The following statement was issued by an A.U.C.E. membership meeting of approximately 350 people on Thursday, December 12, 1974.

ASSOCIATION OF UNIVERSITY AND COLLEGE EMPLOYEES

LOCAL #1

"On another matter, the University is violating not only the contract but

"These willful and flagrant violations of a Union Contract by a public

syed ever a selection in a selection of the selection of

On October 1, 1974, the University of BC signed a first contract with the Association of University and College Employees, Local 1. A.U.C.E., an independent union, is the bargaining agent for UBC's 1300 library and clerical staff.

The contract provides for a base rate of \$633, attained in 3 acrossthe-board increases, longer vacations and improved benefits. However, AUCE now contends that the University is refusing to implement important sections of the contract.

"Most blatantly, the University is refusing to follow the Grievance Procedure and Arbitration provisions of the contract. Like most collective agreements, ours provides for the submission of unresolved grievances or disputes to an impartial third party for binding resolution. Arbitration can be invoked by either party.

"When we served notice on the University at a meeting on December 5, 1974, they refused to accept it, and in fact left all copies of it behind on the table. We then sent a copy registered mail, and reveived a reply that "the University does not consider that either of the matters which you mention are subject to arbitration." At that point we were forced to apply to the Labour Relations Board in order that they might oblige the University to honour the established Grievance Procedure.

"The University's arrogant refusal to abide by the Arbitration provisions of the Contract is a clear attempt to browbeat and intimidate our Union. It is the kind of Neanderthal tactics more commomly associated with union-busting days of yore than with the here-and-now of B.C.'s largest institution of higher learning.

"We know, and they know, that the reason the University does not want to go to Arbitration is because it will lose. They are therefore trying to bully us into backing down. But in fact, their willful and major violation of the Contract constitutes pure provocation. In many industries such confrontation tactics on the part of the employer would provoke a wildcat strike or a slow-down.

"This is not the first time that the University has refused to follow the Grievance Procedure. During the summer, they refused to honour an interim Grievance Procedure that had been established between the University and the Union prior to the signing of the Contract. After considerable delay, they were finally forced to honour it when the Union applied to the Labour Relations Board.

"This time we simply cannot afford the kind of delay that may be required due to an LRB inquiry. The grievances we want arbitrated involve major violations of the Contract on the part of the University, and directly concern hundreds of employees, both inside and outside our bargaining unit. The denial of the right of these employees to a speedy redress of their grievances is the denial of simple justice and totally immoral.

OVER

The following statement was issued of APAR. U.C.E. membership meeting of approximately 350 people on Thursday, December 12, 1974.

"On another matter, the University is violating not only the contract but also the Annual and General Holidays Act. It is taking away all vacation time employees have earned prior to to the coming into effect of the contract. This amounts to the wholesale robbery of, on the average, one week's vacation time from each member of the baraining unit. The total monetary loss to our members would be in the order of one to two hundred thousand dollars. We have lodged a formal complaint with the Labour Standards Branch.

"These willful and flagrant violations of a Union Contract by a public institution can only be described as scandalous. AUCE is circulating a petition addressed to the Board of Governors demanding that the Board intervene to ensure that the Personnel Department implement the contract fully and in good faith.

new contends that the University is refusing to implement important sections

"Most blatantly, the University is refusing to follow the Grievance Procedure

and a contract of the contract

and Arbitration provisions of the contract. Like most collective agreements The following explains some of the background to the accompanying press importial third party for binding resolution, Arbitration can be invoke; papeler

SENIORITY STEPS and no anthom a is wileyevent and no anthom bewies ow madw I This is one of the two grievances that the University refuses to take to Arbitration. According to the Contract (Wage Schedule) they now have to place you on the pay step that corresponds to your length of service in a particular classification. Even if you worked under a Department Head who withheld merit increases from you in the past, on April 1, 1974 you should have gone up to the step corresponding to your length of service. But according to the University, a person here ten years and denied all merit increases in the past would , on April 1, 1974, go from Step 1 to Step 2, not to Step 7: The sendented of summing The B at sented sit to

busting days of yore than with the here-and-new of B.C.'s largest institution STUDENT ASSISTANTS

This is the other grievance that the University refuses to go to Arbitration II. on. The Contract states: "Student assistants, or any other persons, performing duties normally done by employees bound by this agreement shall be paid at said employees' regular rates of pay as outlined in this agreement." But the University is still paying the minimum wage to the hundreds of student assistants who work in the library (especially) and in the offices and perform our duties alongside of us during the busy time of the term.

It is the kind of Meanderthal tactics more commonly associated with union-

"This is not the first time that the University has refused to follow the Grievance Procedure, During the summer, they refused to honour an interim Grievance Procedure that had been established between the University and the Union prior to the signing of the Contract, After considerable, delay, they

out beytunes set cam and valet to both out the books about a torne a vigety ou amin abigu to an LRE inquiry. The grievences we want arbitrated involve major violations of the Contract on the part of the University, and directly concern hundreds of employees, both inside and outside our bargaining unit. The denial of the right of these employees to a speedy redress of their grievances is the denial of simple justice and totally fumoral.

OVER

VACATION ENTITLEMENTS

PART II IN THE GREAT VACATION ROBBERY

Quite simply the University is taking away all vacation time you may have earned prior to the coming into effect of the contract. Its really just as simple as that.

How much vacation should you be getting? You should obviously be given 5/6 of a day per month (or whatever your entitlement was nder the old system) for every month up til April 1, 1974. From April 1, 1974 on, you should get vacation according to the contract.

AN EXAMPLE

You took your vacation in July 1973., and were back at work August 1/73. Under the old system you were entitled to two weeks a year. So you should have earned 5/6 of a day per month for the months of Aug. 1973 through March 1974, inclusive. That works out to 8 months X 5/6 day per month = 6 3/4 days. Then on April 1, 1974 you start getting vacation under the new system, in this case, you're entitled to 3 weeks. But remember the new system gives you 3 weeks per year. And since the contract is only in effect for 3/4 of 1974 (the period From April 1 to December 1) you get just 3/4 of 3 weeks, that is, 3/4 of 15 working days is 11 1/4 days.

O.K. now add the 6 3/4 days vacation emtitlement from before April 1/74 to the 11 1/4 days vacation entitlement from after April 1/74. You should be entitled to 18 days.

Everyone should work out their vacation entitlement according to the above formula.....and then compare it to what they are actually getting. In some areas you may have trouble finding the latter out, as alot of Department Heads are (understandably) confused on the subject. Also, you may get conflicting stories from Personnel, depending on who you talk to. It seems that in general Personnel has been giving a full 1974 vacation entitlement (calculated as if the contract were retroactive to January 1, 1974). BUT THEY ARE DEPRIVING PEOPLE OF ALL VACATION TIME EARNED IN 1973. This latter was confirmed by Wes Clark in a meeting with the Grievance Committee.

By the way, it makes no difference how much vacation time you took last year. Even if you already took your 1973 vacation time, they're depriving you of it retroactively!

If you can get your case in writing, please contact the Union office, as we can use it as evidence in our grievance.

The Labour Standards Branch now has an investigating officer looking into the whole question of our 1973 vacation. We are anxiously awaiting his report and will publish the report in the newsletter as soon as it is available.

UNION OFFICE 224-5613

PETITION TO THE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

We, the undersigned, protest the University's refusal to honour the Grievance Procedure and Arbitration provisions of the Collective Agreement established between it and AUCE Local #1. This willful violation of a Union Contract is arrogant and provocative, and has no place in the Labour Relations of the University of B.C. We demand that the Board of Governors take immediate action to ensure that its Personnel Department implement the contract fully and in good faith.

-We, the undersigned, further protest the stated intention of the UBC Personnel Department to make all members of the AUCE bargaining unit forfeit vacation time they have earned prior to the effective date of the contract. We believe this to be a violation of the contract and also the Annual and General Holidays Act. We do not think that such an illegal and immoral policy should have a place in the UBC Personnel Department. We demand that the Board of Governors take action to rectify this situation immediately.

Name	Department

Buth allar

MINUTES OF THE MEMBERSHIP MEETING Thursday, December 12, 1974

A meeting of the membership of AUCE Local #1 was held on Thursday, December 12, 1974 at 12:00 noon in IRC Lecture Hall 2. Emerald Murphy was in the chair.

Announcements

Emerald made the following announcements.

- a) Members only may attend. At the request of the meeting non-members may be allowed to attend as observers.
- b) No smoking during the meeting.
- c) All motions must be in writing and handed to the Secretary with names of movers and seconders (this includes amendments whether defeated or carried).
- d) The meeting will adjourn promptly at 1:45.

Agenda

Heather McNeil)
Geoff Parker)

That Item #19 "Strike Committee report be moved ahead of Item #14"Communications Committee Report"

Carried

Minutes

Ian McKenzie

Pat Gibson

That the minutes be adopted as circulated in the

last newsletter.

Carried

Business Arising from the Minutes

There was no business arising from the minutes.

Executive Recommendations Concerning Nominations

Vicky Maynert) Rgina Barzynska) Verbal nominations from the floor will be accepted.
All nominations must be received in the Union Office in writing stating that the nominee will run for the position and signed by a supporter of the candidate nominated.

Carried

oarr.

Open Nominations for Secretary and Trustee

Nominations for the position of Recording Secretary and Trustee were opened. The following people were nominated.

Secretary - Ruth Allan Trustee - Betty Guilfoyle

Constitutional Amendment

Ian McKenzie)
Suzanne Lester)

Section G, Sub-section 3 of the Local Association By-laws (Duties of the Secretary) be amended as follows:
The following sentence be deleted:

'She/he shall be responsible for the official correspondence of the Local Association membership and of the Local Association Executive.'

The following sentence to replace the above:

'She/he shall be responsible for the official correspondence of the Local Association membership and of the Local Association Executive, as directed by those bodies. The Chairpersons of the Contract, Grievance and other standing Committees shall be responsible for the correspondence of those committees."

	Sandra Lundy) Rayleen Nash)	Moved to table the above motion until all members have an up to date copy of the by-laws and constitution. Defeated	
A	1		
Amen	Betty Vinson) Rayleen Nash)	That chairpersons of committees be required to file copies of correspondence with the union office within two days of writing.	
		Carried	
		The original motion as amended was carried.	
	Sandra Lundy)	That the Corresponding Secretary of the Local Association be responsible for the final composition, wording and preparation of all official correspondence on behalf of the Union and will sign all such correspondence, notwithstanding the foregoing the Union Organizer may in confunction with the Treasurer send letters or place orders in the name of the Local Association for goods required for the legitimate business of the Union.	
		Withdrawn	
Fina	inces		
	Ray Galbraith) Vicky Meynert)	That a cheque be forwarded in the amount of \$835.00 to the Provincial Association of AUCE to cover the per capita tax owing to date.	
		Carried	
	Ray Galbraith)	That the sum of \$750.00 be allocated to cover office	
	Jackie Ainsworth)	equipment, expenses, and stationery.	
		Carried	
	Ray Galbraith)	That the statement of Income and Expenses for the period	
	Ian McKenzie)	November 14, 1974 to December 12, 1974 be adopted.	
		Carried	
		A copy of that statement is attached to these minutes. (Appendix I)	
	Ray Galbraith)	That the motion "Moved that a gestetner be bought for	
	Carol Claus)	the Union Office" be tabled to next meeting.	
		Carried	
Executive Recommendation Concerning Credit Union			
TYEC	Dick Martin)		
	Vicky Meynert)	That the membership authorize the Executive to lend support	
	view, mey mere ,	in establishing a Credit Union at the University of British Columbia and that AUCE, Local #1 agree to deposit funds	
		with the Credit Union if and when it begins operation.	
Amer	ndment	wash one orears onton it and when it begins operation.	
	Rayleen Nash)	That AUCE Local I approve in principle only the deposit	
	Joy Korman)	of union funds in the proposed credit union subject	
		to final decision when firm data on organization,	
		management, financial returns and other relevant matters	
		are available.	
		Carried	
		Original motion as amended was carried.	

Grievance Committee Report

Sharron King reported that current holiday disputes are being investigated, the University refused to accept our notice to go to arbitration re seniority steps and that the University has agreed to have answers to all reclassification request by December 31, 1974.

Sharron King) That the Grievance Committee be authorized to take the Heather McNeil) Student Assistance dispute to arbitration.

Carried

Sharron King) That the AUCE membership interpret Article 31, 3A of the Janey Ginther) collective agreement to mean that any request for reclassification can be made twice in a 12 month period.

Carried

Ian McKenzie) That we make the following press release (copy attached to
Nancy Wiggs) the minutes, Appendix II)

Amendment

Gallia Chud) That the sentence "It is the kind of Neanderthal tactics Geoff Parker) more commonly associated with union-busting days of yore than with the here-and-now of B.C.'s largest institution of higher learning" be deleted.

Defeated

The original motion was carried.

Ian McKenzie) That a petition (copy attached to the minutes, Appendix III)
Nancy Wiggs) be distributed to collect signatures and returned in one
week to be presented to the Board of Governors.

Amendment

Rayleen Nash) That the word "demand" be replaced by the words "strongly Betty Vinson) urge".

Defeated.

The original motion was carried.

Motion

Pat Gibson)
Fairley Funston)

That the membership authorize the Grievance Committee to sign a memorandum of interpretation with the University Labour Committee, Article #28 Hours of Work; Article #29 Overtime; Article 26 Statutory Holidays - in reference to people on the flexible work week who have to make up time, 3/4 hour if on the 9-day 2-week, or 1 3/4 hours if on the 4-day week, for each Statutory or University holiday releasing the University from having to pay overtime rates for these specific hours made-up.

Defeated.

Provincial Report

Heather McNeil reported that AUCE is now the fastest growing union in B.C. Malaspina College has now been chartered, Capilano College has applied for certification, and SFU has now been certified and is starting contract negotiations. The provincial convention is scheduled for April 1975.

Contract Committee Report

Jackie Ainsworth reported that the contract would be back from the printers on December 16 and copies would be mailed out to all members within two weeks.

Suzanne Lester explained what the sick leave forms are and how they were to work.

Strike Committee Report

Heather McNeil said that due to the length of the report and the lateness of the hour that the Strike Committee would report at the next 2-hour lunchtime meeting.

The meeting was adjourned at 1:45 p.m.

A.U.C.E. LOCAL ONE

Statement of income and expense

Period from November 14, 1974 to December 12, 1974

Income	
Application fees and donations	\$ 60.00
Dues	3793.00
Other	30.00
Expenses	3883.00
Cash short	
Postage	33.73
Printing & Stationery	427.43
Telephone	28.95
Conferences & Meetings	30.00
Rent & Utilities	250.00
Per capita tax	368.00
Office expenses 7	
Office equipment	2 416.82
Library	
Salary & related expenditures	721.22
Petty cash	25.00
	2301.15
Excess of revenue over expense	1581.85
Cash on hand November 13, 1974	3945.20
Cash on hand December 12, 1974	\$5527.05

Motion: That a cheque be forwarded in the amount of \$835.00 to the Provincial Association of AUCE to cover the per capita tax owing to date.

Motion: That the sum of \$750.00 be allocated to cover office equipment, expenses and stationery.

Motion: That the financial report be adopted by the membership.