet Far Eastern Army to be?

A Do you mean the number of soldiers?

Q That is one item. Suppose you give us the answer in connection with that item first.

THE PRESIDENT: I think total strength will be sufficient for our purposes.

Q Then give us a comparison of the total strength in any way in which you are able to make the comparison.

A I do not have -- my memory is not exact enough to give you a full and exact answer.

MR. BLAKENEY: That is all.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: If your Honor please, I would

SEJIMA

like to ask this witness a few questions on duress
only so if the questions go outside the scope of the
affidavit I hope the Tribunal will bear with me.
THE PRESIDENT: We can concede you no
liberty not allowed to others, Mr. McManus. The rule
applies to all.
MR. McMANUS: Have I not the right
THE PRESIDENT: Proceed to put your questions
and we will tell you. That is the only way to decide.
CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
BY MR. McMANUS:
Q Would you state, please, the circumstances
of your internment?
A Early September last year I went to Habarovsk
in the company of the Commander-in-Chief, General
YAMADA.
Q Where have you been since then?
A I was with the Commander-in-Chief for two
months since then, and then was taken to a camp to-
gether with military personnel in general, officers
and men.
Q Are you presently charged with a crime?
A No.
Q How long have you been in Tokyo?
A I came here the 17th of last month.

SEJIMA

CROSS

	Q Have you had any conversations with anyone
1	since you have been here?
2	THE PRESIDENT: About this case.
3	Q About this case?
4	A Only two Colonel Rosenblit and Chief
5	Prosecutor, Mr. Keenan.
6	Q When was the last conversation you had with
8	a member of the prosecution staff?
9	A Two nights ago I met Mr. Keenan.
10	Q Did you know Mr. Semyonov and Mr. Rodzayevsky?
11	A I do not.
12	Q Didn't you meet them in an internment camp?
13	A No, I have not. I have not met them.
14	Q Do you know the fact that they have been
15	executed?
16	A No, I do not.
17	THE PRESIDENT: What is this, a threat or a
18	promise or what?
19	MR. McMANUS: I beg your pardon, your Honor.
20	THE PRESIDENT: Is this a threat?
21	MR. McMANUS: Your Honor, I would like to
22	find out whether or not the fact that these men have
23	been executed has any bearing on this statement which
24	was made the 26th, two days ago, by this witness or
25	the 27th of September, I am sorry. I would just like
	one syon of beptember, I am sorry. I would just like

1

2

CROSS

to point out to the Tribunal, if the Court please, at least I was endeavoring to find out whether or not the fact that these men who are not produced 3 here and who have been executed might have had some 4 bearing upon the testimony that this witness is 5offering right now. 6 THE PRESIDENT: Is there any further 7 cross-examination? 8 No further cross-examination. 9 DR. KIYOSE: No cross. 10 COLONEL ROSENBLIT: There is a question in 11 redirect examination. 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 13 14 BY COLONEL ROSENBLIT: 15 When answering the defense counsel's 0 16 question you said that no people other than those 17 who worked in the Army General Staff participated 18 in the working out of the operation plans. Do you 19 or do you not know whether the brains of the Army 20 General Staff were given by superior governmental 21 officers or superior officials in the country any 22 assignments with regard to the operation plans in-23 cluding operation plans against the U.S.S.R.? 24 A As I understand, there is no interference 25 in the formulation of operational plans by the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CROSS

	General Staff office.
	THE PRESIDENT: I did not hear the English
	answer. We heard no answer in English.
	THE INTERPRETER: I will repeat, sir. In
	so far as I know there is no outside interference
	in the formulation of operational plans by the
	General Staff office.
and the second s	THE PRESIDENT: The witness is released on
	the usual terms.
	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
	COLONEL ROSENBLIT: To corroborate Lieutenant
	Colonel SEJIMA, Ryuzo's testimony concerning the 1942
a second and a second as a	plan of war in the Kwantung Army, I shall submit in
Contraction of the local division of the loc	evidence the photostatic copy of the statement of
	General KITA, Seiichi, written by himself in the
and and a second	

tatement of elf in the Japanese language on April 20 through April 23, 1946. General KITA, Seiichi, was commanding general of the 6th Army of the Kwantung Army from October, 1941, until October, 1944, and from October, 1944, he was commanding general of the first front of the Kwantung Army, prosecution's document No. 2467.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: We object to that part of this 24 affidavit going into evidence which is contained on 25 page five, the last paragraph. The first sentence in

8,126

ſ	
1	that paragraph is a conclusion of this particular
2	witness which is not based on any facts set forth
3	in his affidavit and attempting to fix responsibility
4	for certain acts, which is usurping the functions of
5	this Court and not within the province of the witness.
6	I might remind the Tribunal that a similar
7	statement was stricken from another affidavit last
8	Friday.
9	THE PRESIDENT: We think we should read the
10	whole of the affidavit before we come to a conclusion.
11	If the statement is as bare as Mr. Logan suggests,
12	it may have no value.
13	The document is admitted on the usual terms.
14	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
15 16	2467 will receive exhibit No. 835.
17	(Whereupon, the document above
18	referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
19	No. 835 and was received in evidence.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

COLONEL ROSENBLIT:

Spratt & Du

d

a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

As KITA, Seichi, puts it in his testimony, the fact that he held those offices gave him an opportunity of getting fully acquainted with the plans of war against the U.S.S.R. that were in the Kwantung Army at that time; he likewise was able to familiarize himself with the preparatory measures carried on in connection with war against the U.S.S.R.

> If the Tribunal please, I quote from page 12: "Preparatory Measures.

"Heike District: In the peace time there were in this district two divisions of the Fourth Army and one detachment of border guards. The Army Headquarters was at Sunwu. Before the war was opened against Soviet Russia the Second Area Army and Northern Army were to be set up at Tsitsikar and Heike respectively."

Correction; please: Not the Northern Army; the N Army.

<sup>19</sup> "N Army seemed to be changed to 8th Army later. <sup>19</sup> This formation was to be made by diverting four divi-<sup>20</sup> sions from China and Japan. Consequently the Second <sup>22</sup> Area Army was to have attained a strength of six divi-<sup>23</sup> sions before the outbreak of war, that is. There were <sup>24</sup> three divisions of the 4th Army at Sunwu, two divisions <sup>25</sup> of 8th Army at Heike and one division under the direct <sup>26</sup> control of the Area Army. Besides, the 6th Army under the Second Area Army consisting of the 23d Division and the Arshan detachment (about one division strong) should be increased by the addition of another division after the outbreak of war. Two more divisions were to be added in the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Maritime Province District: Those stationed in peace time in this district were three divisions of the 5th Army, three divisions of the 3rd Army, the 71st Division at Hunchung and one division under the direct control of the Kwantung Army at Chiamusu -- eight divisions in all together with two tank divisions. In 1941, 20th Army Headquarters was established at Tsining and to that army was given one division each from the 3rd, and 5th Armies. Prior to the outbreak of war, the 1st Area Army Headquarters, and the 2nd Army Headquarters were to be set up at Mutanchiang and Chientao respectively according to Plan B."

Correction: According to Plan Otsu.

"Eight more divisions were to be transferred to this district from Japan and China, making the First Area Army possess fifteen divisions and two tank divisions, that is, three divisions and one tank division of the 5th Army, five divisions and one tank division of the 20th Army, three divisions of the 3rd Army, two divisions of the 2nd Army and two divisions under the direct control of the First Area Army. In addition there was one air force army under the direct control of the Kwantung Army Commander with 1,000 planes. The Kwantung Army was expected to be in the above condition on the Eastern, Northern and Western fronts just before the opening of war against the U.S.S.R. according to the Plan Otsu.

"Offensive Plan: In accordance with the 8 offensive Plan Otsu we expected to seize the entire 9 10 Far East as far as Baikal in four successive steps. 11 In the first step the 4th and the N Armies of the 12 Second Area Army were to carry out demonstrations on 13 the Russian border near Sunwa and Heike, pretending 14 offensive preparations by occasionally shooting into 15 Russian territory. No actual offensive, however, was 16 to be made. Thus the Red Army was expected to be 17 fettered within that area. Should the Red Army start 18 an offensive it must be checked. The 6th Army should 19 maintain its positions at Hailor and Arhshan. In case 20 an attack was made by the Red Army from Chita via 21 Manchuria it must be checked by keeping these posi-22 tions firm. In this case, the 1st Area Army in the 23 Maritime Province District would act decisively on the 24 offensive according to the following plan." 25

Then follows a detailed description of the

operation of each army of the 1st Front, which I leave
out, and pass directly to the summing up of the first
stage operations.

#### Quote from page 13:

4

"All these forces were to press the Red Army 5 at Voroshilov, and part of them, after seizing Voro-6 shilov, were to cooperate with the Japanese Navy at 7 8 Yuanshan to capture Vladivostok by envelopment. This 9 was to end the first stage. Its chief object was to 10 secure Japan proper and the industrial areas of Man-11 churia from the Red Army's air raids from the Maritime 12 Province district, by entirely occupying the district.

13 "Second Stage: In case the first stage of 14 the offensive was successful, the main force of the 15 First Area Army was to advance Northward toward 16 Habarovska along the railroad, leaving part of the 17 Army in the Maritime Province to maintain the occupied 18 area. The division stationed at Chiamusu would occupy 19 the positions facing Leninsk to protect the wings of the 20 First and Second Armies in the vicinity. At this time 21 the 4th and the N Armies of the Second Area Army would 22 cooperate with the First Area Army and commence an 23 offensive operation from Heike and its vicinity; cross 24 the Amur; seize Blagoveshchensk, Kuibyshevska and 25 Svobodni and cut off the Siberian Railway. One part

of the forces were to defend the West and the main force was to move eastward along the railway line to Habarovsk. Then the First and the Second Area Armies were to cooperate in attacking in a decisive battle the Red Army from both sides and occupy Khabarovsk. This was to complete the second stage.

"Third Stage: After the occupation of 7 8 Khabarovsk the Second Area Army would immediately turn 9 westward to make preparations for the reinforcements 10 necessary for the future offensive operations upon the 11 Zabaikalye. At this time the 6th Army at Hailar was 12 to be reinforced raising its strength to four divisions 13 which were to prepare to advance the attack. In the 14 Maritime Province the First Area Army was to secure 15 the important districts and the rear of the Second 16 Area Army. The main force of the Second Area Army 17 would turn West after completing preparations and 18 commence the advance to Chita along the railway. AS 19 soon as the Second Area Army's main force reached Moga-20 cha, the 6th Army was immediately to start the attack 21 by breaking through the border near Sanho and Man-22 churia. And the 6th Army was to act in cooperation 23 with the Second Area Army's main force and advance to 24 Chita and its southern area. On seizing Chita and its 25 vicinity, the Second Area Army was to secure important

districts in the West; and thus the operation of the third stage was to come to a close.

1

2

"In the fourth stage it was planned to continue the offensive until the Zabarkalye as far as Lake Baikal was seized. But I do not know about the offensive plan of the fourth stage.

"Operations Regarding Outer Mongolia: In 7 the third stage, at the same time as the commencement of 8 the advance of the 6th Army, the Arhshan Detachment 9 (approximately one division) was to have been brought 10 under the direct control of the Second Area Army to 11 combine with and take command of the Mongolian force 12 in Manchuria (approximately one division) and advance 13 to Chita from Arhshan via Tampsakbulak, Baintumen and 14 15 Ulan-Orasomon. This unit would cover the right wing 16 of the Second Area Army by marching through the eastern 17 part of Outer Mongolia.

18 "Maintaining coordination of all Military 19 Operations the Imperial Headquarters was to transfer 20 one mechanized army group from North China and to have 21 it begin strategic operations from Kalgan toward 22 Ulan-Bator. But I am not able to explain the plan for 23 the future development of the offensive action since 24 I don't know it. The general outline of the offensive 25 plan against Soviet Russia is as above. This plan for

the offensive operation against the Soviet Union in 1942 was set up by the General Staff and the Kwantung Army; it was revised every year thereafter. This plan for Japanese military measures existed till around the middle of 1944. Since Japan faced difficult condi-tions in the battle field of Greater East Asia War, she could not carry out this plan." 

1	Mr. President and Dembers of the Interna-
2	tional Tribunal, I should like to draw your attention
3	to the evidence that the defendant UMEZU, Yoshijiri
4	was Commanding General of the Kwantung Army at that
5	time and that he himself took part in working out the
6	plans of an aggressive war against the U.S.S.R.,
7	whereas the defendant TOJO, Hideki, was Prime Minister
8	and concurrently War Minister of Japan at that time.
9	THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.
10	MR. BLAKENEY: I wish to make the usual
11	request for information concerning the status of this
12	witness, and the usual request for direction that he
13	be produced for cross-examination.
14 15	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Smith.
15	MR. SMITH: If your Honors please, in the
17	last paragraph at the bottom of page 4, first sen-
18	tence, I ask your Honors to strike it out. It says:
19	"In 1935 Japan, by resorting to every pos-
20	sible means, induced Soviet Russia to sell the right
21	and interest of the Chinese Eastern Railways."
22	That is a bald statement, not supported by
23	any facts anywhere in this statement, and infringes
24	the province of the Court, and I submit to your
25	Honors a statement of that sort ought not to be per-
	mitted in evidence in this case.

THE PRESIDENT: We think it is irrelevant 1 to any issue that is raised in the Indictment. The 2 objection is upheld. 3

4 We had better settle the question raised 5 by Major Blakeney in the first instance -- raised 6 by Mr. Logan, rather. What is part of the affidavit 7 can be said to support that bare statement as to the 8 responsibility of various individuals.

THE PRESIDENT: Minister Golunsky.

MR. GOLUNSKY: The part objected to by the learned counsel is half a statement of responsibility and half a list of different people who held official positions in some way connected with the military activities in Manchuria, and inasmuch as we can establish that from other sources we do not particularly insist on this part of the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: The part objected to by Mr. Logan amounts to the swearing of issues without any supporting fact, and will be disregarded. The objection is upheld.

Colonel Rosenblit.

·COLONEL ROSENBLIT: If the Tribunal please, I shall call for direct examination the witness, 24 MATSUMURA, Tomokatsu, former Major-General of the Japanese Army, who from October, 1941, through

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1012	
1	August, 1943, worked in the Second Department of the
2	Japanese Army General Staff, and from August, 1943,
3	until the surrender worked in the Kwantung Army
4	Headquarters, first in the capacity of Chief of the
5	First (operations) Section, and since March, 1945, as
6	Deputy Chief of the Kwantung Army Headquarters.
7	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan's application for
8	the production of the deponent KITA will be considered.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

### DIRECT

то	MO	KATSU MATSUMURA, called as a
	wit	ness on behalf of the prosecution, being first
	dul	y sworn, testified as follows:
		DIRECT EXAMINATION
вұ с	OLON	EL ROSENBLIT:
	Q	Mr. Witness, tell the Tribunal your name and
your	fir	st name.
	A	MATSUMURA, Termokatsu.
	Q	Your age?
	A	Born 32nd year of Meiji, 1899.
	Q	You worked in the Army General Staff in the
capa	city	of Chief of the Fifth Section of the Second
Depa	rtme	nt from October, 1941, through August, 1943,
didn	't y	ou?
	A	Yes, Chief of the Fifth Section, Second Division
	Q	Did you or did you not occupy the position of
Chie	f of	First (operations) Section of the Kwantung
Army	Head	dquarters from August, 1943, until March, 1945?
	A	Yes, I occupied that position.
	Q	Are you a war prisoner of the Soviet Army
at p	resei	nt?
	A	Yes, I am.
	Q	Mr. Witness, you are being shown prosecution
docu	ment	No. 2672 in the Japanese language, which is
your	aff	Idavit of September 27, 1946. Look through

8,139

1	this document. Is it or is it not written in your own hand?
2	
3	A Yes, that is mine.
4	Q Does the signature which appears on all the
5	pages of this affidavit belong to you?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Are the cont its of your affidavit true to
8	fact?
9	A Yes.
10	COLONEL ROSENBLIT: I tender in evidence to
11	the Tribunal prosecution document No. 2672, which is
12	an affidavit of the witness MATSUMURA of September 27,
13	1946, written in the Japanese language.
14	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
15	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
16	No. 2672 will receive exhibit No. 836.
17	(Whereupon, the above-mentioned
18	document was marked prosecution's exhibit
19	No. 836 and received in evidence.)
20	COLONEL ROSENBLIT: If the Tribunal please,
21	I shall read the full text of this affidavit:
22	"I am MATSUMURA, Tomokatsu, formerly Major-
23	General of the Japanese Army, and was born in 1899.
24	I state here as follows:-
25	
	"I served in the Japanese Army General

Staff Office in various capacities in and after 1929. 1 In October of 1941, I became chief of the 5th section 2 (Soviet Russian Intel'igence) of the 2nd dept. (In-3 4 telligence) in the Army General Staff Office. In 5 August of 1943, I was transferred from that post to 6 that of the chief of the 1st section (operations) of 7 the Kwantung Army Headquarters. In March 1945 I was 8 appointed Vice-Chief of Staff, Kwantung Army, remain-9 ing in that post until the surrender.

"I testify as follows the facts I knew in relation to my duties during the period mentioned above: -

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

"1) Having arrived at the Headquarters of the Kwantung Army in 1943, I, as the Chief of the Strategy Section, was first of all informed of the operations plan kept by the Headquarters. At this time I was informed of the directives of the Army General Staff concerning the operations plan against Soviet Russia for 1942 and the operations plan itself of the Kwantung Army Headquarters based upon the said directives.

"The directives of the Army General Staff instructed the Kwantung Army Headquarters to form an 24 operations plan against Soviet Russia with the occupation of the Maritime Provinces of Soviet Russia and

the destruction of the air bases in the Maritime 1 2 Province as the general objectives, and also to focus the principal attack upon Voroshilov. 3 It was 4 also written in the directives that the Kwantung 5 Army should make preparations for further operations 6 after occupation of the Maritime Provinces. "The operations plan made by the Kwantung 8 Army Headquarters according to the above directives 9 in 1942 contained the following items:-10 "1) The general tasks of the Kwantung Army. 11 "2) Distribution of military strength. - 12 113) The tasks of the different fronts and 13 armies. 14 "The tasks as set forth in the afore-men-15 tioned directive of the Army General Staff were indi-16 cated as the general tasks of the Kwantung Army. 17 "In the item of the distribution of military 18 strength, it was planned that the First Front, the 19 Second Front and the Sixth Army should participate in 20 the operation against Soviet Russia. The First Front 21 comprised 4 armies (the 2nd, 3rd, 20th, 5th Army), 22 consisting of 15 infantry divisions, and 2 tank div-23 isions. Furthermore, besides the First Front Armies, 24 one independent infantry division was stationed at 25 CHIAMUSU in order to protect the left wing of the First Area Army.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

"The Second Front was planned to comprise two Armies (the Fourth Army and a certain other Army) consisting of seven divisions; and the Sixth Army consisting of four divisions. Besides these there were three infantry divisions as the general reserve of the Kwantung Army and the Second Air Force Army consisting of four air divisions were under direct control of the Kwantung Army.

"In the item concerning the tasks of the 10 different fronts and armies, the task of the First 11 Front was indicated as follows: that the Second Army 12 should attack from CHIENTAC Area toward the Southern 13 USSIRI Area; that the Third Army should attack from 14 TUNGNING Area toward VOROSHILOV; that the Twentieth 15 Army should attack from the area to the west of Lake 16 Khanka toward VOROSHILOV; and that the Fifth Army 17 should attack from HUTOW Area toward IMAN Area and 18 thereby cut off the enemy's communications extending 19 from south to north and later have one part of the 20 force cover the north and have another part advance 21 southward to facilitate the attack of the main force 22 on VOROSHILOV. Although it is not expressly indicated 23 in the plan, it can be presumed that the occupation of 24 VLADIVOSTOK was to follow that of VOROSHILOV. 25

1	"The tasks of the Second Front were indi-
2	cated as follows: that the Fourth Army and a certain
3	other Army should be stationed in the SUN-WU and HEI-
4	HO Areas respectively, where they were to check the
5	Soviet Army in the AMUR River Area.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	

"The task of the Sixth Army was to stand on the defensive in the HSINGAN mountain-range against the Soviet Army from ZABAIKALYE and cover the offensive operation of the First Front.

"The operations plan against Soviet Russia for 1942 was signed by General UMEZU, Commander of the Kwanturg Army, Lieutenant-General KASAHARA, Chief of Staff, and Colonel TAMURA, Chief of the Operations Section.

"It was not clear to me whether a war against the Soviet Union would be carried on. I know only of matters related to operations. Matters concerning the political aims of war against Soviet Russia were under the authority of the government, and I know nothing about them. As for the operations plan against Soviet Russia in 1943 the Army General Staff gave us no new directives whatever. Therefore, according to the general practice in the Army, the plan for 1942 was followed in 1943 as well.

"Immediately after my assumption of office in October 1941 as Chief of the 5th Section (Sovietrelations) of the 2nd Department, Lieutenant-General OKAMOTO, Seifuku, the Chief of the 2nd Department, gave me an order in his office to deliver the

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

intelligence relating to the Soviet Union collected by the 5th Section to the 16th Section (CERMAN RELATIONS) of the 2nd Department. On this occasion, Lt. General OKAMOTO explained to me that the intelligence was wanted by KRETSCHMAR, German military attache. Thenceforth, in accordance with the orders given by Lt. General OKAMOTO, Seifuku, Chief of the 2nd Department, and his successor Lt. General ARISUE, Seizo, I systematically forwarded to the 16th Section (GERMAN RELATIONS) for Colonel KRETSCHMAR the intelligence regarding Soviet military forces, their disposition in the Far East, war potential of the Soviet Union, movement of Soviet divisions to the west from the Far East, internal movements of Soviet strength, and reconstruction of the withdrawn Soviet industries. These intelligences were based upon materials reported to the General Staff Office from Japanese military attaches in MOSCOW and various other intelligence sources. They were arranged by officers of my section and after my examination were delivered directly to the 16th Section. Sometimes important ones were delivered to the 16th Section after I had examined them and after Chief of the 2nd Department had gone over them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	"We used to deliver intelligence to the
2	16th Section (in charge of German affairs)about
3	once a month.
4	"The above was written by my own hand
5	and the contents are true."
6	The affidavit is signed by the witness
7	MATSUMURA, Tomokatsu September 27, 1946, and the
8	witness was duly sworn in the presence of the
9	U.S. Army Officers Captain Roland Schwartz and
.10	2nd Lieutenant Hattori.
11	The witness MATSUMURA, Tomokatsu may
12	now be placed at the disposal of the Defense
13	Counsel for cross-examination.
14	THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for
15	fifteen minutes.
16	(Whereupon, at 1440, a recess was
17	taken until 1455, after which the proceedings
18	were resumed as follows.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

#### CROSS

1 MARSHALL OF THE COURT: The International M 0 2 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. r S 3 e THE PRESIDENT: Dr. KIYOSE. 4 DR. KIYOSE: I should like to make a brief 8 5 W cross-examination. 0 6 1 f 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY DR. KIYOSE: 9 In your affidavit, that is in the fourth 0 10 page of the Japanese affidavit or in the third page --11 third paragraph of your affidavit in Englsih, you say 12 that matters concerning the political aims of war against 13 Soviet Russia were under the authority of the govern-14 ment and I know nothing about them. Now, may I under-15 stand that the fact that our country had a strategic 16 plan against Soviet Russia did not mean that our 17 country had the intention of waging a war against Soviet 18 Am I allowed to understand it in that fashion? Russia? 19 That is an entirely different question. A 20 You further state that questions of policy 0 21 were determined by the government and I, myself, do 22 not know anything about them. Does this mean that not 23 only you but all of you in high command, who are 24 engaged in duties within the high command, did not know 25 whether the government had intention of waging war

23

24

25

CROSS

against a foreign country or not? 1 THE MONITOR: Correction: That the high 2 command or any branches thereof did not interfere 3 in questions of policy which were determined by the 4 government. 5 I am speaking only of myself and others who A 6 are in similar positions. 7 Q Was it customary to formulate strategic plans 8 against any foreign countries whether or not the govern-9 ment itself had the intention of waging a war against 10 those particular countries? 11 12 THE MONITOR: Slight correction: Did the Japanese government formulate any strategic plans any-13 14 way? I saw the operations plan only in my capacity 15 A 16 as chief of the operations section of the Kwantung 17 Army Headquarters. When I was in other positions I 18 didn't see such plans, so I can't testify with respect 19 to them. 20 You belonged to the Kwantung Army in the year 0 21 1945 instead of the years 1942 and 1943 as you state 22

operational plans entertained by the Kwantung Army in the years 1944 and 1945.

in your affidavit, isn't that right? Tell me about

A In 1944 and 1945 a separate operations plan

#### CROSS

for defensive purposes were formulated.

1

2

Q Can you outline those plans briefly?

A The plan for 1944 was generally operations to be carried out in the border areas. Defensive operations in the border areas. The operational plans for 1945 was an internal defensive plan constituting various plans for retreat along the southeastern border of **region** of Manchuria and to carry on -- for the purpose of carrying on a holding operation.

Q There is something which I don't understand there. That is the operational plan in which you personally participated weren't there. Why are they not montioned in your affidavit?

A I only spoke in answer to questions and that was all that was asked of me during the interrogation.

Q Does that mean that no question was asked with respect to this point or that it was omitted later on very close study as unnecessary?

A When preparing the affidavit there was no
 Questions relative to this point.

Q How about when preparing the affidavit?
 A Yes, when I was interrogated as a prisoner
 I spoke of the entire matter.

Q Then I shall ask you another question. Was
 there any instance of the strength of the Kwantung Army

MATSUMURA

3

4

5

#### CROSS

8,150

being deployed and decreased during your tenure of office in the Kwantung Army?

A Yes.

Q hen did it -- at about what time did it occur and what was the importance of the decrease?

From the summer of 1943 up to the end of the A 6 war the Kwantung Army, units of the Kwantung Army were 7 deployed greatly and the Kwantung /rmy was reorganized. 8 I can'trecall exactly when or to what extent such a 9 deployment and decrease took place but with repsect 10 to the subject, the question of decrease, the strength 11 may have been decreased but the number of military 12 personnel not necessarily decreased. The number de-13 creased at time and then returned to the normal number, 14 decreased again and returned to the normal number from 15 time to time. 16

Q Was the quality of the newly recruited troops -was not the quality of the newly recruited troops inferior to the troops which had formerly been recruited by the Kwantung Army?

21

A Little by little the quality decreased.

Q My last question will be regarding intelligence.
 Second paragraph of your affidavit you write about
 information received from the military attache, Japanese
 Embassy in Moscow. But wasn't it a routine matter to

CROSS

1	receive information not only from the Japanese Embassy
2	in the Soviet Union but from all the Japanese abroad
3	to the intelligence section of the General Staf? Office
4	through the military attache?
5	A Yes, as you say.
6	DR. KIYOSE: That is all.
7	THE PRESIDENT: Before you go, Dr. KIYOSE,
8	the word "deployed" was used. Was it intended to use
9	the word "depleted"?
10	DR. KIYOSE: Yes.
11	MR. SHIMANOUCHI: I am SHIMINOUCHI, counsel
12	for the defendant OSHIMA.
13	
14	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
15	BY MR. SHIMANOUCHI:
16	Q German Attache Kretschmar, whose name you
17	mention in the last portion of your affidavit, where
18	was he stationed as a military attache?
19	A In Tokyo.
20	Q Does that mean that the Japanese General
21	Staff Office handed directly the intelligence
22	concerning the Soviet Union to the German Embassy?
23 24	A I think so, Lat I didn't see that done myself
	with my own eyes.
25	MR. SHIMANOUCHI: That is all.

MATSUMURA

CROSS

THE PRESIDENT: Major Furness. 1 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 2 BY MR. FURNESS: 3 Q General, on page 3 of your affidavit you 4 testify that the materials, intelligence materials 5 which you received were reported to the General Staff 6 Office from Japanese military attaches in Moscow. Those 7 military attaches were directly under the General 8 Staff and reported directly to them, were they not? 9 A Yes. 10 0 Are you familiar with the duties of military 11 attaches, Japanese as well as other countries, and if 12 so is it not their duty as routine to gather military 13 information and forward it to the General Staff of 14 15 the country of which they are a national? . 16 A Yes. 17 THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney. 18 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 19 BY MR. BLAKENEY: 20 Q Mr. Witness, in your affidavit you have stated 21 that the plan for the 1942 operations of the Kwantung 22 Army were forwarded by the General Staff and that upon 23 the basis of those plans the Kwantung Army staff drew 24 its plans. Was the plan which was forwarded from the 25 General Staff Office in Tokyo an extremely complete

CROSS

1	
1	plan?
2	A I don't get what you mean by the word "complete."
3	Q I mean, was the plan complete in the sense
4	that it laid out orders for each army, group of each
5	army, and perhaps each division in the event of
6	hostilities?
7	A The order did not include such details.
8	Q Then the plan was drawn only in general detail.
9	Is that your meaning?
10	A Yes. The plans received from the General
11	Staff Office, yes.
12	Q And the plan then required that the staff of
13	the Kwantung Army itself make plans for its implementa-
14	tion, is that correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Nevertheless, the plan which came from Tokyo
17	from the General Staff Office was the plan which laid
18	out the policy?
19	A Not only general policy or aim or objective
20	but the general strength of the Kwantung Army also.
21	Q That is to say that you, as a staff officer
22	of the Kwantung Army, and the remainder of the staff
23	of the Kwantung Army, and the commander in chief of
24	the Kwantung Army, had no part in formulating the
25	policy. Is that correct?

CROSS

1	
1	A Do you mean to formulate the policy received
2	from the General Staff Office?
3	Q No, I mean that the basic policy of what actions
4	would be taken in case of hostilities, were those formu-
5	lated by Tokyo and not by the Kwantung Army.
6	A Yes, you are right.
7	Q So that, although you state in your affidavit
8	that the operations plan against Soviet Russia for
9	1942 were signed by General UMEZU and others, actually
10	the plan was drawn by them at the order of the General
11	Staff Office in Tokyo, was it not?
12	A The operational plans of the Kwantung /rmy
13	itself was made, formulated, by the Kwantung Army.
14	Q In accordance with the order received from
15	the General Staff Office in Tokyo?
16	A Yes.
17	THE PRESIDENT: Would he know to what extent
18	the Commander in Chief of the Kwantung Army influenced
19	the policy of Tokyo?
20	THE WITNESS: All that we know is that we
21	must carry out the aims or policy determined and
22	forwarded by the General Staff Office in Tokyo.
23	MR. BLAKENEY: That was all I intended to ask.
24	That concluding the cross-examination, I request
25	the Tribunal to direct the Marshal to serve upon the

	P
1	witness the subpoena in his custody.
2	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chief Prosecutor.
3	MR. KEENAN: If I understand correctly, the
4	cross-examination has been completed.
5	THE PRESIDENT: Does any other defense counsel
6	desire to cross-examine?
7	MR. BLEWETT: No further cross-examination,
8	your Honor.
9	MR. KEENAN: Therefore, Mr. President, since
10	this witness is in the custody of the Soviet Union,
11	I ask that he remain in that custody subject to the
12	order of this Court that he return before this Tri-
13	bunal to give testimony at the appropriate time when
14	he is desired by defense counsel, and that he not be
15	required to remain here in the interim. I have the
16	assurance from the Soviet Associate Prosecutor, which
17	I might state has been always found to be highly
18	dependable, that this witness will be available at the
19	Court's direction at whatever time is required, of course,
20	for the legitimate purposes of this trial.
21	I think I ought to state to this Court that
22	the testimony and services in that regard of the witness
23	MATSUMURA and also SEJIMA are required for other pur-
24	poses in other investigations and trials pending in the
25	Soviet at the present time. And that is the reason

1	for this request. And as witnesses in thos proceed-
2	ings in the Soviet and in proceedings that are recognized
3	and provided for in the Charter establishing this Court
4	ard these proceedings too.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

MATSUMURA

-		
G r	1	THE PRESIDENT: Major Blakeney.
e e n b e r g	2	MR. BLAKENEY: I cannot conceive how this
	3	matter is argueable.
	4	THE PRESIDENT: Well, if you do not want to
	5	argue it, we can give our decision. But I might
& B a	6	need your assistance. It seems to me that the sub-
	7	poena afready served on him has placed him effective-
r t	8	ly in the custody of this Tribunal. And the position
o n	9	will not be improved if the second subpoena issued
	10	by the defense is served. The position will be
	11	exactly the same.
	12	Now, we must hold him here until we have
	13	disposed of his evidence. He is held by the Rus-
	14	sian authorities at the direction of this Court
	15	which is an international court comprising eleven
	16	nations and which does not consist of merely one
	17	nation. The defense should be at liberty, if they
	18	persist, to serve the subpoena on him and also to
	19	take a proof of his cvidence, as I suggested yester-
	20	day.
	21	Subject to that, he is to remain in the cus-
	22	tody of the Russian at thorities, but he is to be
	23	dealt with by them as directed by the Court so far
	24	as his movements are concerned. If it is desired to
	25	take him out of the jurisdiction, then that must be

1	the subject of a separate application supported by
2	evidence.
3	I have not definitely judged. That is what
4	I propose, subject to what you have to say, Major
5	Blakeney.
6	MR. BLAKENEY: If the President's remarks
. 7	constitute a direction that the witness be made
8	available for consultation with the defense, that is
9	all we desire.
10	THE PRESIDENT: My colleagues may desire
11	to qualify what I said. I shall consult them.
12	(Whereupon, a discussion was had
13	off the record.)
14	In carrying out that direction, we suggest
15	that you arrange with the Chief Frosecutor for a time
16	at which the proof will be taken.
17	MR. BLAKENEY: Frivately, of course?
18	THE FRESIDENT: With all proper safeguards
19	as to his custody, but privately, otherwise.
20	If you have any difference with the prose-
21	cution, you can ventilate it here later.
22	MR. BLAKENEY: That is eminently satisfac-
23	tory. I have no desire to become his guardian.
24	THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Rosenblitt.
25	The witness is released on the usual terms.
1.2.4.4	

	P
1	He is still in the custody of the Court, neverthe-
2	less.
3	(Whereupon, the witness was ex-
4	cused.)
5	COLONEL ROSENBLIT: As evidence of serious
6	preparations of Japan for an attack against the
7	USSR as far back as 1943, I shall produce in evi-
8	dence the affidavit of March 29, 1946 of the Japan-
9	ese Major General OTSUBA, Kajuma, who, in 1943, was
10	chief of the headquarters of the 23rd Japanese di-
11	vision, dislocated in Manchuria at that time, prose-
12	cution document No. 1981.
13	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.
14	MR. LOGAN: The defense objects to the last
15	question and answer set forth in the affidavit on
16	page ten thereof on the ground that it is a question
17	of assuming facts not in evidence. Secondly, we ob-
18	ject to the form of the question, and it is asking
19	for conclusions which are unsupported by the facts
20	set forth in the affidavit. And furthermore, it is
21	calling for conclusions which would usurp the func-
22	tions of the Court in its endeavor to determine re-
23	sponsibility, if any, of these accused.
24	MR. GOLUNSKY: If the Tribunal please, we
25	agree that this affidavit be accepted as evidence

1	with the exception of the last question and answer.
2	THE PRESIDENT: The position is covered by
3	our earlier decision this afternoon. The objection
à	is allowed.
5	Colonel Rosenblitt,
6	COLONEL ROSENBLIT: I want to call the
7	Tribunal's attention to the question, line 3 on
8	page 9 of the Japanese original, where the word
9	"plans" is missing by mistake.
10	It is evident from Major General OTSUBA's
11	testimony that in August 1943, he was present at a
12	conference at the headquarters of the Sixth Army in
13	the Town of Khailar, which conference was devoted
14	to the question of the coming military operations of
15	, the Sixth Army in the war against the USSR. The
16	conference was conducted by Major General IGETA,
17	chief of the headquarters of the Sixth Army.
18	Here it is as described by OTSUBA.
19	I did not get the exhibit number.
20	THE FRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
21	CLERK OF THE COURT: Frosecution's document
22	No. 1981 will receive exhibit No. 837.
23	(Whereupon, the document above
24	mentioned was marked prosecution's exhibit
25	No. 837 and received in evidence.)

COLONEL ROSENBLIT: I quote from page 6 of the English text:

1

2

24

25

11A As the Kwantung Army was to take the 3 offensive in the areas of the Maritime Frovince during 4 the first step of the war against the USSR, the 6th 5 Army was to go on the defensive in its area. There-6 fore, through the first two days of the conference, 7 8 the plan of defense in the area of the 6th Army was 9 discussed. It was the scheme of the commander that 10 we were to fight to death against the enemy in the 11 Zabaikalye Area until new divisions would arrive to 12 the Second front. On the third day of the conference, 13 the offensive operation was studied. The operation 14 was to be executed by the Front and the Army, rein-15 forced by new formations. In this occasion, the 2nd 16 front was to attack in the direction of Chita. The 17 commander's plan was, after concentrating the main 18 body in the area of Hailar, to make an attack from 19 the southern district of Lake Dalainoor by an en-20 circlement movement, behind the right wing of the 21 Zabaikalye front and advance to Borzia Area, destroy-22 ing the enemy forces and cutting off their tetreat." 23 I skip a number of lines and quote further:

"A At least twenty divisions were required in order to achieve the objective of attack in the area of Chita. However, our strength in this area was sufficient just to make a strong resistance. Therefore, in order to attack, reinforcement of between fifteen and twenty divisions from other areas was necessary. The regrouping of the Kwantung Army could be done only after the occupation of the Soviet Maritime Province and the accomplishment of the initial stage of war."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, if the Tribunal please, I shall present
 the affidavit of one more witness, KUSABA, Tatsumi,
 fermer Lieutenant-General of the Japanese Army.

MR. FURNESS: If the Court please, we make the usual request that this witness be produced for cross-examination and that we be advised of his status.

COLONEL ROSENBLIT': Now, if the Tribunal please, I shall present the affidavit of one more witness, KUSABA, Tatsumi, former Lieutenant General of the Japanese Army. The Tribunal are aware of the fact that KUSABA was offered --

(Whereupon, Mr. Furness began to speak at the lectern.)

THE FRESIDENT: I will call on you, Major Furness, when there is nobody else talking. Major Furness, your application -- the production of the witness you mentioned -- will be considered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

MR. FURNESS: I am referring to the affiant, Kazuma OTSUBO, exhibi+ 837.

COLONEL ROSENBLIT: The Tribunal are aware of the fact that KUSABA was offered to be introduced as a witness by the prosecution and was accord ingly summoned by the Tribunal. He was brought from the Soviet Union to Tokyo, and on the night of September 20, committed suicide. I submit to the Tribunal a death certificate given by Major General Kislenko, Deputy Member for the USSR, of the Allied Council for Japan of October 9, 1946.

Thus we are not able to produce the witness KUSABA in court, and we can only present to the Tribunal his affidavit written by himself in the Japanese language in Khabarovsk on March 25, 1946.

I offer in evidence this affidavit, prose cution document No. 1982.

20 NR. SHINANOUCHI: I am SHINANOUCHI, counsel 21 for the accused OSHINA. I refer the Tribunal to 22 prosecution document No. 1982, page 7 of the English 23 text, page 10 of the Japanese text, through the en-24 tire answer to the question, "Who agreed with this 25 opinion?" I am referring to the passage where it says that, among others, OSHINA "and many others and their activities were concentrated in attacking the territories of the USSR, China, and the Republic of Outer Mongolia, and they also urged the occupation of the South Sea Islands by Japanese forces" with Manchuria as a base.

8 In so far as OSHIMA is concerned, I object 9 to the tendering of this document as evidence. My 10 reasons are as follows:

11 THE PRESIDENT: I wish you would point out 12 what particular part you are objecting to.

<sup>13</sup> MR. GOLUNSKY: Ferhaps we can save time if
 <sup>14</sup> I say we agree to withdraw the whole question and
 <sup>15</sup> the whole answer.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is allowed.
 The affidavit of KISABA and the certificate
 of death are admitted on the usual terms.

<sup>19</sup> CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
 <sup>20</sup> No. 1982 and the certificate of death attached there <sup>21</sup> to will be given exhibit No. 838.

22

23

24

25

(Whereupon, the documents above mentioned were marked prosecution's exhibit No. 838 and received in evidence.) THE FRESIDENT: It is a lengthy affidavit.

8,164

1	It may be read on Monday. We will adjourn now until
2	half past nine on Monday morning.
3	(Whereupon, at 1555, an adjourn-
4	ment was taken until Monday, 21 October
5	1946 at 0930,"
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

