Late a transport to the first transport transport to the first transport transport

Renters 10

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Prosecution's Witnesses			
YAMAZAKI, Shigeru (resumed)	14850		
Cross by Dr. KIYOSE (cont'd) " " Mr. KUSANO " " Mr. SOMIYA " " Mr. SHIOHARA	14850 14853 14878 14882		
(Witness excused)	14889		
Stewart, Jesse L., Master Technical Sergeant, U. S. Marine Corps	14911		
Direct by Captain Robinson	14911		
Cross by Mr. Logan " Mr. Levin	14938 14949		

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

	Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
2407A	2027	Certificate of E. Tomlin Bailey, of the Department of State, United States of America		14891

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(contid)

	Pros.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
2702A	2028	Official Record of Army Headquarters Melbourne "Australian Prisoners of War (RAN, AMF, RAAF) in the Far East"		14901
2297A	2029	Report on Prisoners of War, Dominion of Canada		14901
2448	2030	Strength and Casualties Report of the United Kingdom - 1939 to 1945		14903
1804A	2031	Report of 31 December 1945 from the United Kingdom and Colonial Prisoners of War in the Far East		14904
1502A	2032	Schedule showing numbers of New Zealanders Reported as Prisoners of War in Japanes Hands	se	14905
2942	2033	Report on United States Prisoners of War Received from Provost Marshal Genera Office, American Prisoner of War Information Bureau		14907
8431	2034	Letter dated 20 April 1942 for TOGO, Shigeru to the Minist Extraordinary and Plenipote of Switzerland	ter	14932

Friday, 10 January 1947 1 2 3 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL 4 FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal 5 War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan 7 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 8 9 at 0930. 10 11 Appearances: 12 For the Tribunal, same as before with 13 the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE JU-AO MEI, 14 Member from the Republic of China. HONORABLE JUSTICE HENRI BERNARD, Member 15 16 from the Republic of France, now sitting. 17 For the Prosecution Section, same as 18 before. 19 For the Defense Section, same as before. 20 21 (English to Japanese and Japanese 22 to English interpretation was made by the 23 Language Section, IMTFE.) 24 25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present

except OKAWA, MUTO, HOSHINO and ARAKI, who are represented by their counsel. We have certificates from the prison surgeon of Sugamo prison, certifying that MUTO, HOSHINO and ARAKI are too ill to attend the trial today. The certificates will be recorded and filed.

THE PRESIDENT: Dr. KIYCSE.

11

12

13

14

10

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

. 9

SHIGERU YAMAZAKI, called as a witness on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand and testified as follows:

CROSS-EYAMINATION

6 BY DR. KIYOSE (Continued):

O Where are you residing at present? Where do you lodge at present, Mr. Witness?

A I reside at Number 3, Nino Kamae, Iwadeyama, the town of Iwadeyama, Tamazukuri county, Miyagi prefecture.

o Mr. Witness, have you ever gone to Sugamo prison?

A No.

O Have you ever been investigated by the

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

wav:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

International Prosecution Section in connection with prisoners of war?

A Yes, I have.

Can you state on what points you were questioned?

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: I object, if the Court please. I submit that this is irrelevant.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

DR. KIYOSE: Then I'll ask the question this

O What you were asked was what your position was with respect to the question.

I will withdraw that question.

The points on which you were investigated about, Mr. Witness, pertain to whether or not the Prisoners of War Information Bureau received orders from the War Ministry, or other organizations --Prisoners of War Information Bureau, in which you worked.

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: I object to this question also, if the Tribunal please, as I submit it is the same question in another form.

THE PRESIDENT: If you are suggesting, Dr. KIVOSE, that he made a statement inconsistent with his present testimony, you are entitled to bring

that out.

DR. KIYOSE: That is my intention, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: You may examine him, if so.

A I don't think there are any inconsistencies between what I have stated already to the International Prosecution Section and what I said here.

O At that time did you reply that the Prisoners of War Information Bureau received directions from the Military Affairs Bureau of the War Ministry?

A What I meant -- what I answered was not that the Frisoners of War Information Bureau received directions from the Military Affairs Bureau of the War Ministry, but that the former couldn't do anything without receiving directions from the latter.

THE MONITOR: That the Prisoners of War Information Bureau could not do anything unless all matters were routed through the Military Affairs Bureau.

But you did not testify that it received -that is, the Prisoners of War Information Bureau received instructions or orders from that bureau?

A Yes, instructions were received from the War Minister.

1	O Then not from the Military Affairs Bureau?
2	A No orders were ever received directly from
3	the Military Affairs Bureau.
4	DR. KIYOSE: That is all, sir.
5	MR. KUSANO: Counsel KUSANO.
6	THE PRESIDENT: Counsel.
7	
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
9	BY MR. KUSANO:
10	O At what period did you graduate from the
11	Military Academy?
12	A Twenty-fifth period.
13	Q That means that you were in the same class
14	as Lieutenant General MUTO.
15	A Yes.
17	O Do you know what period SATO belongs to?
18	At any rate
19	THE INTERPRETER: The witness' reply was,
20	"I think around the twenty-ninth period."
21	To this the counselor replied, "You were
22	a senior of SATO's? Is that right?"
23	To which the witness replied, "Yes."
24	0 What branch of the army did you belong to,
25	the infantry, artillery or what?
	A Before the revision of the organizations of

the army was effected I belonged to the infantry branch. Before the revision of the branches of service was effected I belonged to the infantry 3 branch. O What about after the reorganization or the revision? A I belonged to the -- after the revision I 7 8 belonged to the main -- was an officer in the main 9 branch, by which I mean the branch including the 10 artillery, infantry, gendarmerie and so forth, as 11 distinguished from the army surgeon's office and 12 intendance office. 13 Mr. Witness, have you graduated the War 14 College? 15 A No, I have not. 16 Have you ever served as a staff officer? 0 17 I have never served as a staff officer. A 18 Then can you briefly state your career 19 prior to your entry into the Prisoners of War Infor-20 mation Bureau? Will you, please? 21 THE PRESIDENT: Why do you want that? 22 MR. KUSANO: I want to know what qualifi-23 cations he has with respect to the position he 24 occupied in the Prisoners of War Information Bureau. 25 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: I object, if the

3

6

7

8 9

10

12

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

Court please, as I submit that is irrelevant. We only asked him the facts while he was there.

THE PRESIDENT: No special qualifications were necessary for him to depose to what he did depose to.

Then I ask you, Mr. Witness, in this fashion: You know, Mr. Witness, that the business in the War Ministry is conducted in accordance with the regulations governing the organization of the War Ministry, as well as the regulations governing the handling of business in the War Ministry?

A Yes, of course.

O Then, Mr. Witness, are you familiar with the regulations governing organization of the Prisoners of War Information Bureau, the regulations governing POW camps, and the regulations governing the treatment of prisoners of war?

A At the time I was in service I knew them, but most of them I believe I have forgotten now.

Most of those rules I have forgotten now, I think I have forgotten now.

O Mr. Witness, you testified yesterday that the chief of the Prisoners of War Control Bureau was given power of decision on non-important matters. Did you not?

3

7 "

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

2/

25

A Yes, as you say.

on the part of the Prisoners of War Control Bureau is the delegated powers as mentioned in the adjustant's notification to the Prisoners of War Control Bureau?

A Yes, as you say.

O Then I shall read points 1, 2, 3 and 4 of the adjutant's notification, so will you please listen?

Number 1 is general items pertaining to the internment, regulation and control, exchange, release, utilization, punishment and treatment of prisoners of war and other internees in the combat zone under army control.

Do you recall this point?

A I should like to ask you a question.

THE INTERPRETER: To which the counsel said, "I am asking the question. Will you please answer?"

A It is necessary for me to ask you a question before answering.

Then I will listen to your question after I have finished reading these pages.

A It is a very simple matter. Then please ask.

THE INTERPRETER: The witness asked, "Are 2 you referring to the regulations governing the organization of the POW Information Bureau, or the Control Bureau?" To which the counsel said, "No, I am speaking of the adjutant's notification." Mr. Witness, are you familiar with the regulations governing the treatment of prisoners of 9 war, army secret 10345, issued in March 1942? 10 THE INTERPRETER: Correction. 1034. 11 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: I object, if the 12 Tribunal please, on the ground that this does not 13 arise out of examination in chief, and it is ir-14 relevant. 15 THE PRESIDENT: Well, this witness came here 16 to fix the responsibility on the War Minister for 17 the operations of these boards concerning prisoners. 18 Whether these regulations throw any light on that, 19 I don't know. 20 21

Counsel, what is your purpose in putting these regulations to the witness?

MR. KUSANO: That is because I want to ascertain whether the business handled by this witness in the Prisoners of War Information Bureau and his testimony are in accord with these regulations.

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: No, that doesn't justify

MR. KUSANO: Then I shall turn to another

Do you know, Mr. Witness, how the duties

question.

of the Prisoners of War Control Bureau are stipulated in the regulations governing the treatment of prisoners of war?

the question. The objection is allowed.

A Although I don't recall exactly the details of the stipulations, I believe that rules concerning control of the prisoners of war in general, including accommodations, transportation, or supplies — wages of the prisoners of war, and rations of the prisoners of war, were included among those stipulations.

Then, in other words, some of the things have been clarified by your testimony -- but, in other words, items of a non-important nature with respect to the treatment, internment and control of prisoners of war, were stipulated in the regulations, was it not?

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: I submit, if the Court please, that the regulations speak for themselves, and I therefore object to this line of questioning on the ground that it is irrelevant.

21 22

THE PRESIDENT: There are provisions in the Convention dealing with the obligation to see that they are carried out. You may cross-examine along those lines. I thought you might be doing so, but you are not.

The objection is allowed.

Then, Mr. Witness, you testified yesterday that items of a not important character were -- correction -- Mr. Witness, you testified yesterday that the chief of the business of War Control Bureau was given power of decision on items of a not important nature. Did you not? With regard to treatment of prisoners of war?

A Yes, the reason for it is as the counsel stated a while ago, within the limits of items delegated by the adjutant's notifications.

Q And in your testimony yesterday you stated, did you not, that directions on important matters were received from the Military Affairs Bureau?

A I used -- although I used the word
"directions," I should like to explain it more
fully -- the meaning of it more fully. I meant by
it that the opinion of either the War Minister or
Vice-Minister were conveyed through the Military
Affairs Bureau.

1 2 3

7 8

	0	The	en, I	Ir.	Witnes	ss,	the	chie	ef of	the	Prison-
ers	of W	ar C	Conti	rol	Bureau	a ca	errie	es ou	it the	e dut	ties
ass	igned	to	him	by	order	of	the	War	Minis	ster.	. Is
that	t not	so?									

A Will you repeat that question again, please?

O The chief of the Prisoners of War Control
Bureau carries out the duties of that particular
bureau by order of the War Minister, does it not?

A Yes, as stipulated in the regulations governing the organization.

O Yesterday, in your testimony, Mr. Witness, did you not state that the chief of the Military Affairs Bureau of the War Ministry, as one of the staff officers of the War Minister, transmitted the directions of the Minister and also supervised the Control Bureau? Did you not?

A Yes.

		YA.
GO	1	
OLDB	1	
ER	2	fo
G	3	bu
ôc	4	as
K	5	
PL	6	me
E	7	th
Ü	8	Mi
	9	en
	10	me
	11	th
	12	lwi
	13	or
	14	ha
	15	
	16	re
	17	
	18	
	19 20	am
	21	
	21	

23

25

	Q	Then,	are	there	any	regu	lati	ions	prov	viding
for	supe	rvisio	on of	the	pris	oners	10	war	cont	trol
bure	eau k	by the	chie	f of	the	Milita	ary	Affa	irs	Bureau
as a	sta	ff of	ficer	of t	he W	ar Mir	nist	er?		

- Meaning of the word "supervision." It simply means that orders of the War Minister or the Vice War Minister are to be conveyed. I should like to enlarge on the meaning—interpretation of the meaning of "supervision," if I may; that is, that this bureau receives the orders from the Vice War Minister or the Vice Minister and transmits that order to the bureau concerned. I should like to have you interpret this in that strong meaning.
- Q Could you give a few concrete examples with respect to that?

THE MONITOR: In explaining that.

- A I don't recall just now any concrete examples.
- Q The chief of the Control Bureau -- Prisoner of War Control Bureau at that time was Lieutenant General UEMURA, was it not?
 - Yes.
 - Q Then, Lieutenant General UEMURA did not

1	receive directions or was placed under the super-
2	vision of SATO, Chief of the Military Affairs
3	Bureau, is that not so?
4	A My interpretation is that he did not re-
5	ceive the supervision or direction in the legal
6	sense or in the sense of the regulations governing
7	the organization of the Bureau.
8	Q Then, with respect to the personnel ques-
9	tions pertaining to the Control Bureau, this was
10	under the charge of the Personnel Bureau of the
11	War Ministry, was it not?
12	THE PRESIDENT: If Lieutenant General
13	
14	UEMURA Is that his name?
15	THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
16	THE PRESIDENT (continuing): did not
17	receive directions, what did he receive? There
18	were some communications to him, were there?
19	Answer, please, Witness.
20	THE WITNESS: I was not listening to it
21	carefully.
22	THE INTERPRETER: I will repeat.
23	THE PRESIDENT: You said that Lieutenant
24	General UEMURA did not receive directions in the
25	legal sense or in the sense of the regulations.

Did he receive any requests or any communications of that kind?

THE WITNESS: There may have been requests or recommendations from the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, but I don't -- I have no direct knowledge of it.

THE PRESIDENT: What was their form of cooperation, if they did cooperate?

THE WITNESS: I remember that important matters were communicated -- discussed or communicated among the different -- various bureaus concerned at the time of the conference -- weekly conference of chiefs of bureaus, departments or sections, which was held once per week.

matters were generally handled at a weekly conference of chiefs of bureau, whereat important matters were discussed and information exchanged. I make a correction. I should have said questions of bureau chiefs instead of conference of department chiefs.

THE INTERPRETER: The English remains the same; there is a difference in the Japanese terminology.

3

4 5

7

8 9

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

25

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the bureaus dealing with prisoners of war did not act independently but cooperated with the War Ministry?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

BY MR. KUSANO (Continued):

- Q Then, the person who supervised the bureau chiefs is the Vice Minister, is it not?
 - A I believe so.
- Q You are aware, Mr. Witness, of the existence of general regulations coverning various government departments?
- As a matter of common sense I know of the existence of such a regulation, but I never studied --investigated it personally.
- Q Then, are you familiar with the fact that these general regulations governing the various government departments are also stipulated in the regulations governing the handling of business in the War Ministry?
 - A That may be so.
- Q Is this not so, Mr. Witness? That Lieutenant General UEMURA, Chief of the Prisoner of War Control Bureau, at the regular conference of bureau chiefs or at other meetings asked directly for the

orders of the War Minister or the Vice Minister and made reports thereon?

As you say.

Q Then, the Prisoner of War Information
Bureau handled the information regarding prisoners
in accordance with the duties of that bureau as
stipulated in the regulations governing the organization, is that not so?

A Yes.

.

_

7 8

Q Then protests from enemy countries and communications from the Red Cross with respect to the treatment of prisoners of war were sent by Mr. SUZUKI of the Foreign Office to Lieutenant General UEMURA, were they not? Mr. SUZUKI is of ministerial rank.

A Now, as I recall, those important matters as protests were directly sent to the Minister -War Minister or to the Vice-Minister and copy
thereof being sent to the Chief of the Prisoners of
War Information Bureau through Foreign Office. As
I recall, if I remember correctly, I think items of
a non-important nature, or a less important nature,
were sent directly to Lieutenant General UEMURA.

THE PRESIDENT: On receipt of those communications, the two bureaus, or the bureau concerned
and the War Ministry would consult, I take it?

THE WITNESS: In the case of documents arising from the Foreign Office, those documents were -- first were sent to the Military Affairs Section of the Military Affairs Bureau of the War Ministry through the Secretariat of the War Ministry, and then the matter was taken up and the matter was discussed.

THE PRESIDENT: Discussed between the War Ministry and the bureau concerned?

1 THE WITNESS: Discussion with bureaus 2 concerned, the conference of all bureaus concerned. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Would the War Ministry be 4 represented at the conferences? 5 THE WITNESS: What I mean is various bureaus 6 and sections within the War Ministry and the section 7 of the general staff concerned. 8 THE PRESIDENT: That means that there would 9 be discussion with the Army? 10 THE WITNESS: Within the Army. 11 THE PRESIDENT: Would the Military Affairs 12 Board be present at the discussions? 13 THE WITNESS: That depends on the nature of 14 the question. 15 THE PRESIDENT: If it related to prisoners 16 of war and was a communication from another government? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 THE PRESIDENT: Would the bureaus act 19 contrary to the decision of the Military Bureau --20 Military Affairs Board? 21 22 THE WITNESS: I do not understand. 23 THE MONITOR: I don't know. 24 THE PRESIDENT: Would the bureaus dare to make a decision not approved by the Military Affairs Board?

correction to make.

1	THE WITNESS: I cannot judge either.
2	BY MR. KUSANO (Continued):
3	Q Then, at any rate, there are no communi-
4	cations addressed directly by the Foreign Office
5	to the Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau?
6	A Matters or documents emanating from the
7	Foreign Minister himself or the Vice-Minister and
8	letters sent by officials under of lower level
9	of the Foreign Office were generally sent or in
10	some cases were sent to the Bureau of Military Affairs
11	THE PRESIDENT: Well, to shorten it, can
13	you tell us who would necessarily be responsible
14	for any action taken on a letter from a foreign
15	government about prisoners of war?
16	THE WITNESS: The addressee of the letter
17	should take the responsibility. That is my view.
18	MR. KUSANO: Mr. President, in order to
19	clarify this point, may I have your permission,
20	Mr. President, to show to this witness Court exhibits
21	2021 and 2020?
22	THE PRESIDENT: You may.
23	(Whereupon, some documents were handed
24	to the Witness.)
25	THE MONITOR: Mr. President, we have a slight

Mr. Goldberg, will you read the President's 1 statement? 2 (Whereupon, the official court reporter 3 read as follows:) "THE PRESIDENT: Well, to shorten it, can 5 you tell us who would necessarily be responsible 6 for any action taken on a letter from a foreign government about prisoners of war?" 8 9 BY MR. KUSANO (Continued): 10 Mr. Witness, I ask you about the form. 11 It is addressed to the Chief of the Prisoners of War 12 Information Bureau and the sender is Minister SUZUKI 13 of the Foreign Office, is it not? 14 A Yes. 15 All letters exchanged followed that form, 16 did it not, and the addressee was Chief of the Prisoners 17 of War Information Bureau, was it not? 18 Yes. Is this an official communication? 19 0 Yes. 20 THE INTERPRETER: Rather, is it an original 21 or a transcript of it? 22 No, it is a copy. 23 THE INTERPRETER: The last statement by counsel. 24 On its face it is a letter from -- sent by 25

Minister SUZUAI of the Gaimusho to the Chief of the

YAMAZAKI

Prisoners of War Information Bureau. I am not sure whether this letter contains word for word the content of the protest from the United States concerning the treatment of prisoners of war. Which is it?

TV2	
0	
r	
S	
9	
G	
•	
&	
W	
h	
a	
a 1	
е	
n	
**	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
	13
	14
	15
1	1)
	16
	10
	17
	11
	18
	10
	19
	19
	20
	20
	21
	21
	22
	22
	22
	23
	24
	24

Q	Are	there	any	communi	Lcat	tions	address	ed
directly	by a	forei	ign	country	to	the	Prisoner	of
War Information Bureau?								

A Protests from foreign countries are sent in general to the ministers concerned.

Q Then, communications addressed to the Chief of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau is channeled through the adjutant's office of the secretariat of the War Ministry, is it not?

A Yes, pertaining to those addressed to the Chief of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau.

Q How about communications addressed to the Minister and the Vice-Minister?

A Letters addressed to the Minister or Vice War Minister, Vice-Minister, are generally channeled through the Military Affairs Bureau and if it concerns the Prisoner of War Information Bureau then a copy is sent to this bureau.

Q It is rather by way of the adjutant's office for the secretariat rather than the Military Affairs Bureau, is it not?

A If I said adjutant's office I made a mistake so I should like to say that those letters were channeled through the secretariat of the War Ministry and the jetters addressed to the Minister or

7 8

Vice-Minister were channeled through the Military Affairs Bureau.

Q That is, are routed by way of the secretariat and not necessarily through the Military Affairs Bureau, is it not?

A That matter concerns bureaus with which I was not connected. Therefore, I do not know exactly. However, I believe that in view of the situation prevailing at that time those documents, letters, passed through the Military Affairs Bureau. I recall that they were channeled through the Military Affairs Bureau generally.

Q When protests were received with respect to the treatment of prisoners of war in various localities, was it not a fact that the Prisoner of war Information Bureau passed the information on to the various commands or camps related to this question?

A In order to ascertain whether the substance of the protest is consistent with the actual facts, the Prisoner of War Information Bureau would, as business routine, communicate with the various commands concerned with the prisoners of war and obtain the facts.

Q Then the Prisoner of War Information Bureau

A Yes, that was customary.

O Then, where is the reply prepared following

has the authority to demand or ask reports of various army field commanders, is that so -- has the authority to request various armies to provide information?

A In asking for investigation concerning prisoner of war matters -- correction: concerning matters concerned under the jurisdiction of various departments, the Chief of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau had no right to ask directly for investigation of the army commanders and others.

In that case drafts were made in the first place and then they were made into notes, notifications, issued by the Vice-War Minister, and then conveyed -- transmitted -- to the commanders of armies in the field through chiefs of bureaus concerned.

Q Then with respect to medicines and sanitation, hygiene, the matters would be sent by way of the Chief of the Medical Affairs Bureau, those with respect to questions relating to the gendarmerie would be routed through the Chief of the Military Serwice Bureau, and those relating to matters pertaining to clothing and food would be routed by way of the Chief of the Intendance Bureau, is that not so?

a conference on these matters?

2

4

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Concerning medical matters, by the Medical Bureau; and concerning matters pertaining to the Intendance Department, by the Intendance Bureau; and concerning other matters, bureaus concerned with those matters were responsible for drafting reports on those matters and, after having obtained -- after having affixed signatures of all bureausconcerned with the question on hand, the replies were sent.

THE PRESIDENT: Did you attend any meetings of the bureau chiefs at which protests were considered?

THE WITNESS: No.

Then these replies are sent out after receiving the approval of the War Minister, is that not so?

Approval was given either by the War Minister or Vice War Minister according to the importance of the problem and then sent out. That is what I believed.

Then is the name of the particular bureau concerned or its seal affixed on the draft of the reply?

As I recall, yes.

Then I will ask with an example. Then

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2324

25

protests addressed to the Chief of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau are sent to the Prisoner of War Information Bureau by way of the secretariat of the War Ministry, is that not so -- addressed to the Prisoner of War Information Bureau by the Foreign Office?

THE MONITOR: By way of.

THE INTERPRETER: The secretariat of the War Ministry.

A If there was any protest addressed directly to the director of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau that would be the case.

THE INTERPRETER: From the foreign government.

Q There seems to have been some misunderstanding, Mr. Witness. Might I ask again, were not letters,
protests, documents sent by the Foreign Office to
the Chief of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau,
were these documents not routed through the secretariat
of the War Ministry?

A Yes, that is the normal procedure.

Q Then, as a second step, the Prisoner of War Information Bureau would request necessary investigation of the question on hand to the army in the field concerned or to the camp concerned?

2

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

A As a whole, yes.

Then, as a third step, the Prisoner of War Information Boreau would assemble the reports or replies received from the various field armies as well as camps and then prepare the reply upon consultation with other bureaus concerned, is that not so?

Depending on the content or nature of the problem.

And then, as a fourth step, depending on the importance of the subject on hand, the reply would be sent to the Foreign Office after receiving the approval of the War Minister or Vice-Minister of War or the Chief of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau, is that not so?

As I stated before, depending on the importance of the matter replies are sent after having consulted with chiefs of bureaus inside and outside of the ministry or with the -- after having obtained approval of the Minister or the Vice-Minister.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

777	
W	
0	
-	
olf	
f	
-	
&	
a	
V	
Y	
e	
7	
1	
-	
eld	
e	
- 4	
n	

	MARSHAL	OF	THE	COURT	: Th	e I	nter	national
Military	Tribunal	fo	or th	ne Far	East	is	now	resumed.
	C	ROS	SE _FE	XAMTNA	TTON			

BY MR. KUSANO: (Continued)

Q Mr. Witness, I shall ask you questions on some other point.

Mr. Witness, do you recall that a conference of Camp Commandants was held under the auspices of the Prisoner of War Control Bureau in June or July 1942?

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: If the Tribunal please, I object on the ground that this does not arise out of the examination in chief.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you want to be heard on that? The objection is upheld.

THE INTERPRETER: The witness replied, "I know."

MR. KUSANO: Mr. President, may I continue questions on this point? Is it alright for me to continue questions on this matter?

THE PRESIDENT: Put your questions and then I will be able to answer you, if objection is taken.

Q What was the purpose for which this conference was called? I ask you because you say you recall the conference.

1	MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: I object, if the						
2	Tribunal please, on the ground that this does not						
3	arise out of the examination in chief.						
4	THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.						
5	MR. KUSANO: This concludes my cross-examination	,					
6	your Honor.						
7	MR. SOMIYA: Counsel SOMIYA, Shinji.						
8	THE PRESIDENT: Counsel SOMIYA.						
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)						
0	BY MR. SOMIYA:						
1	Q Were there Frisoners of War Information						
2	Bureau and the Prisoner of War Control Bureau in the						
3	Navy?						
4	A There were no Prisoners of War Information						
5	Bureau nor Prisoner of War Control Bureau in the						
6							
7	of the Navy were transferred to the jurisdiction						
.8	under the Prisoner of War Information Bureau or the						
9	Army as well as the Control Bureau. However, concerning						
20	as to the treatment of the prisoners of war before they						
21	were transferred to the jurisdiction into the						
22	jurisdiction of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau						
23	and the Prisoner of War Control Bureau, commanders						
24	Navy commanders in the fields were responsible.						
25							

Then, the treatment of prisoners of war by

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

the Navy, that is, the Mavy's control or administration of prisoners of war is provisional pending the time they enter -- they are transferred -- under the command of the Army?

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: I object, if the Tribunal please, on the ground that this does not arise out of the examination in chief.

MR. SOMIYA: I am directing this question to the witness, Mr. President, because it arises from the fact that in -- It arises from the examination in chief relating to the administration or control of prisoners of war.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection overruled.

Will you reply, Mr. Witness?

There are no such bureaus as Navy's Prisoner of War Information Bureau. There is no such thing as the Army's Prisoner of War Information Bureau or the Navy Prisoner of War Information Bureau. As a bureau outside the Cabinet -- As an outside Bureau of the Cabinet, prisoners of war which were held both by the Army and the Navy were treated equally, under supervison and directions of the Prime Minister, I think, and, I think, that the Minister of War became the Director of the Information and Control Bureaus by that authority delegated to him by the Cabinet.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
O	
6	

Q Then, is the control or administration of prisoners of war captured by the Navy treated provisionally by the Navy pending their transfer to other authorities -- Army authorities?

A. As I said before there is no such thing as Army's Prisoner of War Information Bureau.

Q What I am inquiring, Mr. Witness, is about the control and administration of prisoners of war and not about the Prisoners of War Information Bureau.

A Before the prisoners of war are transferred under the command of the Prisoners of War Information Bureau, Prisoner of War Control Bureau, or to various prisoner of war camps under the command -- under the jurisdiction of the War Minister, Navy is temporarily responsible for the treatment.

Q Then, I will ask you again, Mr. Witness, generally speaking the control and administration of prisoners of war is done by the Army, and the Navy handles matters with respect to prisoners of war temporarily or provisionally, pending the time they are prisoners of war in the Navy's hand are transferred to the Army authorities.

A I think you can interpret it in that way.

Q Is this the same whether in Japan -- the Japanese mainland -- that is, Japan proper or in

8 9

7

11

10

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22,

24

25

occupied areas?

Yes.

What kind of work were you. Mr. Witness. engaged in after March 1943? That is my next question.

In March 1943 I was transferred from the post of a member of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau to -- and transferred from that position and transferred to the Headquarters of the North China Expeditionary Forces.

MR. SOMIYA: Thank you, that is all.

1	MR. LOGAN: No further cross-examination,
2	your Honor.
3	MR. WOOLTORTH: If the Tribunal please, I
4	request the witness be excused.
5	THE PRESIDENT: He is excused on the usual
6	terms.
7	MR. WOOLWORTH: There will be one more.
8	THE PRESIDENT: I thought he said there
9	would be no further cross-examination.
10	Remain there, Witness, please.
11	MR. SHIOHARA: Counsel SHIOHARA.
12	THE PRESIDENT: Counsel SHIOHARA.
13	Mr. SHIOHARA: I should like to ask a few
14	simple questions of the witness.
15	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
16	BY MR. SHIOHARA:
17	Q Mr. Witness, you said that the Prisoners of
18	War Information Bureau was established in accordance
19	with international treaty and was under the supervision
20	of the Prime Minister as an outside bureau of the
21	Cabinet; is that so?
22	A As I recall, yes.
23	Q Then, documents relating to protest with
24	regard to the treatment of prisoners of war were, as
25	a matter of course, transmitted by the Foreign Office

Hinister, but is it not a violation of the regulations for these documents to go to the War Minister and important bureaus within the War Ministry rather than directly to the chief of the Prisoners of War Information Bureau; should it not be proper that these documents be addressed directly to the chief of the Bureau of Prisoners of War Information?

A As I recall the Prisoners of War Information
Bureau was a bureau outside the Cabinet and matters
pertaining to the prisoners of war were relegated by
the Prime Minister to the Minister of War; and, as
TOJO was concurrently Prime Minister holding the portfolios of Prime Minister and the War Minister, the
Prisoners of War Information Bureau was closely
directed -- was under the close supervision and direction of the Prime Minister. That is my interpretation.

Q Then, may I take it this way: because

General TOJO was concurrently Prime Minister and War

Minister he directed and supervised the Prisoners of

War Information Bureau as one of the members of the

Cabinet?

A I do not know much about the content of the regulations governing the organization of the ministry.

Q At any rate, although the same man was Prime
Minister and War Minister concurrently, I take it
from your remark the Prisoners of War Information
Bureau was not an organ belonging to the War Ministry?

THE MONITOR: Japanese court reporter --

(Whereupon, the last question was read by the Japanese court reporter.)

Q (Reinterpreted) At any rate, Mr. Witness, the Prime Minister and War Minister was held concurrently and the Prisoners of War Information Bureau was under his control, but from your statement the Prisoners of War Information Bureau did not belong to the War Ministry; may I take it that way then?

A From a strict legal point of view it may be so; however, actually the Prisoners of War Information Bureau and the Prisoners of War Control Bureau consisted chiefly of members of the army and of only one member from the navy. Therefore, chiefly members of the army with the exception of only one who was a member of the navy so I believe it may be said, as a whole, that it was chiefly run by men who hailed from the army, but that is a very delicate point of that matter.

Q I am asking you about the regulations governing the organization of the organ and I am not speaking

about where the personnel was drawn from. I now ask you another question.

A while ago you testified, Mr. Witness, that the addressee of protests with respect to treatment of prisoners of war was responsible. There is no mistake about that statement.

THE PRESIDENT: It could not always be true.

A Yes, he would have the responsibility in so far as the communication or letter itself is concerned, but with respect to the substance of the protest or with respect to those who committed any infringement of regulations the responsibility for these would rest elsewhere.

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Mr. SUZUKI, Tadakazu -- his first name is sometimes read "Kyuman" -- of the Foreign Office has testified or has atated that he determined the addressees of various diplomatic notes on his own. That would mean that SUZUKI would determine, himself, what party would be the responsible authority, and that would be a little funny -- that is strange.

A It is a matter belonging to the Foreign Office and of which I will not be able to answer.

Q You stated, Mr. Witness, that the Prisoner of War Information Bureau, in handling the actual protests themselves, could not handle it on its own but had to act through the various bureaus concerned of the War Ministry. But Article 5 of the regulations governing the Prisoner of War Information Bureau states that the Chief of the Bureau may ask for reports and information from various military forces concerned — that is, various forces, both of the Army and Navy, concerned. Do you mean to say that this regulation was not carried out, or this stipulation?

A It is merely a matter of interpreting letters of the regulations governing the organization of the Ministry; but, actually, it was difficult not to pass those orders through the chain of command of the

Army.

Q What is the relation of the various bureaus of the War Ministry with the military chain of command?

A Concerning the treatment of prisoners of war, commanders of armies in the field were directly supervising and directing the matter of prisoners -- handling of prisoners of war; and, therefore, matters must be referred to the Army General Staff.

These matters must be passed through the Army General Staff.

Q That means, then, my question was wrong, and you are referring to the General Staff, is that it?

A Whether it was in Japan or outside Japan, all matters pertaining to prisoners of war in the field were directed and supervised by commanders of the armies or commanders of divisions -- independent divisions. Therefore, if those matters were not passed through them, we were reprimanded.

Q Then I understand that these matters have to be passed through the Army chain of command -- of the High Command. The Chief of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau had certain powers delegated to him. Were there other organs to which powers were delegated?

1,1

8 9

A Concerning questions pertaining to hygiene -the Medical Bureau, and matters pertaining to the
Intendance Bureau -- I recall that certain powers were
delegated in connection with prisoners of war matters
to the Medical Bureau of the War Ministry and to the
Intendance Bureau of the War Ministry in so far as these
questions of intendance and medical affairs were concerned.

Q You are not mistaken, are you? We have not been able to discover anything like that in spite of some study.

A I don't know whether those matters were written in the regulations provided in the regulations -- by the regulations governing the organization of the Ministry; but, actually -- of the Bureau. But, actually, it was done.

Q What I am asking you, Mr. Witness, is whether these things are written down. I am not speaking of the regulations.

A I do not remember.

Q Do you recall whether the Vice-Minister had certain powers delegated to him with respect to prisoner of war matters?

A Yes. I think he had, because there are matters which had to be authorized by him.

		•
1		
1	١	
	١	
2	۱	
-	۱	
	l	
3	۱	
	١	
4	۱	
-		
5		
6		
0		
7		
4		
•		
8		
	ı	
9	l	
-	I	
	l	
10	l	
	ı	
11		
11		
	ı	
12	l	
	ı	
13	ı	
	l	
	l	
14	ļ	
	1	
15		
-		
1/		
16		
17		
18		
18		-
19		
1		
20		1
20		
		1
21		-
		-
22		1

24

25

THE	PRI	ESIDENT:	What	were	they?
((No	response)		

Q Then let me say something first: As a matter of fact, in my own investigation, the Vice-Minister of War had no powers delegated to him with respect to matters pertaining to prisoners of war. That is clear on paper, and I am asking you whether you know of that or not.

- A I do not remember that.
- Q Then you do not recall?
- A No.

MR. SOMIYA: That is all, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: He is again excused on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

Somebody ought to be able to tell us, and
I think we ought to know, just what happened to a
letter when it came from Britain or America to Japan
protesting the treatment of prisoners. I am unable
to say that this witness has made the position clear.

MR. WOOLWORTH: There will be a witness produced --

THE PRESIDENT: We should know what steps were taken on the letter and by whom so that we might

fix the responsibility so far as it rests on that procedure. It may not.

```
MR. WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please,
   the prosecution desires to introduce in evidence
   document, 2407A, which is a certificate of E. Tomlin
   Bailey, of the Department of State of the United
   States.
            THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
 7
            CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
   No. 24074 will receive exhibit No. 2027.
9
                  (Whereupon, the document above
10
        referred to was marked prosecution's ex-
11
        hibit No. 2027 and received in evidence.)
12
            IR. WOOLWORTH: This document is duly
13
   certified by the Acting Secretary of State.
14
            (Reading): "INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
15
   FOR THE FAR EAST.
16
            "THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA" -- I will omit
17
   the title, if I may.
18
            "City of Washington,
19
            "District of Columbia,
20
            "United States of America.
21
            "I, E. Tomlin Bailey, being duly sworn, on
22.
   oath depose and say:
23
            "I am Assistant Chief of the Special Projects
24
   Division of the Department of State of the United States,
   in charge of Prisoners of War Branch of that Division,
```

in which I have served since November, 1942. Since its organization in 1942 and up to the present time, The Prisoners of War Branch has been charged with the duty of initiating and coordinating State Department policy and action in all matters pertaining to civilian internees and prisoners of war and international conventions relating to their status.

upon official records of the Department of State, and in particular of the aforesaid Prisoners of War Branch, and relate to matters coming under my cognizance or to my attention in connection with the carrying out of the functions of the Prisoners of War Branch.

"I mediately after the Japanese attack on Pearl Harbor, the Department of State took up with Japan the matter of according proper treatment for American nationals in Japanese hands. Although Japan was not a party to the Geneva Prisoners of War Convention, the Department of State obtained from the Japanese Government a commitment to apply the provisions of that convention to American prisoners of war, and, so far as adaptable, to civilian internees held by Japan.

"This commitment was made in a communication

22 23

by the Japanese Government to the Swiss Minister at Tokyo in Charge of American Interests in Japan. The message was received through the American Legation at Bern in a telegram dated February 4, 1942, and stated that the Japanese Government informed the Swiss Minister that, 'although not bound by the Convention relative to prisoners of war, Japan will apply mutatis mutandis provisions of that Convention to American prisoners of war in its power.' In a telegram dated February 24, 1942, it was reported that the Ministry of Foreign Affairs declared to the Swiss Minister in Tokyo that Japan would 'apply on condition of reciprocity Geneva Convention for treatment of prisoners of war and civilian internees in so far as convention shall be applicable.'

"Thereafter, the State Department by repeated protests and representations, through the Swiss Government, again and again called to the Japanese Government's attention failures on the part of Japanese authorities to live up to their Government's undertakings, and warned the Japanese Government in unequivocal terms that the American Government would hold personally and officially responsible for their acts of depravity and barbarity all officers of the Japanese Government who had

. .

participated in their commitment and, with the conclusion of the war, would visit upon such Japanese officers the punishment they deserved for their uncivilized and inhumane acts against American prisoners of war.

"These protests, representations and warnings originated in the Prisoners of War Branch, and I personally prepared many of them. They were based upon information obtained from representatives of the Swiss Government in charge of American interests in Japan and in Japanese controlled territory, from the International Red Cross Committee, from repatriates and from recovered military personnel.

"On January 27, 1944, the State Department dispatched to the Japanese Government, via the Swiss Government, two telegrams which were personally drafted by me, summarizing the protests and representations which had theretofore been submitted to the Japanese Government and demanding amelieration of the treatment being accorded American nationals in Japanese custody. The first of these telegrams listed the principal categories of deprivations of rights, cruelties, wanton neglect and mistreatment and referred to the specific Article of the Geneva Prisoners of War Convention, or other undertaking,

violated; the second recited specific instances coming under each category."

Exhibit 1479 is quoted in toto in this document; so I will not again read it . the Tribunal.

Turning to page 15 of this affidavit --

THE MONITOR: Mr. Prosecutor, from what line on page 15? We would like to check with the Japanese copy.

From January 27, 1944."

"From January 27, 1944 until the end of hostilities the State Department made to the Japanese Government numerous additional protests and representations concerning instances similar to these hereinabove set forth. A few of these instances were:

"On June 14, 1944 further representations were made regarding visits to prisoners of war camps.

"On July 7, 1944 a protest was made against the inadequate housing facilities and medical care given to the aged, ill and helpless A erican civilian internees at Shanghai.

"On August 25, 1944 a further protest was made regarding the inadequacy of food, clothing and medical supplies accorded American civilian internees in China.

"On August 31, 1944 a protest was made against the torture and decapitation of an American airman by the Japanese in New Guinea.

"On September 11, 1944 a protest was made against the removal of certain civilians from the internment camp at Los Banos, Philippine Islands, to Fort McKinley near Manila, where the Japanese maintained an ammunition dump.

"On September 15, 1944 a protest was made against the Japanese order issued to their armed forces in Siam that enemy air personnel were not to be treated as priscners of war.

"On September 26, 1944 a protest was made concerning the torture and execution of an American soldier near Arayat, Pampanga, Philippines, on September 21, 1943.

"On November 1, 1944 a protest was made against the failure of the Japanese Government to report promptly information necessary to enable the United States Government to keep up to date individual records for each prisoner of war. This protest cited the case of an American who was shot, the Japanese and the incident reported one and a half years later.

"On January 23, 1945 a protest was made

against the treatment and conditions of internment of American prisoners of war at Camp Kawasaki No. 2.

"On February 20, 1945 messages were dispatched to the effect that the United States Government did not consider that the reply made by the Japanese Government to early protests were satisfactory and that the American Government would continue to hold the Japanese Government responsible.

"On March 9, 1945 another protest was made against the continued action of the Japanese Government in locating prisoner of war camps in close proximity to military objectives.

"On March 10, 1945 a protest was made regarding the conditions of captivity of American prisoners of war being held at the Lasang Air Field, Philippine Islands, and the inhumane treatment characterizing the administration of prisoner of war camps in the Philippines. On the same day, another protest was made, this time relating to the cruel treatment of American prisoners of war who were aboard a Japanese freighter sunk off Mindanao, Philippine Islands, on Soutember 7, 1944, and the savage behavior of the Japanese after the vessel was torpedoed.

"On April 6, 1945 a protest was made

concerning the murder of Messrs. Calkins, Grinnell, Duggleby, and Johnson, who had been held at the Santo Tomas Internment Camp.

"On May 12, 1945 a protest was made against the orders issued by the Japanese 14th Army Head-quarters and Kaki Forces Headquarters attached to the Ishibashi Unit, to the effect that persons captured by or surrendering to Japanese armed forces in the Philippines would be murdered in cold blood.

"On May 19, 1945 a protest was made against the brutal massacre on Decmeber 14, 1944 of 150 prisoners of war at Puerto Princesa, Palawan, Philippine Islands.

"On May 29, 1945 the Swiss Government was requested to make a strong protest to the Japanese Government against the forced labor of prisoners of war in the fortification of Shinagawa and on the naval docks at Tokyo Bay, and the brutal treatment of these prisoners.

"On June 23, 1945 a protest was made against the location of prisoner of war camps in Siam in close proximity to piers, railroad yards, and other military objectives and the employment of prisoners of war labor on projects having a direct relation with war operations.

"Virtually all of the protests filed with
the American Government by the Japanese Government
during the period herein covered related to alleged
mistreatment of Japanese nationals who had been
evacuated from the West Coast areas of the United
States. In none of the instances covered by the
Japanese Government's representations was the
alleged mistreatment of Japanese nations comparable
even in a remote degree to the mistreatment of
American nationals which formed the basis for the
American Government's protests. In the State Department's telegram of January 27, 1944 the Japanese
Government was advised as follows:

desires to state most emphatically that, as the
Japanese Government can assure itself from an
objective examination of the reports submitted to
it by the Spanish, Swedish, and International Red
Cross representatives who have repeatedly visited
all places where Japanese are held by the United
States, the United States has consistently and fully
applied the provisions of the Geneva Prisoners of
war Convention in the treatment of all Japanese
nationals held by it as prisoners of war or (so far

as they are adaptable) as civilian internees, 1 detainees or evacuees in relocation centers. 2 Japanese nations have enjoyed high standards of 3 housing, food, clothing, and medical care. The 4 American authorities have furthermore freely and 5 willingly accepted from the representatives of the protecting Powers and the International Red Cross Committee suggestions for the improvement of 8 conditions under which Japanese nationals live in 10 American camps and centers and have given effect to many of these suggestions, most of which, in 11 12 view of the high standards normally maintained, are 13 directed toward the obtaining of extraordinary 14 benefits and privileges of a recreational educational 15 or spiritual nature.' 16 "/s/ E. Tomlin Bailey. 17 "Sworn to before me this 28th day of June, 18 A. D., 1946. 19 "David H. Scull, Notary Public." 20 The prosecution desires to introduce in 21 evidence document 2702A, official record of Army 22 Headquarters, Melbourne, "Australian Prisoners of 23 War (RAN, AMF, RAAF) In the Far East." 24 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 25 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

No: 2702A will receive exhibit No. 2028. 1 (Whereupon, the document above re-2 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 3 No. 2028 and received in evidence.) 4 MR. WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please, I 5 will read only the totals of these figures. 6 "AUSTRALIAN PRISONERS OF WAR (RAN, AMF, 7 RAAF) IN THE FAR EAST. 8 "Known Prisoners of War, 21,726; 9 "Returned to Military Control, 14,314; .10 "Died whilst Prisoners of War, 7,412." 11 12 This is certified as a true copy of the 13 official record by E. A. Griffin, Colonel, Director 14 of Prisoners of War and Internees, Army Headquarters, 15 Melbourne. 16 The prosecution desires to introduce in 17 evidence document 2297A, which is a report on 18 prisoners of war, Dominion of Canada. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 20 * CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document 21 No. 2297A will receive exhibit No. 2029. (Whereupon, the document above re-23 ferred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 24 No. 2029 and received in evidence.) 25 MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "DEPARTMENT OF

NATIONAL DEFENCE, ARMY, OTTAWA, CANADA" --THE PRESIDENT: Just read the totals. MR. WOOLWORTH: "Known Prisoners of War, 1691; Returned to Military Control, 1418; Died While Prisoners of War, 273." THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-past one. (Thereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Woolworth.

MR. WOOLWORTH: Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence document No. 2448, strength and casualty reports of the United Kingdom, 1939 to 1945.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document

No. 2448 will receive exhibit No. 2030.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit No. 2030 and received in evidence.)

MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "Presented by the Prime Minister and the Minister of Defence to Parliament by Command of His Majesty, June 1946.

"Total Number of Prisoners of War of the Armed Forces of the United Kingdom Captured by the Enemy as Reported to 28th February 1946. Table 9.

"Captured by Germany and Italy: Total reported captured, 142,319. Killed or died in

captivity, 7,310. 1 "Captured by Japan: Total reported captured, 2 50,016. Killed or died in captivity, 12,433." 3 Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence document No. 1804-A. 5 MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, the 6 defense moves to strike out the document which has 7 just been admitted, 2030, on the ground that it is an unfair comparison. 9 THE PRESIDENT: The application is refused. 10 MR. WOOLWORTH: Prosecution desires to 11 12 introduce in evidence document No. 1804-A. United 13 Kingdom and Colonial prisoners of war in the Far East 14 reported to 31 Lecember 1945. 15 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 16 CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 17 No. 1804-A will receive exhibit No. 2031. 18 (Whereupon, the document above 19 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 20 No. 2031 and received in evidence.) 21 THE PRESILENT: Do you know what these figures include? Do they include Indians but not Australians? 23 MR. WOOLWORTH: As far as I know, they 24 include only Colonial Forces under Colonial Forces and United Kingdom Forces under them. That is as far

```
as I am informed.
            THE PRESIDENT: The description is not
2
   appropriate for Indian figures.
3
4
            MR. WOOLWORTH: As I understand it, there is
5
   Colonial as opposed to--
            THE PRESIDENT: Crown Colonies?
6
7
            MR. WOOLWORTH: Members of the Forces of the
   Dominions.
            (Reading) "Total United Kingdom Forces reported
9
10
   captured, 51,103. Reported killed or died in
11
   captivity, 10,873.
12
            "Colonial Forces, total reported captured,
13
           Reported killed or died in captivity, 190.
14
            "Total reported captured, 54,327. Total
15
   reported killed or died in captivity, 11,063."
16
            Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence
17
   document No. 1502-A, schedule showing the numbers of
18
   New Zealanders reported as prisoners of war in
19
   Japanese hands.
20
            THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
21
            CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
22
   No. 1502-A will receive exhibit No. 2032.
23
                 (Whereupon, the document above
24
        referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
25
        No. 2032 and received in evidence.)
```

MR. WOOLWORTH: (Reading) "Total number of prisoners of war, 121. Total number liberated, 87. Died while POW, 31. Not accounted for, 3.

"Civilians, merchant seamen, and volunteer forces personnel: Total prisoners of war, 238. Total liberated, 215. Died while prisoners of war, 22. Not accounted for, 1."

Prosecution desires to introduce in evidence document No. 2942.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, the defense also objects to this document, on the ground it is grossly unfair. There is no showing as to conditions on which the various armies operated in so far as these men were acting in their official capacities.

There is no showing that the climatic conditions under which the various prisoners were interned were the same in all instances. And, furthermore, the document on its face shows that "the figures have not been verified or checked and names have been added since this last compilation of figures; however, as of 1 June 1946 these are the figures reported to this office by the enemy detaining powers."

There is no evidence as to the accuracy of reports of the evidence submitted by the Balkans, Germany and Italy.

```
1
           THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled.
2
           MR. WOOLWORTH: This is the report on United
  States prisoners of war. Germany and Itally officially --
3
4
           Prosecution offers in evidence--
5
           THE PRESIDENT: I am asked to have some
  point elucidated. To what country does 2033 refer?
7
           MR. WOOL ORTH: If the Tribunal please, I
8
  did not understand the question.
9
           THE PRESILENT: We do not know what country
10
  suffered the losses referred to in the exhibit last
11
  admitted.
12
           MR. WOOLWORTH: New Zealand.
13
           THE PRESIDENT: We know the enemy countries,
14
  but we don't know the Allied countries concerned.
15
           MR. WOOLWORTH: The document which I have
16
  just offered in evidence refers to the United States
17
  prisoners of war. It came from the Provost Marshal
18
  General's Office, American Prisoner of War Information
19
  Bureau.
20
           THE PRESIDENT: Well, we see initials that
21
  might suggest that, but we didn't know what the
22
  interpretation was.
23
           MR. WOOLWORTH: Prosecution has offered in
24
  evidence document No. 2942. I haven't heard the
25
  exhibit number as yet.
```

```
THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
  I overruled the objection.
2
            CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
3
   No. 2942 will receive exhibit No. 2033.
4
5
                  (Whereupon, the document above
6
       referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit
        No. 2033 and received in evidence.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
MR. WOOLWORTH: Provost Marshal General's
1
   office, American Prisoner of War Information Bureau,
2
    Restricted Master Index Report, Prisoners of War.
3
             Detaining power: Germany and Italy, total
4
    officially reported interned, 93,154.
5
             Returned to military control, 90,139.
6
7
             Died, 2,038.
8
             Repatriated prior to VE-Day, 975.
9
             Unrecovered prisoners of war, 2.
10
             Japan: Total officially reported interned,
    21,580.
11
12
             Returned to military control, 14,473.
13
             Died, 7,107.
14
             Unrecovered POW, 1.
15
             Balkans: Total officially reported interned,
16
    1,270.
17
             Returned to military control, 1,270.
18
             May it please the Tribunal --
19
             THE PRESIDENT: Have you any separate
20
    figures for India?
21
             MR. WOOLWORTH: The prosecution has no
22
    separate finnes for India.
23
             THE PRESIDENT: Are they included in United
24
    Kingdom and Colonial figures?
. 25
             MR. WOOLWORTH: It was impossible to get
```

1 separate figures for India.

THE PRESIDENT: That is not what I asked.

I asked you whether they were included in the figures already tendered as United Kingdom and Colonial figures.

MR. WOOLWORTH: I am advised they are not so included.

THE PRESIDENT: The Indian forces in the Pacific were very large. That is a matter of which we can take judicial notice. And I am reminded we have no Dutch figures. Why not do the job thoroughly, Colonel Woolworth. if you have the figures?

MR. WOOLWORTH: An effort has been made but so far it has proven unsuccessful.

May it please the Tribunal: After the presentation of the evidence of sea atrocities by
Captain Robinson, and certain evidence as to Class
B offenses by the associate prosecutor for France
and the associate prosecutor for the USSR, further
evidence will be presented to show the responsibility
of certain defendants for Class B offenses. At that
time the witness SUZUKI, Tadakuzu will be recalled.

IR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: If the Tribunal please, Captain Robinson will now proceed with the case for the prosecution.

2

4

5

6

-

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Robinson.

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal. It is now proposed to present evidence to show offenses against prisoners of war and civilians committed on Pacific islands and at sea, as charged in the indictment, particularly in Counts 53, 54 and 55, and Appendix D, particulars of breaches of the Laws of War, Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13 and 14.

First, offenses connected with Wake Island will be presented.

May the witness Sergeant Jesse L. Stewart, United States Marine Corps, be called to the witness stand.

JESSE L. STEWART, called as a

witness on behalf of the prosecution, having first been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY CAPTAIN ROBINSON:

O State your name, rank and present station.

A Jesse L. Stewart, Master Technical Sergeant, United States Marine Corps, 255282. Stationed at Corpus Christi, Texas, Marine Aviation Detachment. Now on tdy with Legal Section, GHQ, Senior Commander

1	Allied Powers, Tokyo.
2	O You intended to say Supreme Commander,
3	Sergeant?
4	A Yes, sir.
5	O Allied Powers. The record will be so
6	corrected.
7	What is your age and your permanent home
8	address?
9	A I am thirty-one years old; my home address is
10	McKinnon, Wyoming.
11	O How long have you been in the United States
12	Marine Corps?
13	A Continuous service since October 19, 1936.
14	Were you a prisoner of the Japanese?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	0 Wrat dates?
17	A I was captured December 23, 1941, at Wake
18	Island.
19	O And liberated when?
20	A Liberated September 11, 1945, at Tsuruga,
21	Honshu, Japan.
22	O At what places and during what periods were
23	you held a prisoner?
24	A Wake Island, from December 23, 1941 until

May 12, 1942. Then Zentsuji, Shikoku Island, May

18, 1942 until January 17, 1943. Tamagawa, Honshu, Japan, from 17 January 1943 until 21 May 1943. Umeda Camp, Osaka, from 21 May 1943 until 21 May 1945. At Tsuruga, from 21 May 1945 until 11 September 1945.

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

* Q	Were y	ou	wounde	ed :	in the	cour	rse	of	the
Japanese	attack	on	Wake	in	Decem	ber,	194	11?	

A Yes, sir.

Q What wounds did you receive, and what medical attention did you receive from the Japanese or from American prisoners?

A On 7 December 1941, I was wounded in the head, shoulder and arm. On 9 December or 8 December 1941, my left leg was shattered by strafing from aeroplanes. Medical attention to me from then until 23 December 1941 was taken care of by Dr. Shank, a civilian doctor attached to Pan-American Airways.

Q Dr. Mank, then, also was -- he was a prisoner, but a civilia prisoner?

A Yes.

Q Will you go ahead?

A After my capture I received no medical attention for three days. On 26 December 1941, we were moved into an old barracks building. There the Japanese allowed Dr. Shank a slight amount of bandage and some mercurochrome. About the middle of January my leg began infecting. Dr. Shank asked the Japanese doctor, Lieutenant KITAJIMA; for instruments and medical supplies to perform an operation. Dr. KITAJIMA came to the prisoner-of-war hospital and looked at my

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

leg. He told Dr. Shank it would have to be amputated. Dr. Shank refused for this operation to be accomplished. After Dr. KITAJIMA left the hospital. the Japanese interpreter, KATSUMI by name, slapped Dr. Shank for being -- for what he said was being insolent to Dr. KITAJIMA. Dr. KITAJIMA would not allow Dr. Shank to have instruments if the American hospital. Dr. Shank attempted to obtain instruments and anesthetics from the Japanese for about two weeks. About the first of February, 1942 my leg became discolored and swollen from above the knee. Dr. Shank told me it would have to be operated on. He had in his possession a pair of bandage scissors and a pair of tweezers which he had retrieved from the American hospital when it was bombed out. Two civilian nurses, Samuel Kerr and Milton Dreyer, one sat on my legs, another on my shoulders, and Dr. Shank performed the operation on my leg with the scissors and tweezers. This operation was successful, and the leg began to heal after it was completed.

Q Did the Japanese doctor and hospital staff have anesthetics and medical supplies which could have been provided so far as you know?

A At the time of the surrender of Wake Island there were two semi-underground magazines improvised as

21

22

23

hospitals. The equipment and medical supplies from these hospitals were moved to the Japanese hospital after the surrender. About the middle of February, 1942, Dr. MIYAZAKI came to Wake Island. He supplied Dr. Shank with a case of instruments and the necessary medical supplies with which to perform operations. After that date Dr. Shank performed at least four appendectomies and many minor operations with these supplies furnished him by Dr. MIYAZAKI.

Q Had there been other American prisoners like yourself who had been in need of medicine which the Japanese had refused to supply?

A Yes, sir. There were about forty American prisoners, both service and civilians, who were wounded and in bad condition on Wake Island.

Q And did you or did you not hear the American prisoner doctor civilian asking for medical supplies for use with these other prisoners?

A During the time between 23 December 1941 and the middle of February, 1942, at which time Dr.

MIYAZAKI came to Wake, Dr. Shank requested medical supplies and instruments from many Japanese. Among them were KATSUMI, the interpreter, OGASAKI, a naval Chief Petty Officer in charge of prisoners, a Japanese lieutenant who was over OGASAKI, and a Japanese doctor.

personnel.

1	Q And what did these Japanese do or say in
2	response to the doctor's request?
3	A Dr. Shank was always refused the use of
4	any instruments whatsoever and was never supplied with
5	any medicine that he needed.
6	Q How long did he remain on Wake Island?
7	A Dr. Shank remained on Wake Island until he
8	was later executed.
9	Q On what date?
10	A 7 October 1943.
11	Q Did you talk to him at any time ir regard
12	to his leaving Wake Island?
13	A On 12 January 1942, approximately 1235
14	American prisoners were taken from Wake. Dr. Shank
15	could have left at this time, but somehow contrived
16	to remain there. I asked him why he had stayed. He
17	answered that he could not leave with the prisoners
18	who left Wake and leave us remaining on Wake Island
19	without proper medical attention.
20	Q The prisoners taken on Wake on December 23,
21	1941 consisted of how many American service personnel
22	and how many American civilian personnel?
23	A About 1200 civilians and about 400 service
24	

Q You as one of the 400 service personnel were told what with respect to your status by the Japanese?

A We didn't know what our status was until about noon the 25th of December, 1941, at which time KATSUMI read to us an Imperial Rescript, in substance as follows:

"By the kindness of His Imperial Majesty,
the Emperor of Japan, you have been granted the
right to live. Until such time -- You will be
interned as prisoners of war until such time as you
have proven by your actions that you are ready to
become loyal subjects of the Greater East Asian
Empire."

On 12 May 1942, about noon, as we service personnel were ready to leave the island, Captain KAWASAKI, of the Japanese Navy, made a speech to us in front of his office. In substance he said, "You are now being transferred to Japan, where you will be interned. Until now you have been missing in action. Upon reaching your new camp your government and your families will be notified of your status and whereabouts."

Upon my arrival at Zentsuji the camp commander of Zentsuji Prisoner of War Camp made another

24

25

speech to us. In substance he said, "You are now to be interned as prisoners of war. Your government and your families will be notified that you are here."

- What was the date of that speech?
- A The 18th of May 1942.
- Do you know whether or not your families and the government were notified of your presence at that time?
- The first notification my wife had of my capture was about 15 December 1943. This was in the form of a recording which I had made at Zentsuji in October 1942. It wasn't until after this time that the United States Government notified her that I was a prisoner of war.
- While you were on Wake as a prisoner there did you inquire about notice to your family and to the United States Government?
- During the time I was on Wake both the civilians and the servicemen requested many times that they be allowed to write letters to their families at home. We were always told we would have to wait until proper arrangements could be made until our government had been notified of our

capture.

Q Do you know whether there were lists of names of the American prisoners of war on Wake in the hands of the Japanese there:

ners who were held on Wake, one list in which each man was given a number. My number was 382. This list was used as a muster list. One other list was made up about the middle of January, 1942.

Mr. Cormier, a clerk for Mr. Teeter on Wake Island, came around and got the name, address of the family, and the next of kin, and when asked what this list was for he said, "This list is requested by the Island Commandant to be used as notification to your government" -- or to our government by way of Tokyo of our capture.

- Q And that was at about what date?
- About 15 January 1942.
- Q Do you know whether or not Tokyo, the Japaneze Government, was in radio touch with Wake?
- At that time each night we could hear a motor running. When we looked out the window of the hospital, we could see a light. We asked the Japarese guard what the light was. He replied, "That is the radio station. They are talking to

Tokyo."

Q What is your specialty or technical field in the Marine Corps, Sergeant?

A I am a radio radar technician.

Q Will you continue your answer to the question?

A Also there was a 4-engine patrol plane that landed at Wake about once each week. This plane brought mail and official documents from Tokyo to Wake Island.

On the 24th of February 1942 we were shelled by a contingent of American cruisers. Mr. KATSUMI came to our air-raid shelter and ordered twenty-five or thirty American civilians to go out and work during this raid. He told them they must repair the airport, because they had radioed Tokyo for help and some 2-engined bombers were coming to their aid. These planes landed at Wake Island at about 2130 that evening.

1	Umeda I do not remember the name of the interpreter,
2	Tsuruga, Bunsho IMURA, at Tanagawa, TaKAGI.
3	You speak of threats while they were question-
4	ing you. On what subjects would the questioning be
5	concerned with?
6	A I was questioned about nine times on radio and
7	radio locators.
8	Q That is, on United States military secrets on
9	that subject, is that what you mean? Or what was the
10	subject?
11	MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I think
12	that counsel should be directed not to ask such leading
13	questions of this witness.
14	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: I would be glad to withdraw
15	the question, sir.
16	THE PRESIDENT: Leading on serious aspects
17	should not be indulged in, and this is a serious aspect
18	Q I withdraw the question and frame it this way:
19	On what subjects connected with radar were you ques-
20	tioned?
21	THE PRESIDENT: It can be put in two simple
22	questions: Did the Japanese interrogate you? If so,
23	what about?
24	MR. LOGAN: I object to questions along this
25	line, your Honor, on the ground that counsel has

suggested the answer to the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: That objection is overruled.

Q On what subjects did they interrogate you, Sergeant?

Twice on Wake Island I was interrogated on aircraft radio and radio locator equipment which the Japanese said Pan Air had on Wake Island. On the Asama Meru in Yokahama Harbor on the 17th day of May 1942 I was questioned on radio locators. This dealt strictly with military installations in military airplanes. At Zentsuji and Tanagawa I was questioned on any radio or radio locator knowledge I might have had. During the two years at Umeda I was questioned four different times, three of these on radio and radio locator. The last questioning at Umeda, about March of 1945, I was questioned on radar. The Japanese lieutenant told me he had definite information that I had been to school on radar equipment.

And did they say: "Please answer these questions," or just what did they say with respect to answering questions?

A In all questionings I was told that refusal to answer questions or lying would be punishable by death.

You mentioned the civilian personnel on Wake,

Go you know what their status was as stated by the Jap-

Were. They separated the servicemen and the civilians on 24 December 1941. On 12 January 1942 when the main detachment of prisoners left Wake Island KaTSUMI called out the names of 365 american civilians. He lined them up alongside the hospital building. I heard him say: "You are to remain here as a labor battalion. When you have completed the airport and fortified the island to our satisfaction you will be released by the Japanese Government and returned to your homes." Some of these men objected to staying on wake Island. They were immediately reprimended by KaTSUMI and told they must remain there.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military	Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
	THE PRESIDENT: Captain Robinson.
	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: Mr. President.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY CAPTAIN ROBINSON: (Continued)

Q Directing your attention, Mr. Witness, to the date when you left Wake, how many civilians were on Wake at that time, American civilians held there?

A 362.

Q Do you know when these civilians, any more of these civilians left Wake?

A About 262 left Wake in September of 1942.
About 100 did not leave Wake.

Q What became of the 100?

A Of this 100 civilians about 97 were executed by light machineguns on the 7th day of October 1943. One man was executed in July of 1943, and one man executed on 13 October 1943.

Q You may tell us how you learned of these executions?

A I learned of these executions when --

Q Pardon me, will you tell them in order according to the date?

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

2324

25

A The first execution of a civilian on Wake Island was on 10 May 1942. He was executed for having attempted to break into a warehouse. I saw this execution. The other execution took place after I left Wake Island.

THE PRESIDENT: What form of execution did you witness?

Q Give the details on the execution which you witnessed.

A The morning of 10 May 1942 one, Julius Hoffmiester, was sentenced to death.

Q By whom?

A By Captain KAWASAKI, Imperial Japanese Navy.

Q His position then was what?

A Island Commander.

Q Go ahead.

A About 9 o'clock in the morning I saw
Hoffmiester and KATSUMI with two Japanese guards
in the back of a pick-up. He was taken to an area
directly behind the hospital where I was at. The
Staff -- the Commanding Staff of the Island was in
this area, also all the Japanese soldiers who were
not actually on duty.

Q Any other American civilians present?

A There were 20 American civilians ordered

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

by Captain KAWASAKI to witness this execution. I could not see the actual execution, but I could see these civilians standing in two ranks and I could see the Japanese soldiers clustered around on top of the lumber vard which was in that area. I heard them cheering and saw them clapping their hands. Shortly after this, Doctor Shank, who had been ordered to witness this execution, came back to the hospital. He told me the following: Hoffmiester had been taken from the pick-up truck, had been made to kneel at the end of a grave which had been dug fer him. He was blindfolded and then Captain KAWASAKI read the order of execution. This was repeated in English by KATSUMI. Then Doctor KITAJIMA performed the execution, after which KATSUMI told the American witnesses the following: "You will return to your compound and there describe this incident to the rest of the prisoners."

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we do not want all these details.

Was the man Hoffmiester, whatever his name was, shot, bayoneted, decapitated or otherwise disposed of?

THE WITNESS: He was decapitated, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Get on to the other executions.

Go ahead. Q

The fate of the other civilians on Wake

Island I learned from interrogating KATSUMI and from the confessions of Admiral SAKAIBARA and other members of his command on Wake Island.

When did you --Q

In room 804 of the Meiji Building, Tokyo,

Japan about the middle of October I interrogated KATSUMI.

1	
2	-
3	
4	
5	

7

8

9

Q Describe the executions as you learned them.

A KATSUMI told me that one man had been executed in July 1943.

Q How -- by what means?

A He had been decapitated by a Japanese lieutenant. Ninety-six of the other civilians had been executed by rifle fire on 7 October 1943.

Q Did KATSUMI say that he had had any part in that?

A KATSUMI told me he did not witness this execution but that prior to the execution he had went to the barracks where the civilians were being held and told them to put on their best clothes as they were going to the States. On 13 October 1943 one American civilian who had evidently escaped the execution of 7 October 1943 was executed by decapitation at Admiral SAKAIBARA's hands.

And that was the last of the Americans on Wake?

THE PRESIDENT: What position did the Admiral have?

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: I beg your pardon, sir?

THE PRESIDENT: I do not have to repeat myself
for your benefit if you do not wear your earphones. Ask
the court reporter if you do not hear me.

Q What position did Admiral SAKAIBARA hold on

10

11

12131415

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Wake?

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

A Admiral SAKAIBARA was island commander.

captain Robinson: If the Court please, prosecution wishes to introduce a document in concluding the direct examination of the witness in order that I may question him on statements made by the defendant TOGO in a letter to the Swiss Minister.

I offer in evidence this letter, prosecution document No. 8431.

THE PRESIDENT: Why were those persons executed on the 7 and 13 October?

THE WITNESS: That is correct, sir.

BY CAPTAIN ROBINSON:

O Why?

A The reason why, only from KATSUMI, was that they expected the American forces to land on Wake Island.

THE PRESIDENT: They were not tried?
THE WITNESS: No. sir.

Q Were they accused of any offense so far as you know?

A No, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Did KATSUMI tell you that?

THE WITNESS: KATSUMI did not tell me that they were not accused of any offense.

25

THE PRESIDENT: Did he tell you they were 1 shot because an American landing was expected? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 3 THE PRESIDENT: This document you have 4 tendered is admitted on the usual terms. 5 CAPTAIN ROBINSON: May I ask one question 6 further, sir? CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document 8 No. 8431 will receive exhibit No. 2034. (Whereupon, the document above 10 referred to was marked prosecution's exhibit 11 No. 2034 and received in evidence.) 12 BY MR. ROBINSON: 13 One further question on Wake. Do you know 14 of other American prisoners removed from Wake who lost 15 their lives at the hands of the Japanese? 16 Yes, sir. Five American service personnel 17 were executed on board the Nita Maru, 23 January 1942. 18 How were they executed? 19 20 A By decapitation. 21 What reason was given for their execution? 0 22 A The reason for this execution was stated as 23 the attempted landing of the Japanese forces on Wake

Island of 12 December 1941 met with failure. "For this

crime against the Imperial Japanese Navy you men must

24

25

	lose your lives."
1	Q You were on Wake at that time?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q How did you learn of their execution?
5	A I learned that three of my buddies had not
6	been reported from Wake Island by the Japanese Govern-
7	ment. On my return to Japan I met Lieutenant Getty
8	and together we held an investigation on the Nita Maru
9	and discovered the execution of these five men.
10	Q Lieutenant Getty was an investigator con-
11	nected with the Legal Section, SCAP, as you were?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q And how did you and he learn of the execution?
14	A We learned of this execution through sworn
15	statements from Japanese eye witnesses of the execution.
16	Q How many eye witnesses?
17	A About sixteen.
18	Q Where did you talk to them?
19	A Some of them in the Meiji Building; others at
20	Sugamo Prison.
21	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: If the Court please, some
22	of these affidavits will be put in evidence later in
23	regard to details that have been testified to here.

I wish to offer in evidence--

THE PRESIDENT: Are you going to read exhibit

No. 2034?

_

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: No. 8431. I would like to read the document which I just introduced.

THE PRESIDENT: That is exhibit No. 2034.

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: (Reading)

"April 20, 1942

"To the Minister Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary of Switzerland:

"I acknowledge receipt of your letter dated March 11 in which you asked for information concerning some Americans on Wake Island.

"As a result of investigation by the authorities concerned, of the American prisoners of war
still on this island, there are quite a number who
cannot be transferred at present because of wounds
and illnesses and also those who of their own wish
are engaged in peaceful labor. The sick and wounded
are receiving kind medical treatment at our hands and
as for the laborers, they are engaged in pleasant labor
under an agreement of work with the Japanese authorities.
The number and names of these people are now being investigated. I shall be grateful if you will communicate
this to the Government of the United States.

"I take this opportunity of expressing my highest respects to you.

7 8

"Minister for Foreign Affairs
"Shigenori TOGO."

Now I wish to ask this witness nine concluding question based on this document.

Q On 20 April 1942 were you held by the Japanese on Wake Island?

A Yes, sir.

Q How many Americans then held by the Japanese on Wake were wounded and ill so that they could not be removed from the island?

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, it is quite obvious what counsel is doing. He is cross-examining this witness as to the truth or falsity of another document which he has offered in evidence. We object to this method of procedure and believe that counsel should be restricted to asking this particular witness as to conditions which existed there and, as I understood it, his testimony has already covered that.

THE PRESIDENT: If there are any particulars referred to by accused TOGO that the witness has not testified about you can get them in a proper way but do not lead. There is no need to lead.

Q How many American civilians or service personnel were remaining on Wake Island of their own

wish on that date? MR. LOGAN: Object to that, your Honor, on the same grounds. THE PRESIDENT: That is unobjectionable. A None at that time.

A		Q Was any peaceful labor being conducted on
b	1	Wake, or was all labor connected with military
r a m		operations?
		MER. LOGAN: Same objection, your Honor.
&	4	It is highly improper for counsel to ask these ques-
Sp	5	tions.
r	6	
	7	THE PRESIDENT: How were the people still
t	8	on Waka employed?
-	9	THE WITNESS: I was still on Wake Island at
1		this time.
	-	THE PRESIDENT: What were the people still
1		on Wake Island doing? Were they working?
1	2	
1	3	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
1	4	THE PRESIDENT: What kind of work?
1	5	THE WITNESS: They were completing the air-
1	6	port, building entrenchments, entanglements around the
1	7	replacements, and belting and cleaning ammunition.
1	8	BY CAPTAIN ROBINSON (Centinued):
1	19	Q May I ask, sir, whether they were working
2	20	under a voluntary agreement of work at that time or
2	21	otherwise?
2	22	. A They were not working voluntarily.
	23	
	24	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: I believe that is all I
	25	have, sir.
		THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please: CROSS-EXAMINATION

1

BY MR. LOGAN:

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Q Sergeant, do you know what report was given to Minister for Foreign Affairs TOGO by his investigation committee?

I do not. A

- Do you know the date that this investigation committee reported back to Minister TOGO?
 - Which investigation committee to you mean?
- This investigation that is referred to in prosecution document 2037, which the prosecution just read.

CAPTAIN ROBINSON: The prosecution objects, sir, to that question on the ground that it is not covered in the direct examination. It is new matter.

THE PRESIDENT: It certainly is an allowable question. Was there an investigation held? But that is not the way you put it, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, this document, 2034, was read by the prosecutor while this witness was on the stand. He attempted to ask some questions with respect to it, and my objections were overruled, and the questions were directed to it; and the questions he asked involved this document.

1	
1	THE PRESIDENT: I did not prevent you from
2	asking questions on the last exhibit, 2034; but you
3	are assuming that the investigation TOGO refers to
4	was made.
5	MR. LOGAN: Well, the prosecution must have
6	assumed that, because they asked this witness as to
7	conditions that existed on April 20, and that is the
8	investigation that TOGO is referring to.
9	THE PRESIDENT: Are you aware of any investi-
10	gation made by the Japanese on the Island about
11	April, 1942, relating to the conditions of the
12	Americans then on the island?
13	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
14	BY MR. LOGAN (Continued):
15	Q So you don't know whether or not an investi-
16	gation was made; is that it?
17	THE PRESIDENT: He would hardly know; they
18	wouldn't consult him.
19	Q Assuming that there was an investigation
20	made and a report made to Minister TOGO, you wouldn't
21	know as of what date the report referred to, would you
23	THE PRESIDENT: We do not need his help on
24	that.
25	Q How long were you in the hospital?
	A I was in the hospital throughout the time I

1	was held on Wake Island.
2	Q How many were still in the hospital on
3	May 12 when you left?
4	A About four civilians and three servicemen.
5	Q So that there were some people in the
6	hospital down there on April 20 and prior thereto;
7	is that correct?
8	A That is correct.
9	Q Were you able to get out of the hospital
10	prior to May 12?
11	A Yes, sir, I began moving about on crutches
12	around the first of March.
13	Q And when did you first get out of the
14	hospital on crutches?
15	A I first left the hospital on crutches about
16	the first of March.
17	Q Is it possible, Sergeant, that there were
18	some people down there engaged in peaceful labor at
19	the time, April 20, 1942?
20	A About three men were engaged in the laundry.
21	Other than that all men were doing military work.
22	Q You were receiving medical treatment con-
23	tinuously up to May 12 while you were there?
24	A After about the first of March the only
25	nedical attention I required was dressings.

1	Q Did you get the dressings?
2	A Yes. Dr. Shank had two bandages for my
3	leg. He would put one on while the other was being
4	washed out.
5	Q And were the other sick and wounded in the
6	hospital receiving medical attention up to April 20,
7	1942?
8	A They were.
9	Q You said after I believe it was March 15,
10	was it, that one of the doctors, Japanese doctors,
11	brought drugs, medicines, bandages, and so forth
12	to the hospital; is that correct?
13	A Fifteenth of February.
14	Q Prior to that time were there any supplies
15	available on Wake, medical supplies?
16	A All the medical supplies which were in the
17	two semi underground hospitals which we had there
8	before our capture were transferred to the Japanese
9	hospital.
20	Q Did you have many supplies in these under-
1	ground hospitals in December, 1941?
2	A Yes, we did.
3	Q How did it happen that this doctor, HIYAZAKI,
4	gave you all these supplies and instruments on February

15th? Was there any reason why he did not or could

apendectomies.

1	
1	not give them to you before that date?
1	A I was not on the island before that date.
2	Q Do you know if he brought these supplies
3	with him?
4	A I don't think so. He came in an airplane.
5	Q He could have brought medical supplies in
6	an airplane, couldn't he?
7	A He could have, except these were American
8	medical supplies.
9	Q And the entire period of time you were in
10	
11	the hospital you really received good treatment,
12	didn't you, Sergeant?
13	A I received as good treatment as it was
14	possible for Dr. Shank to give me.
15	Q What was the operation he performed on your
16	leg?
17	A Without anesthetic, he opened up the front
18	of my leg about four inches and removed about seven
19	bone splinters which were causing the infection.
20	Q And after February 15 did you have a
21	plentiful supply of drugs in the hospital after
22	this doctor brought them in?
23	A After 15 February Dr. Shank had enough
24	
	Total Darpard Control of the Control

STEWART	CROSS

1	Q And other operations?
2	A Other minor operations, yes.
3	Q Did you get good food while you were in
4	the hospital on Wake Island?
5	A I received sufficient food while I was in
6	the hospital at Wake Island, yes.
7	Q This KATSUMI, he was commander of the
8	island, was he?
9	A KATSUMI was the interpreter.
0	Q He was the one that read this Imperial
1	Rescript, as you said, on December 25, 1941?
2	A That is correct.
3	Q Did he come in the hospital and read this
4	specially to you and the other people in the hospital
5	A At this time we were still held on a coral
6	strip alongside the air strip. All of us were within
7	a small group.
8	Q Weren't you in the hospital on December 25?
9	A We were moved to the hospital late in the
0	evening of December 25.
1	Q Where were you between December 8 and
2	December 25?
3	A On December 8 until December 23 I was in a
4	semi underground magazine, which had been improvised

were in e I was in of the aperial 941? read this the hospital? on a coral were within December 25? ate in the

2

25

as a hospital.

-1	Q	Do you know on whose behalf KATSUMI was
2	reading	this document?
3	A	Will you repeat that question, please?
4	Q	Do you know on whose behalf KATSUMI, the
5	interpre	eter, was reading this document?
6	Λ	Only that he stated that it was an Imperial
7	Rescript	
8	Q	Did you see the document?
9	L	I could see it in his hand, yes.
10	Q	Did he use the words, "Imperial Rescript,"
11	or did h	ne say "command"?
12	Λ	He used the words, "Imperial Rescript."
13	Q	You are positive of that?
14	Λ	I am.
15	Q	Where is KATSUMI now?
16	A	I do not know.
17	ଦ	When did you see him last?
18	Α	About the first of November, in the Meiji
19	Building	, at Major Schaefer's office.
20	Q	1946?
21	Λ	That is correct.
22	Q	Has he been indicted?
23	A	His arrest has been ordered at this time.
24	Q	Has he been apprehended?
25	A	Not as yet.

1	Q Have you testified in any other trials
2	on atrocities?
3	A I have.
4	Q What was the result of the trial at which
5	you testified?
6	A The trial is not finished as yet.
7	Q Where is it pending?
8	
9	A In the Superior Court at Yokohama.
10	Q Whose trial is it?
11	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: If the Court please, I
12	object to that on the ground of relevancy.
13	THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.
	Q Do you know if Admiral SAKABARA has been
14	brought to trial?
15	A He has.
16	Q Where is he now?
17	A I do not know.
18	Q Do you know the result of the rial?
19	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: The same objection on the
20	
21	ground of relevancy, if the Court please.
22	THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.
23	Q Did you testify at his trial?
24	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: If the Court please, the
25	same objection.
	THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

1	Q Do you know if any of the other Japanese
2	who were in control down at Wake Island have been
3	brought to trial for any offenses committed down
5	there?
6	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: The prosecution objects,
7	your Honor.
8	THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.
9	Q Now, of all these executions you have
10	testified about, Sergeant, actually you never saw
11	one of them; isn't that so?
12	THE PRESIDENT: We know what he said,
13	Mr. Logan, and we can appreciate it. He did not
14	actually witness any person being shot, decapitated,
15	or otherwise disposed of.
16	Q Are you employed as an investigator of
17	the Legal Section of G. H. Q.?
18	A I am.
19	Q How long have you been so employed?
20	A I have been employed as an investigator
21	and war crimes trial witness with Legal Section since
22	27th of September, 1946.
23	MR. LOGAN: That is all.
24	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Levin.
25	MR. LEVIN: Mr. President.

1.	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
2	BY MR. LEVIN:
3	Q When did you get to Wake Island?
4	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: I object on the
5	ground that the question has already been answered,
6	your Honor.
7	THE PRESIDENT: I think that was answered,
8	Mr. Levin.
9	IR. LEVIN: I don't recall it.
10	THE PRESIDENT: When did you get there?
11	THE WITNESS: I landed at Wake Island on
12	1 December 1041.
13	BY MR. LEVIN (Continued):
14	Q And how many accompanied you when you
15	arrived?
17	A About forty-five.
18	Q And what work were you assigned after you
19	arrived?
20	A I was NCO in charge
21	CAPTAIN ROBINSON: The prosecution objects,
22	if the Court please, on the ground of relevancy.
23	THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.
24	IR. LEVIN: It seems to me, your Homor,
25	that that question would be entirely relevant to
	the issues involved.

THE PRESIDENT: What is the purpose of it? MR. LEVIN: Sir? CAPTAIN ROBINSON: Probably counsel wishes to keep the witness here until Monday morning. THE PRESIDENT: If that is his purpose, we will assist him. We will adjourn now until half-past nine on Manday morning. (Whereupon, at 1600, an adjourn-ment was taken until Monday, 13 January, 1947, at 0930.)

