Caul perdans March 11-1947

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

| Defense' Witnesses | Page |
|-----------------------------------|-------|
| YAMAMOTO, Kumaichi (resumed) | 18083 |
| Redirect by Mr. Roberts (cont'd) | 18083 |
| (Witness excused) | 18088 |
| INOUE, Takamaro (recalled) | 18101 |
| Direct by Mr. Roberts | 18101 |
| MORNING RECESS | 18106 |
| (Witness excused) | 18115 |
| ANDO, Kisaburo | 18140 |
| Direct by Mr. SHIOBARA | 18140 |
| Cross by Brigadier Nolan | 18151 |
| AFTERNOON RECESS | 18161 |
| Cross by Brigadier Nolan (cont'd) | 18161 |
| Redirect by Mr. SHIOBARA | 18162 |
| (Witness excused) | 18163 |

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

| Doc. | | Description | For Ident. | In Evidence |
|-------|-------------------|--|--------------------|----------------|
| None | 2355 | A Book entitled "Nihon Shoki, a Chronicle of Japan" 1928 Edition | 18108 | |
| None | 2356 | A Book entitled "Commentaries on Nihon Shoki" by IIDA, Takasato, 1889 Edition | 18108 | |
| None | 2357 | A Book entitled "Explanations of Imperial Rescripts" by TAKASU, 1934 Edition | 18108 | |
| None | 23 58 | A Book described as "Dai Genkai" or "Great Diction- ary" by OTSUKI, 1934 Edition 3rd Volume thereof | ¹ 18115 | |
| None | 23 59 | A Book described as "Dai Genkai" 1932 Edition, by OTSUKI, 1st Volume thereof | 18115 | |
| None | 2360 | A Book described as "Diction- ary of Ancient Words and Phrases" by IIDA, Shiro- jiro | 18115 | |
| 598 | 2361 | Book entitled "Government in Japan" by Charles Fahs | 18116 | |
| 598(2 |) 2361-A | Excerpt therefrom | | 18116 |
| 598(7 |) 2361-B | ditto | | 18116 |
| 598(8 |) 2361 - C | ditto | | 18122 |
| | | NOON RECESS | | 18130 |

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

| Doc. | Def. Pros. | | For In Evidence |
|------------|------------------|---|-----------------|
| 179 | 2362 | Statement of State Minister KONOYE before the Budget Committee Session, House of Representatives, 76th Imperial Diet 8 February 1941 | 18132 |
| 588 | 2363 | Affidavit of ANDO, Kişaburo | 18141 |
| 148 | 2364 | Excerpt from the Minutes of the Budget Committeee Meet- ing, House of Representatives, 76th Session of the Diet, 28 January 1941 | 18164 |
| 292 | 2365 | Record of the 2nd Budget Committee Meeting of the House of Representatives on 24 Januar 1939 | 'y 18167 |
| 565 (wi | 2366 thdrawn) | Affidavit of KUZUU, Yoshihisa | 18173 18173 |
| 435 | 2366 | Affidavit of TSUGITA, Daisaburo | 18176 |
| 547 | 2367 | Report on the Eramination of the Revision of Imperial Ordinance on the Organization of the War Ministry and one other subject, dated 6 May 1936 | 18183 |

| 1 | Tuesday, 11 March 1947 |
|----|---|
| 2 | |
| 3 | |
| 4 | INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST |
| 5 | Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building |
| 6 | Tokyo, Japan |
| 7 | |
| 8 | The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, |
| 9 | at 0930. |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Appearances: |
| 12 | For the Tribunal, same as before. |
| 13 | For the Prosecution Section, same as before. |
| 14 | For the Defense Section, same as before. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | (English to Japanese and Japanese |
| 17 | to English interpretation was made by the |
| 18 | Language Section, IMTFE.) |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 25 | |
| 2) | |

Morse & Tolf

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA, TOJO and SHIGEMITSU who are represented by their respective counsel. We have certificates from the prison surgeon at Sugamo certifying that the accused SHIGEMITSU and TOJO are too ill to attend the trial today. The certificates will be recorded and filed.

Mr. Horwitz:

MR. HORWITZ: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal: It will be recalled that about a week ago the matter of rules of procedure in examining the witnesses was referred by the Tribunal to chambers. Since the reference there has been a number of meetings in chambers on this question. The matter has been referred to an agreement between the prosecution and the defense. This morning both the prosecution and the defense have come to an agreement and recommend to the Court the adoption of the following rule:

For the purpose of expediting the proceedings, the following agreement between the prosecution and defense is entered into as supplementary to the general rules of the Tribunal with respect to the examination of witnesses.

1. During the presentation of the general phase of the defense case, without the special permission of the Tribunal, not more than one counsel for the accused shall examine a witness in chief on the matters then being presented. Upon the completion of this examination, counsel for the individual accused may examine the vitness on matters which specifically and particularly concern his client to the extent only that they have not been covered in the preced-10 ing examination. Such counsel shall state at the beginning of his examination that the examination is direct.

3

4

5

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Upon completion of all direct examination, if the witness has given evidence against the interest of any accused, counsel for such accused may cross-examine the witness. If in the course of e cross-examination by counsel for the accused adverse testimony against any of the accused is adduced, counsel for such accused shall, prior to the cross-examination by the prosecution, cross-examine or further crossexamine the witness. A defense counsel cross-examining a witness shall announce his intention so to do at the beginning of his examination.

3. After all cross-examination by coursel for the accused shall have been completed, counsel

for the prosecution shall cross-examine. "ithout the special permission of the Tribunal not more than one prosecuting counsel shall cross-examine.

6

4

4. Upon completion of cross-examination by the prosecution, counsel for any accused regarding whom new testimony has been given in the course of the prosecution cross-examination may further cross-

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

examine the witness.

Upon completion of all cross-examination, without the special permission of the Tribunal, redirect examination shall be conducted by the counsel who conducted the general direct examination. Other counsel for individual accused may examine a witness on redirect examination only on matters specifically relating to his client and not covered by the general redirect examination.

17

18

20

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the Members of the Court will require time to consider what you have In the meantime, the Tribunal may see fit to give you leave to apply those proposed rules. Could we have copies of it, Mr. Horwit?

23

22

MR. HORWITZ: I will have copies for the 24 Members of the Tribunal by the morning recess, sir.

25

THE PRISIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

4

3

5 6

8

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

KUMAICHI YAMAMOTO, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. ROBETS (Continued):

Mr. YAMAMOTO, after the Ministry for Greater East Asia Affairs was set up was anything done to strengthen the independence of various countries in Tast Asia?

With the establishment of the Greater East Asia Ministry various policies for establishing the independence of the several countries of Creater East asia were carried out swiftly.

The prosecutor has read to you from exhibit No. 1344 concerning the reported decision of the liaison conference to deny independence to the East Indies. I refer now to that same exhibit No. 1344 and read the second paragraph on page 2 which was omitted by the prosecutor:

"At the time of this decision Prime Minister TOJO was rather in favour of independence and the Foreign Ministry also supported the plan, but the Supreme Command maintained strong opposition, as mentioned above; while others again, took the stand that once

independence was granted, Japan, for the sake of her personal honour would have to respect the independence to the last, and would be in a difficult position when negotiations, peace, etc., came one day to be considered, and that for this reason the status quo should be maintained. Territorial incorporation was thus finally decided on."

Does that reconcile with your statement that you and the Foreign Office were not in agreement with that report, Mr. YAMAMOTO?

MR. COMYNS CARE: I must object to that question, your Honor. It is self-evident whether it does or does not.

THE PRESIDENT: It is for us to say whether they can be reconciled, his evidence and what you have just read. Objection allowed.

22 23

Q May I ask you, Mr. YAMAMOTO, does that truly represent the situation as it existed in the Foreign Office and the stand taken by General TOJO at that time?

A I believe that what you have just read is a clear statement of the ideas held by General TOJO at the time as well as the thoughts held in the Foreign Office from the Minister down. At the same time it is a good -- this statement is a good example of our thoughts and ideas concerning the strengthening of independence of the several countries of Greater East Asia to which you referred previously, and, again, in reply to your question, I would like to answer you giving a concrete example.

Early in 19 -- The year after the establishment of the Greater East Asia Ministry early in 1943,

Japan gave up her extraterritorial rights in China and returned her concessions to China -- her settlements.

Not only this, the Japanese Government also took steps to return many factories which were under the management of the Japanese Army to the Nanking Government, and, furthermore, gave every cooperation to the National Government at Nanking in order to strengthen its political power.

In regard to countries other than China, whenever

3

5

6

7

9

8

10

12

13

14

1516

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

there were points regarding these countries which were not in accordance with the New Order in Greater East Asia steps were taken to gradually reform such points. In Burma and in Indonesia, that is to say the Dutch East Indies, the wishes of the natives were taken into account and opportunities were given to them of participating in politics.

There is one very great reason why the Japanese Government was able in this manner to carry out strongly and positively measures which had been considered very difficult to adopt because of the difficulty of coming to an agreement. One of these reasons was that among the Japanese people the concept of the New Order in Greater East Asia was beginning to take clear shape. Another great reason is that immediately before the establishment of the Greater East Asia Ministry, the Emperor gave a very important measure to Prime Minister TOJO. I shall state the purport of that message very briefly: Emperor called Prime Minister TOJO to the palace and stated that a New National Greater East Asia Ministry was to be established there with certain steps which Japan -- correction -- there are certain points which Japan must beware of in taking any measures towards the countries of Greater East Asia.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

24 use him.

25

MR. COMYNS CARR: I submit there is a limit 1 to the length of speeches the witness should be allowed 2 to make in answer to a simple question, and it was past in that case. THE PRESIDENT: Not merely to the length but 5 to the scope. The Emperor's directions to the Prime 6 Minister doesn't seer to bear on these matters. 7 MR. ROBERTS: This is all --8 THE PRESIDENT: Quite a generous statement. 9 MR. RCBERTS: This is all --10 THE PRESIDENT: No matter how long we won't 11 exclude anything that is relevant and material, but quite a lot of this is neither. 13 MR. ROBERTS: This is all relevant to the steps 14 being taken to strengthen the independence of the various 16 countries in the East Indies, very much questioned 17 by the prosecutor. THE PRESIDENT: There is no use asserting that, 18 19 if the facts be otherwise. The Emperor's speech was 20 quite indefinite. MR. ROBERTS: This completes our redirect. 21 22 would like to reserve the right to resummon this witness 23 for later phases or for other defendants who may care to

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, may I correct a

statement of fact which my learned friend made in the course of his re-examination with regard to exhibit 1332, which is a report of a body described as "The Sixth Committee" to the Supreme Command -- Government and Supreme Command Liaison Committee and the Cabinet 5 Committee? My friend said that that Sixth Committee 6 was a Committe of the Planning Board. Exhibit 1331 7 shows that it was not. It was a Committee of the Cabinet specially established on the 2nd of December 1941 to deal with these particular matters. 10 MR. ROBERTS: I move to strike out the statement 11 made by the prosecutor. It was not a question. It 12 was possibly something that belongs in summation, but 13 certainly does not belong here. THE PRESIDENT: You corrected him. The application 15 is refused. 16 The witness is no longer required for the time 17 being. He is released on the usual terms. 18 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 19 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts. 20 MR. ROBERTS: Mr. President, at this time I 21 22 would like, with the permission of the Tribunal, to 23 read certain excerpts from exhibit Nos. 2351. 2352 and

THE PRESIDENT: These are the speeches of the Greater East Asia Conference.

24 2353. They are very short.

Whalen & Yelden

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. ROBERTS: I will first read from exhibit No. 2351, page 2, second paragraph, defense document No. 664 marked previously. This is an excerpt from the address of His Royal Highness Prince Wan Waithayakon, representative of Thailand.

I read on page 2, paragraph 2:

"The reasons, which I would like to bring to the support of what I have stated, are those which I would bring from the history of the development of Greater East Asia. The continent of Asia was the source of human development and had attained the highest degree of development and had attained the highest degree of development from olden times. development is the pure light that shines in the heart of humanity, that urges humanity to look for peace and happiness and is composed of friendship and good-will firmly based on the principle of This is the principle of development righteousness. inherent in the Thai nation that worships Buddhism as the national religion, and such principle of development is also the principle of culture traditionally held by Asiatic nations in general, or to put it in another way, development according to Asiatic traditions is culture. This is recognized by the people of the West as borne out by the saying, 'ex occidente lex,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

ex oriente lux, from the West, law, from the East, light."

THE PRESIDENT: That is platitudinous stuff; argument would be more convincing.

MR. ROBERTS: I turn now to page 4, second paragraph.

I read on page 4, paragraph 2:

"In truth, Greater East Asia is a territory abundant in wealth; it has natural resources and industrial localities capable of development so as to make this sphere co-prosperous in accordance with the principle of self-sufficiency. The Japanese Empire had, accordingly, followed the policy of establishing a Co-Prosperity Sphere, abiding by the ideal of Hakko-Ichiu, the union into one family on the basis of justice, righteousness and peace, and had adopted peaceful means in the execution of this policy, such as in the case of the dispute between French Indo-China and Thailand, in which, in order to establish the friendship between the two countries on a basis free from resentment due to the loss of national territory. Thailand had requested the return from France of certain part of the lost territory, the Japanese Government kindly consented to mediate so that an agreement based on justice was reached.

The Thai people will never forget this favor on the part of Japan."

I now turn to page 5, last paragraph: "Thailand, a country which had maintained close relationship with Japan for several hundred years with ties of friendship that have progressively grown tighter and firmer, has well understood the high purpose of Japan, and His Majesty's Government, under the leadership of His Excellency the President of the Council of Ministers, have immediately cooperated as ally of Japan, as shown by the Fact of Alliance concluded with one another with, as its important object, the establishment of the Co-Prosperity Sphere in Greater East Asia and the total elimination of the evil influences which stand as obstacles in the achievement of this aim. The principles laid down in that Pact are 1) the establishment of an alliance on the basis of mutual respect of each other's independence and sovereignty, and 2) the rendering of mutual assistance with all the political, economic

I now read an excerpt from the address of
His Excellency Mr. Jose P. Laurel, representative of
the Philippines. I begin on page 2, first paragraph:
"In fact, as I look back, Your Excellency,

and military means at each other's command."

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and recall the history of human civilization, I feel that this meeting of the peoples of Greater East Asia should have been held a long time ago. Whereas, in the past we have been kept as strangers, one and all, it is really gratifying to note that through the trying efforts of the great Empire of Japan, for the first time in history we are gathered and grouped together, never again to be separated as in the past, ready to fight oppression, exploitation and tyranny so that we may proclaim to the world that no longer shall the one billion peoples of Asia be subjected to domination and exploitation by a few Western Powers. At this juncture, will you allow me, Your Excellency, to mention three reasons, why, in my opinion, we have not been permitted to meet, unite and discuss our common problems here?"

I turn now to page 5, second paragraph:

"In other words, the Greater East Asia CoProsperity Sphere is not being established for the
benefit of any integral unit of that Sphere. According
to His Excellency, the starting point of the establishment of the Sphere is recognition, respect for the
autonomy and independence of every integral unit, so
that, with the recognition of political independence
and territorial integrity, each nation may develop in

18 19

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

22

23

accordance with its own institutions, without any
particular member monopolizing the resulting prosperity of any given country or nation, but with the
object in view of extending that welfare and the
prosperity to the other integral units, on the
theory that the prosperity of all is the prosperity
of the integral parts, but that the prosperity of
the integral parts is not necessarily the prosperity
of the whole."

I turn now to page 9, last paragraph:

"Your Excellency, it has been my privilege to join this conference with one single purpose in mind and that is, to contribute in a small measure to the general awakening of the peoples of our part of the globe. At the same time, I desire to express officially the gratitude and appreciation of the Filipino people for the great boon of independence which has just been granted to them and which has enabled me to come and attend this conference and meet the worthy representatives of the peoples of Greater East Asia te this august Assembly."

I read now the last excerpts from the address of His Excellency Dr. Ba Maw, representative of Burma. I begin on page 2, first paragraph:

"It is impossible to exaggerate the feelings

which are born out of an occasion like this. For years in Burma I dreamt my Asiatic dreams. My Asiatic blood has always called to other Asiatics. In my dreams, both sleeping and waking, I have always heard the voice of Asia calling to her children. Today, for the first time, I hear Asia's voice calling again but this time not in a dream. We have come together, Asiatics, in answer to the call, to the voice of our mother. I have listened with the greatest emotion to all the speeches delivered around this table. All these speeches have been memorable, moving, and -- I may be exaggerating, but you must forgive me -- I seem to hear in them the same voice of Asia gathering her children together. Somehow or other, whatever the different representatives may say, whatever local color they may add, the undertone, the voice, is the same. There is always unity of design and purpose and mind which, I say, is the call of our Asiatic blood. This is not the time to think with our minds; this is the time to think with our blood, and it is this thinking with the blood that has brought me all the way from Burma to Japan." I now turn to page 8, first paragraph: "As for the new Eastern order and economy, I am, as I have said, profoundly grateful to His

1

3

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Excellency the Chairman for his clear and unequivocal 1 statement on it. He has declared with his character-2 istic courage and decision its basic principles to be justice, reciprocity, and mutual respect for one another's independence and sovereignty. These are clear, reassuring words. These will be forever an 6 East-Asiatic Charter, a charter which will last as long as the new East-Asiatic order will last. Founded 8 upon these principles the new Eastern Order will stand like a rock forever. This new East-Asiatic world has 10 already the material conditions necessary for stability. Nature has as I have said, provided those 12 13 material riches richly for this new world of ours. 14 Materially, we lack nothing to make our world stable 15 and strong against our enemies. But this decidedly 16 is not enough. Together with this material unity 17 there must be a spiritual unity based upon understand-18 ing and tolerance, upon the fundamental proposition of 19 one for all and all for one. Together with our dif-20 ferent nationalism there must be a wider nationalism. 21 Together with our territorial horizons there must be 22 a single East-Asiatic world horizon. These are not 23 mere sentiments or bhrases but a deadly task which 24

we must accomplish or perish in the attempt."

25

_

comeness and the need to fight this war together as
East Asiatics and to construct a world together as
East Asiatics. We have started this work in the right
way at this Assembly. It is, however, necessary to
continue the present work; further than this, much
further, to extend the work we have begun so well
today so that it should cover the whole area of the
present war and the future peace. In other words,
there must be a permanent East Asiatic central organization which will guide the common destiny of East
Asia in a planned collective way. This alone will
make our unity real and effective, a weapon indeed
for both war and peace. Needless to say, the council
will represent the free and equal nations of Greater
East Asia. The road before us, therefore, is clear.

I turn now to page 11, first paragraph:

"I have spoken sufficiently of East Asiatic

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, there is a passage that my friend has omitted from that oration which throws considerable light on the parts he has

We are only at the beginning of it now, but we shall

march forward. Past history shows that whenever the

Asiatic peoples came together, whenever they found

unity and leadership, they were able to march any-

where, even to the world's end."

read. May I b

read. May I be permitted to read it now?

THE PRESIDENT: I think you ought to be at liberty to indicate that there is a passage, but not to read it. You see you won't have another opportunity to read it unless evidence is permitted in rebuttal, and I don't think that the Charter permits of that. But I won't allow you to read that.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May I point out that the passage is the first half of page 4, the first two paragraphs of page 4.

MR. ROBERTS: I want to recall to the Court that I originally offered to read the entire document, that is, the three speeches in full, and I stated my purpose at that time, that I did not wish any misconstruction to be placed upon excerpts. However, pursuant to the wishes of the Court, I did have certain excerpts taken.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you desire to read the part that Mr. Carr referred to?

You have an advantage that he did not possess:
You could read parts of documents omitted by the prosecution; the prosecution can't read parts of documents omitted by you unless there is evidence in rebuttal, as to which the Charter is silent.

MR. KOBERTS: Well, if we start to read lines

3 4 5

8 9

and phrases that they like, we will have--

THE PRESIDENT: We won't make you do that.

MR. ROBERTS: We will have to read phrases in order to answer those phrases that they pick out.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Carr has indicated the part he desires the Court to direct its attention to, and that is sufficient.

Mh. ROBERTS: With the permission of the Tribunal I would like to refer to prosecution exhibit No. 268 in order to recall the Japanese official position on their interpretation of the phrase, "New Order in East Asia," as set forth by Premier KONOYE on November 3, 1938. The complete document has been read by the prosecution; it is not my intention to read it again.

THE PRESIDENT: Just refer to the page and the paragraph.

MR. ROBERTS: I refer to page 1, second paragraph, beginning with the words: "It is the establishment--" to the end of the paragraph. Also to the last sentence in the last paragraph on page 1.

I also request permission to refer the Tribunal to page 2, paragraph 3, of the same exhibit. The fourth paragraph, beginning with the word "Japan" and ending with the word "collaboration," reference is

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

again made for the same purpose as heretofore stated. 1 We now offer in evidence defense document No. 54 to show the first application or extension 3 of the New Order in East Asia to the South Sea terri-4 tories. As testified by the witness YAMAMOTO, this was expressly for the purpose of insuring stability in these territories. 7 MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, we object to 9 this document. It is a duplicate of prosecution 10 exhibit 529. 11 THE PRESIDENT: Both sides rely on it? 12 Has the whole of it been read before, Mr. Carr? 13 MR. COMYNS CARR: Fully read. Your Honor. 14 there are some slight differences in the translation, 15 and if any importance is attached to those they should 16 have been referred to the language arbitration board 17 long ago. 18 THE PRESIDENT: Are you relying on the dif-19 ferences in language? 20 MR. ROBERTS: No. we are not. I will be 21 content at this time to refer the Court to that docu-22 ment. 23 I now respectfully request permission to 24 call the Tribunal's attention to prosecution exhibit 25

No. 541 for the purpose of showing the first official

use of the term "Greater East Asia," and its intention 1 to appear as an expression synonymous with the phrase 2 "Hakko Ichiu," and not for the purpose of aggressive 3 war or autonomy plan or conspiracy, particularly 4 page 2, paragraph 1, entitled: "Pacific Policy." 5 THE PRESIDENT: Yes. Has the whole of this 6 been read before? 7 MR. ROBERTS: I believe it has. But in view 8 of the testimony we wanted to again recall it to the 9 Tribunal's attention. 10 THE PRESIDENT: But without reading it. 11 MR. KOBERTS: That is correct. 12 At this time we recall the witness INOUE. 13 THE PRESIDENT: You tendered defense document 14 54, or are you withdrawing it? It was not admitted. 15 MR. ROBERTS: 54 was not admitted did your 16 Honor say? That is correct, if your Honor please. 17 THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Roberts. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Dudaa & Whalen

1

3

4

5

6

TAKAMARO INOUE, recalled las a witness on behalf of the defense, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former oath.

I reminded him he was still on his former oath, but I am sure he didn't hear me without his ear phones.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. KOBERTS:

Q Have you brought to court the books which you can refer to as authority for your definition of "Hakko Ichiu" and "Kodo?"

A Yes, I did.

Q Will you give us the name and date of publication of the first book you intend to refer to?

A I shall show it to you immediately. I shall begin with the first book, the author is SAEKI, Yugi, the title is "Rikkokushi." The first volume is "Nihonshoki."

The original book was published in the year 720 A.D., and the one I have here is a later reproduction. This book I have here was published in 1928.

On the 95th page of this book what purports

to be an Imperial Rescript by the Emperor JIMMU is

9

10

8

11

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

set forth.

ME. ROBERTS: May I ask first that this

MA. ROBERTS: May I ask first that this book be marked for identification.

THE PRESIDENT: You can do that at the end of this examination on the book.

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, we are not objecting to the use of these books in this particular instance, but I thought we should make it plain that we do not acquiesce in this method of introducing evidence through documents.

THE PRESIDENT: If all he is here to do is to produce books and documents of this quality, he need not even be sworn. I take it these are standard works and they can be referred to without evidence of this type, just as almanacs can be, or dictionaries.

We did ask for these things, and he should refer to the page.

Q Will you please refer to the page and give us the definition as stated therein?

THE PRESIDENT: I don't know what the prosecution's grievance is. Nevertheless, they relied upon the use of that term "Hakko Ichiu" and here the defense endeavor to explain what it means.

I will say once again the thing is, what meaning it was given by the accused and what purpose

they put it to. That is never overlooked.

MR. TAVENNER: Your Honor, I had no grievance to express with regard to the use of these books by this witness. My sole purpose was to make certain that the reading of excerpts from books by this witness would not be treated as a precedent in the introduction of matters generally.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't know why you have those fears.

Q Will you proceed, Mr. Witness, to give us the page number and the definition? 1 A Page 95 on this book gives the required 2 passages. The second book is called "Nihonshoki 3 Chushaku," "Interpretation of the Nihonshoki," and is 4 written by IIDA, Bukyo. In this book the explanation 5 of the term is given on page 1,209. 6 (Will you please read the explanation as given in that book? A "The phrase of the Imperial Rescript, gather-9 10 ing all parts of the world, 'et cetera, and 'covering 11 the universe, 'et cetera, these two clauses mean that 12 the entire country should be our capital and that the 13 universe should be our home." 14 THE PRESIDENT: Now, is he giving his con-15 struction, or is he reading from page 95? We want him to read from page 95, not to tell us what he thinks it means. 18 THE WITNESS: I was reading from page 95. 19 There are a few words more: 20 "This also means that the Imperial virtue 21 shall embrace the entire country and all the people shall rejoice in the fruits of that virtue." Q Were you reading, now, from the --24 A There are still a few words more, but I think

that is sufficient.

THE PRESIDENT: Now he has finished with two books, one published in 1928 and the one he is handling now. Let them be tendered to be marked for identification only.

A (Continuing) The third book is by TAKASU, Yoshijiro, and the title of the book is "Dainihon Shochoku Kinkai," "Interpretation of the Imperial Rescripts of the Great Japan," published in 1934.

On page 317 the following interpretation is given: "The meaning of the term is that the entire country shall be as one family and that the Emperor will love his people as his own children, and the Imperial way -- 'kodo,' the Imperial way, will be promulgated at home and abroad. This can also be defined as expanding into a love for humanity embracing the entire world as one family."

In this explanation, the word "michi" or "way" is used. I should like to interpret this term "michi" as meaning not by force or by power, but through moral strength.

"The term 'hakko ichiu,' starting from the basis of love for one's own country, expands into love for the entire world -- love for humanity," correction.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen

minutes. (Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

DIRECT

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Roberts.

BY MR. ROBERTS (Continued):

Q Mr. INOUE, did you read the definition from the first book?

A I did not read the original text from the first book; so I shall read that text now. Unless the previous passages are read it is difficult to grasp the interpretation of the term, "hakko ichiu." However, I shall begin from that part wherein this phrase is included.

Q What page?

A Page 95. Is it not good for us to cover the earth and make it our home? That is all.

THE PRESIDENT: Why did you omit reading that in the first instance? It suggests lack of candor towards us.

IR. ROBERTS: I believe it was merely an oversight, if your Honor please. He referred to having taken the definition from that book and then proceeded from the other.

Q In reading from the book marked No. 3, you mentioned an interpretation of the word "the way." Was that your own interpretation or were

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

you reading from that book, Mr. INCUE? 1 I read it from the book. 2 MR. ROBERTS: At this time I will offer 3 the first three books to be marked for identifica-4 tion. 5 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document, a 6 book entitled "Nihon Shoki, a Chronicle of Japan," 7 1928 Edition, will be given exhibit No. 2355 for 8 identification only. 9 (Whereupon, the document above 10 referred to was marked defense exhibit 11 No. 2355 for identification.) 12 Defense document, a book entitled 13 "Commentaries on Nihon Shoki," by IIDA, Takasato, 14 1889 Edition, will be given exhibit No. 2356 for 15 identification only. 16 (Whereupon, the document above 17 18 referred to was marked defense exhibit 19 No. 2356 for identification.) 20 Defense document, a book entitled 21 "Explanations of Imperial Rescripts," by TAKASU, 22 1934 Edition, will be given exhibit No. 2357 for 23 identification only. 24

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

18,109

INOUE

No. 2357 for identification.)
BY MR. ROBERTS (Continued):

Q Mr. INOUE, have the three books just marked for identification been in use up to the present time?

A Yes, as you say.

Q Will you now refer to your next book, please, giving us the page number and the definition?

A The name is Dai Genkai. This is a dictionary. The author is OTSUKI, Fumikiko, published in 1934. The third volume of this dictionary, page 868, the word is "hakko." "Hakko" means the same as eight sides or eight directions.

Q Now, will you please refer to your mext book and give us the page number and definition?

THE PRESIDENT: Is not the word "ichiu" defined also?

MR. ROBERTS: Yes, your Honor.

"ichiu." The name of the book is the same book that I just referred to, and the author is the same also, only this is the first volume of that dictionary. The edition is that of 1932. The word "ichiu" is defined on page 279. There is one definition of it as a noun and another one as an adverb. I shall

| 1 | begin by reading the explanation of the word used |
|----|--|
| 2 | as a noun. "House" correction "one house." |
| 3 | Then next, I shall read the explanation of the word |
| 4 | used as an adverb. This expression has come from |
| 5 | the phrase, "all within the house all the people |
| 6 | within the house." The definition, "all," "everything. |
| 7 | Q Will you now refer to your next book? |
| 8 | THE PRESIDENT: Before you leave that one, |
| 9 | that is, the 1932 Edition, you would expect to find |
| 0 | the phrase "hakko ichiu" defined in such an edition. |
| 1 | Does the witness say that it is not defined in that |
| 12 | edition? |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: This word is defined in many |
| 14 | dictionaries, but in view of the President's order |
| 15 | I brought two or three which I happened to have at the |
| 16 | present. |
| 17 | THE PRESIDENT: I asked about the phrase, |
| 19 | "hakko ichiu." |
| 20 | THE WITNESS: As I stated before, this phrase |
| 21 | is defined in a book entitled "Explanations of the |
| 22 | Imperial Rescripts," and I would like to refer to it |
| 23 | later, and it is also given in other books. |
| 24 | THE PRESIDENT: But you say it is not in |
| | · · |

the dictionary that you have just perused.

THE WITNESS: There is no explanation of

"hakko ichiu" as a phrase in the dictionary.
BY MR. ROBERTS (Continued):

Q Will you now refer to your next book, again giving us the page number and definition?

A The next book which I have brought here is the dictionary of ancient words and phrases. The author is IKEDA, Shirojiro. This book explains that the word "hakko" came to Japan from the Chinese language and gives the Chinese source of this word. On page -- the definition is given on page 1293. I shall read only the part concerning the source, the origin of the word "halko."

IR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please. I do not see how we can be concerned with the origin of the phrase.

THE PRESIDENT: Let us hear it. It is not worth objecting to.

JAPANESE INTERPRETER: Mr. President, the translation of this definition will take some time and it can only be a very free translation, because it is a translation from ancient Japanese, which is comparable to the English of Beowulf.

THE PRESIDENT: Oh, one of our earliest poets. Well, I think that this should be put in an affidavit. All that the witness has to say -- has still to say, can be put into an affidavit and we can have a simultaneous translation of it. Of course it is for the prosecution to object to that course if they so desire.

NR. TAVENNER: The prosecution does not object, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: If we will accept it in an affidavit you can have no quarrel, Mr. Roberts.

MR. ROBERTS: I would not quarrel with that, your Honor please, except that I intend to use these definitions as a basis for further questioning by the same witness.

THE PRESIDENT: I don't think any Member of the Tribunal wants this witness to elucidate anything that appears in a dictionary or in a history of Japan. You can put your version in an affidavit and if the defense do not accept it they can refer it to the

18,113

1

3

4 5

6

7 8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Language Section and they can decide, -- if the prosecution don't accept it.

MR. ROBERTS: In that case it may be necessary for me to recall this witness again for the purpose of putting the later questions to him.

THE PRESIDENT: He can cover the rest of his testimony in an affidavit; we do not require him further to give oral testimony. When we get his affidavit it will be time enough to decide what we are going to do.

MR. ROBERTS: Perhaps we can proceed with the definitions already given and cover the subject adequately. If your Honor has no objection I will proceed.

THE PRESIDENT: I mean in an affidavit you can cover all he has said and all he has still to say if he remains in the box. Any affidavit will be confined to quotations from dictionaries and histories and will contain no attempt at elucidation. We could release this witness now, Mr. Roberts. I take it there is no desire to cross-examine.

MP. TAVENNER: On the basis of the testimony at present we do not desire to cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I suggest we let him go, and proceed with other evidence.

4 5

MR. ROBERTS: May we request that the books which have been marked be returned to the witness, if your Fonor so please?

THE PRESIDENT: They will be available to him but in the custody of the Court.

MR. ROBERTS: And may we have the privilege of recalling this witness, if it is so desirable, at a later date for other defendants?

THE PRESIDENT: At present I see no need for recalling him, but the Tribunal will decide the question when it arises.

MR. TAVENNER: Would it not be proper, your Honor, for the dictionary to be presented for identification the same as the other documents?

THE PRESIDENT: Any book he has read from must be tendered for identification, but will be evailable to him although in the custody of the Court.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document described as "Dai Genkai," or "Great Dictionary," by OTSUKI, 1934 edition, third volume thereof, will be given exhibit No. 2358 for identification only.

Defense document known as "Dai Genkai," 1932 edition, by OTSUKI, first volume thereof, will be given exhibit No. 2359 for identification only.

Defense document known as "Dictionary of

Ancient Words and Phrases," by IIDA, Shirojiro, will be given exhibit No. 2360 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the documents above referred to were marked defense exhibits
Nos. 2358, 2359 and 2360, respectively, for identification.)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: If the Court please, we offer for identification only defense document No. 598, being "Government in Japan," by Charles B. Fahs. I ask the permission of the Court to read an excerpt from this book, which is a study made by the Institute of Pacific Relations consisting of eleven nations. Sir, it is an impartial and constructive analysis of the situation in the Far East and is a document found in the property of the United States Army.

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose you would find Mr. Grew's book there too and Sir Robert Craigie's. That is not the test. We will admit it only for its relevant and material statements of fact, if it possesses any and if it is not merely repetitive. We have reached that stage where we have to consider now whether material is repetitive or not.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

this fact can be easily exaggerated, no survey of modern Japanese governmental changes would be complete without consideration of its causes. That the Japanese cabinet must be a coalition between different groups and that the influence of each group depends to a considerable measure on public opinion have already been suggested. For a few years following the promulgation of the Japanese Constitution the major parties in the House of Representatives were excluded from representation in the cabinet. It was soon discovered, however, that this prevented effective operation of the constitutional system, and since about 1896 every cabinet, with one or two unsuccessful exceptions, has sought a working agreement with the Diet. This did not mean that the Diet was given cortrol of either the composition or the policies of the cabinet; it was merely admitted to participation in the coalition. Such an arrangement is consistent with the provisions in the Japanese Constitution and with Japanese precedent. The parties, like other groups, sought to extend their influence and found support for their efforts in Western theories of constitutional government which assume, probably uncritically, that development toward full parliamentary control is a necessary or at least the 'normal' path of political

evolution. Post-War trends in other parts of the world were conducive to such opinions and, for a few years, principally from 1924 to 1932, party cabinets ruled Japan. Their control was never complete, however, for the traditional position of the civil and military services, the Privy Council, and the House of Peers could not be violated without recrimination and retaliation.

"The course of Japanese politics during the late 1920's was punctuated by a series of clashes between the cabinet and the other organs of the government, ostensibly over such questions as financial aid to banks in the panic of 1927, the issuance of amendments to the Peace Preservation Law in the guise of an emergency ordinance, certain phrases in the Pact for the Renunciation of War, or the ratification of the London Naval Treaty. Each also represented a protest against the attempt to alter radically the political balance of power in favor of the parties in the House of Representatives at the expense of the peers, the Privy Council, the civil and military services and the jushin. The parties might have carried the day had they enjoyed genuine popular confidence. Instead it was common knowledge that bribery in elections was the rule rather than the exception and that party leaders

5

8

10

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

7 8

depended on big business for the millions of yen necessary for each political campaign. Interference with elections through the party-controlled Department of Home Affairs was so common that it was said the government in rower never lost an election. Corrupt deals between government officials and private interests were frequently rumored and occasionally exposed. The parties were therefore vulnerable to the countercharges of the non-elected organs whose share in cabinet control they were attempting to reduce.

therewith spelled failure for the party campaign, at least for the present. Economic collapse weakened the prestige of Western social theories. Japanese who had advocated greater powers for the legislature on the strength of Western experience found their arguments contradicted by a Western swing toward greater executive powers. Nationalism, resurgent in Japan as everywhere else, reinforced the arguments of those who wished to preserve the customary balance in political life. Growing recognition of the economic character of national defense and the Manchurian Incident increased the prestige and widened the legitimate concern of the professional military services. Crisis conditions, both economic and diplomatic, plus European precedents, led to demands for

25

a moratorium on partisan strife and for the establishment of a coalition cabinet. In short, everything conspired to weaken the party campaign against the prerogatives of the other governmental organs. When the tottering WAKATSUKI Cabinet fell in December 1931 as a result of the advocacy of a coalition by one of its own members, it was already clear that, in the absence of far-reaching political reforms, no new party cabinet would have much hope for long life. It was reported that the genro, Prince SAICNJI, before nominating INUKAI for the premiership, had warned the latter of the serious situation and had inquired whether he was confident of his ability to control it. INUKAI was similarly warned by the usually liberal Asahi newspaper. The SEIYUKAI Cabinet, born under the cloud of exchange speculation, was criticized for failure to resign in acceptance of responsibility for an attempt on the life of the Emperor (the Sakuradamon affair of January 8, 1932), and lost prestige with the assassinations of INOUE, Junnosuke (February 9, 1932) and Baron DAN (March 5, 1932). A reform program would perhaps not have stemmed the tide, but even this the SEIYUKAI Cabinet did not have. When the premier was assassinated on May 15, 1932, party cabinets, which had ruled at best for but a decade or so, came to an end, and Japan returned

again to the earlier system of a coalition wider in 1 scope than the groups in the House of Representatives. 2 "The parties were still recognized, however.

Since 1932 the major parties have been openly condemned only by the short-lived HAYASHI Cabinet. All other cabinets have welcomed party co-operation and limited party representation. YONAI has welcomed the president of the Minseito into his cabinet. At the same time each cabinet has urged political reforms to aid in the rehabilitation of the Diet in public opinion."

The next paragraph on the page, sub-heading

"Only two of the eleven cabinets which Japan has had during the last ten years have been headed by generals -- those of HAYASHI in 1937 and ABE in 1939. It is interesting to note, therefore, that the China policy of the HAYASHI Cabinet was somewhat more liberal than that of its predecessors and that the Cabinet also sought a rapprochement with Great Britain."

I shall omit reading 598(7) and offer in evidence defense document 598(8).

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, I don't know whether the Tribunal, having heard that excerpt read, considers that it is sufficiently material and helpful

3

6

10

11 12

3:

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

to wish to hear another. In my submission, what we have heard is either immaterial, or so far as it is material at all we have in evidence a great deal more about the material parts of it already than is contained in this summary.

THE PRESIDENT: It contains a number of statements of fact the probative value of which the Tribunal may wish to consider. This further excerpt is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 598(8) will receive exhibit No. 2361-C.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2361-C and received in evidence.)

MR. BLEWETT (Reading): "The Diet has obviously been able to check every move for any radical change in its composition or powers. One of its chief weapons has been a counterattack on weak points in the civil and military services. Thanks to the acumen and political ability of Field Marshal YAMAGATA --"

THE MONITOR: Mr. Blewett, could you kindly tell us what you mean by 3-5? What was your signal? What does this mean, please, 3-5?

MR. BLEWETT: I meant No. 8.

THE MONITOR: I see. Thank you. No. 8.

MI. BLEWETT (Reading continued): "-- Japan has for some forty years enjoyed most of the features of a modern administrative civil service which have recently been advocated for the United States by President Roosevelt's Committee on Administrative Reorganization. Lately, however, there has been a trend in England, the United States, and Japan to question some aspects of the civil service program, particularly the effects on efficiency and initiative of rigid civil service guarantees of tenure. The unusual influence of civil servants in Japan has given such arguments added cogency, and they have recently been exploited to the full in the Japanese Diet. Administrative reforms were promised by the KCKOE Cabinet and studied during the spring, summer, and fall of 1938. After the installation of the HIMANUMA Cabinet, the Seiyukai and Minseito threatened to adopt a resolution providing for civil service reforms (in the direction of curtailment of civil service privileges) but were finally dissuaded from doing so. HIMANUMA deferded the civil servants, (he was one himself) and acceded to Diet demands only to the extend of addressing a memorandum to all civil servants, admonishing them to greater endeavor, impartiality, and humility. Criticism of the civil service has, however, stimulated cabinet

•

19

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

2324

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

1 ministers to more continuous efforts in the direction of improvement of personnel administration in the various departments, notably in the Department of Foreign Affairs.

"The Army and Navy present a special problem although they are, of course, in much the same situation as the civil services. The tenure of military officers is even more protected than that of other state officials. Their political influence has increased more markedly during the last decade, and their record has been marred by a number of instances of participation by active or reserve officers in assassinations or in conspiracies against important statesmen. The military services are still extremely jealous of their independent position under the supreme command and their freedom from political influences. Post-World-War emphasis on the wider meaning of national defense has, however, led officers to concern themselves with an ever wider range of political questions. The resultant increase in internal disputes over policy coincided with a decrease in the unity of principles and control due to the deaths of the genro YAMAGATA and OYAMA and to the displacement of clan leadership by new men trained since the Sino-Japanese War.

"Theoretically, the army and navy are under direct Imperial command, but their actual administration has been divided among several officers each of whom enjoys the privilege of direct report to the sovereign. This situation was tolerable as long as unity was maintained by the authority of the genro, but caused trouble when that authority disappeared. A conflict in 1931 within the army "big three" -- the minister of the army, the chief of staff, and the inspector-general of military education -- led to the appointment in 1932 of a member of the Imperial Family, Prince KAN-IN, as chief of staff to serve as an arbiter. Friction between Minister of the Army HAYASHI and Inspector-General of Military Education MAZAKI over personnel policies led to the forced resignation of the latter in 1935 and was indirectly connected with the assassination of Major-General NAGATA in August of that year and with the assassinations of February 26, 1936 (of which the new inspector-general of military education, WATANABE, was a victim). A somewhat similar problem in the navy was evident in 1929 when the minister and the chief of staff disagreed over the ratification of the London Naval Treaty. There was thus a double problem for both the army and the navy --

a proper adjustment of relations with the cabinet 1 and the Diet and a unification of internal control. Neither aspect of the problem could be solved except 3 by a process of political adjustment: no formula 4 was immediately applicable. As a result, the re-5 6 cent course of the army and navy in Japanese politics has not been entirely consistent. Nevertheless, the main lines are tolerably clear. The develop-9 ment in the navy has been less spectacular than that 10 in the army, which may be taken as an example for 11 both. Army leadership has, particularly since 1936. 12 been concentrated increasingly in the hands of the 13 minister of the army who has assumed full control 14 of personnel shifts. Continuity in the personnel 15 and political policies of the Department has been 16 strengthened by a return to the earlier limitation 17 of the position of minister to generals or lieutenant-18 generals in active service, excluding those on the 19 reserve list like General UGAKI. Army officers 20 have been prohibited from publicly expressing views 21 on political affairs, and since February 26, 1936. 22 those involved in illegal activities have been dealt 23 with most severely. The Reservists' Association 24

has also been brought under the control of the

Department. The army has thus recognized that irres-

3

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

ponsible political agitation by military officers cannot be permitted. The converse of this recognition is that the political voice of the army is concentrated in the minister of the army whose influence in the cabinet has consequently increased.

"What, then, is the legitimate sphere of influence of the service ministers? The position which General TERAUCHI and subsequent ministers of the army have tried to maintain is that while the army, under modern conditions of national defense, cannot be indifferent to general economic and political problems, will express its views through the minister of the army, and reserves the right to refuse to cooperate with any cabinet not showing a proper understanding of such problems; the army will, nevertheless, not attempt to dictate either specific policies or the details of their application. These are the proper functions of the civilian branches. This distinction between readiness to block cabinets in which it lacks confidence and avoidance of interference with non-military legislation and administration is a very narrow one, and its practical application leaves much room for dissatisfaction on both sides. It amounts, however, only to reaffirmation of the customary share of the

8

9

10

11

12

13

3

services in the Japanese political coalition. During recent years the minister of the army has advocated many types of legislation -- control of the power industry, agrarian relief, organization of a national welfare department, reform of the House of Peers, and a general mobilization act, to mention only a few. Yet none of these has been dictated. Some remain unaccomplished; others have been adopted only after formulation and debate by the civilian ministries, extended discussion in the Diet, and frequent amendments. The influence of the army and navy departments on domestic legislation and administration has increased during the last decade, but not to the point of dictatorship.

"Army influence in continental policy is a different problem and one which cannot be fully examined here. Obviously the army controls Japanese activities in Manchuria and in China to a far greater extent than it does domestic affairs. This power on the continent is based on various factors: right of direct appeal to the Throne, the special position of the Kwantung Army under the South Manchuria Railway Concession and the Kwantung Peninsula lease, the regulation of Sino-Japanese affairs since 1932 and 1933 by military truce instead of diplomatic

23

19

20

21

22

24

treaty, and the existence of military hostilities since 1937. The beginnings of a compromise similar to that in Japan proper can, however, be seen in the growing integration of domestic and overseas policies discussed above and in the establishment of such organs as the Manchurian Affairs Bureau and the China Board.

"Altogether the Japanese political coalition -- the House of Representatives, House of Peers, Privy Council, ministers close to the Throne, army, navy, civil services -- has not been radically altered during the last decade. The House of Representatives has lost influence but has retained all of its legal powers and has benefited from some reforms. Its influence would probably grow once more if its major parties could iron out their own domestic disputes which have recently made a very bad impression. The Privy Council continues as before an important check on cabinet actions between sessions of the Diet. The House of Peers remains approximately unchanged in both legal powers and influence. The ministers close to the Throne have probably somewhat declined in day-by-day influence. but they retain a voice in the appointment of new cabinets, and so continue to furnish indirect guidance

1

3

7

,

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

of political developments. The army and navy have 1 increased their influence but have also carried out 2 internal reforms which seem to assure somewhat 3 more responsible exercise of their powers than during 4 the early 1930's. The civil services, too, have 5 gained in strength but not decisively. In general, 6 7 the power of the administrative branch of the 8 government has increased while that of the legis-9 lature has decreased, but this is a universal 10 phenomenon. The increasing role of national defense 11 considerations in governmental decisions is not 12 peculiar to Japan." 13 THE PRESIDENT: These differences among 14 the Japanese themselves throw no light on their 15 attitude toward other peoples. 16 We will adjourn until half-past one. 17 (Whereupon, at 1200 hours, a recess 18 was taken until 1330 hours.) 19

22

20

21

24

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military
Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Dr. UZAWA.

DR. UZAWA: With the Court's permission, I should like to report on the reason for the witness YAMAMOTO's delay yesterday morning.

Upon investigation by the Office of the Defense
Counsel it was found that the motor car which had set
out to get witness YAMAMOTO broke down on the way. As
the accident occurred at a place where there were no
telephones within easy access, the driver was unable
to communicate. It was indeed a matter of the most
profound regret. We have taken steps to see that such
accidents will not occur in the future and have instructed
the drivers to be careful.

That is all, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: That is satisfactory.

Mr. Blewett.

MR. BLEWETT: We shall now produce evidence to show the true aims and purposes of the Imperial Rule Assistance Association and the Imperial Rule Assistance

Political Association to prove they were contrary to the purposes alleged by the prosecution, and we shall also show that neither was organized or controlled by these accused as part of a common plan of conspiracy to force Japan into a war of conquest.

We offer in evidence defense document No. 179 to show the nature of the I.R.R.A.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 179

will receive exhibit No. 2362.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 179 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLEWETT: I shall read exhibit No. 2362, if the Court please, the statement of State Minister KONOE before the Budget Committee, 8 February 1941:

"State Minister KONOE:

part of the Government as to the nature of the Imperial Rule Assistance Association.

"As for the movement for an Imperial rule assistance, I think you are already acquainted with its general outline through the statement which I made in the Preliminary Conference for the Establishment of a New Organization in August last year. But it will not

be unnecessary to explain the aims of the mevement on this occasion.

"Japan is now confronted with the greatest crisis in her history. At this juncture it is needless to say that, in order to take adequate measures aiming at a broad leap in our national progress, it is necessary to unify the power of the whole nation into one harmonious whole by consolidating the national defence organization of the state and to exert the national power thus unified to the fullest extent. And the basis for this so-called 'strong national defence organization of the state! consists in establishing an effective system within the nation. And in my opinion this in turn is possible only on the basis of a national organization which will enable the whole population to participate effectively in the Imperial rule assistance. The aim of such a national organization is to unify the power of the whole nation in order that all the subjects of the Emperor may discharge their respective duties in organic unity, thus participating in the Imperial rule assistance. This aim requires that all the people participate in this great task effectively by discharging their respective everyday duties in their various tasks. It is only under such a national system that policies of the Government can be expected to permeate the remotest corners

2

1

4

_

8

,

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

of national life to be realized there infallibly and promptly, and that the real conditions of the people's life can be reflected vividly upon the mirror of politics. I believe that only in this way can the power of the whole

nation be concentrated upon affairs of the state.

"Now, the Imperial rule assistance movement is one which is to be carried on by the whole nation, and which aims, in cooperation with the Government, to establish a national organization which will enable the whole population to participate effectively in the Imperial rule assistance to facilitate its working and thus to afford a basis for faithful discharge of the duties of the subjects. This movement has sprung up out of the necessity of establishing a strong national defence organization of the state. It is a movement which, standing aloof from any special interests of political parties, aims to remove all internal strifes in all fields of national life and to concentrate all the activities of the nation upon faithful discharge of national duties. It is a truly totalitarian national movement based on mutual cooperation between the Government and the people.

"The Imperial Rule Assistance Association is a body organized for the purpose of pushing forward such a national movement. Its proper mission as the nucleus of this movement is to lead the van and to become the

2

4 5

,

8

0

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

propelling force in the right and effective discharge of national duties. In order to fulfil this mission I believe it is necessary to strengthen the organization 3 4 of the Association as well as to consolidate its spiritual 5 unity and thus to make it exert its power to the full 6 in the discharge of its function to cooperate with the 7 Government Organizations in deciding upon and carrying out national policies, by communicating the will of the Government to the people and revealing the real condition 10 of the people's life to the Government. As is clear in 11 the above, the activities of the Association are quite different, both in function and in aim, from those of the 13 National Spiritual Mobilization Movement or of various 14 movements carried on by political parties. Its highly 15 political character can also be ascribed to the same 16 circumstances. 17

18

19

20

21

23

24

"It is needless to say that in the actual working of the Association the purpose for which it has been organized should always be kept in view, Therefore, as for the relation of the Association to the Government, the former should cooperate with the latter in making the spirit of national policies fully understood by the people and in facilitating their adequate enforcement. Its mission of communicating the will of the Government to the people and revealing the real conditions of the people's life to the Government means nothing but to furnish the authorities with necessary materials to be referred to by them in deciding upon various measures. Consequently the Association has no intention to enforce a certain set of principles of their own independently of the Government. It is of course needless to say that it has nothing to do with any movements struggling for political power.

1

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"To summarize the foregoing, the nature of the Imperial Rule Assistance Association is not compatible with struggles between mutually opposing parites in the political arena nor with any activities aiming to enforce a particular set of political doctrines independently of the Government. And this is precisely the reason why the Government exempts

the association from the application of some provisions in the reace rolice Act, on the ground that it does not fall within the category of 'associations concerning political affairs' as presecribed in that Act. But, inasmuch as it is a kind of association, those articles in the Act which provide for associations in general and associations concerning public affairs except political affairs should of course be applied to it. Further, it goes without saying that any act on the part of the Association should be governed by relevant regulations.

have stated that, considering the spirit of the foundation of our army members of the army or navy on the active list would not be permitted to enter the Association except when those who occupy special posts, such as the minister, the vice-minister, the chief of the Military Affairs Bureau, etc., enter it to handle liaison with the army or navy. But this does not mean that soldiers on the active list should not enter it, because it falls within the category of 'associations concerning political affairs' prescribed in the Peace Police Act. This only means that it would be incompatible with the idea of unity and discipline of the army for individual

soldiers on the active list to enter directly such an association.

"It is but very recently that the Imperial Rule Assistance Association was organized. And although therefore its nature and sims have not yet been understood well enough by the public, and its activities are as yet unsatisfactory in many respects, we wish to do our best to get the hopedfor results by driving home to the people's mind its true nature and aims on the one hand, while on the other hand using every care for the betterment of its organization and working.

"In connection with this, it is necessary for us to see to it that the Association should not involve itself in any acts outside the scope of its aim and mission.

"Now the situation within and without requires urgently the firm and harmonious unity of the whole Japanese nation. The movement of Imperial rule assistance has sprung up out of this urgent necessity. Without an effective development of this movement, it would be extremely difficult to tide over the impending crisis. I have already stated in my opening speech at the Diet that the Government desires a rapid and active development of this

movement. Indeed, the Government is firmly determined to exert utmost efforts in encouraging this movement of Imperial rule assistance. We sincerely ask your cooperation."

We shall now call the witness ANDO who will be examined in chief by Mr. SHIOBARA, attorney for KIMULA.

ANDO

| 1 | K I S A B U R O A N L O, called as a witness |
|----------|---|
| 2 | on behalf of the defense, being first duly |
| 3 | sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters |
| 4 | as follows: |
| 5 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 6 | BY MR. SHIOPARA: |
| 7 | Q What is your name, please? |
| 8 | A My. name is ANDO, Kisaburo. |
| 9 | Q Were you in your past Minister without |
| 10 | Portfolio, Home Minister and Home Minister in |
| 11 | the TOJO Cabinet and also vice president of the |
| 12 | Imperial Rule Assistance Association? |
| 13 | A I was. |
| 14 | Q Are you held at present in Sugamo Prison? |
| 15 | A Yes. |
| 16 17 | Q I will now show you defense document |
| 18 | No. 588. Will you look at it and state if it is |
| 19 | your effidevit? |
| 20 | (Whereupon, a paper was handed |
| 21 | to the witness) |
| 22 | A Yes, this is my affidevit without the |
| 23 | shedow of a doubt. |
| 24 | MR. SHIOBARA: I shall now tender in |
| 25 | ewidence defense document No. 588 in evidence and |

read excerpts therefrom. I shall now read defense

18,141

document No. 588 omitting the parts between paragraph 3 on the second page and the eighth line -the line at the very bottom of the eighth line of
the second page -- on the third page of the Japanese
affidavit, and in the English translation omitting
the parts between paragraph 3 in the second page
and line 15 on the same page in the fourth paragraph
on the same page.

THE INTERPRETER: I shall omit from the top of page 2 all of paragraph 3 and part of paragraph 4 up to the 15th line before the end, just before the words, "I left Peking."

THE PRESIDENT: I take it you mean to tender it. It is admitted on the usual terms. You need not specify in advance how much you are going to read.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 588 will receive exhibit No. 2363.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2363 and received in evidence.)

THE PRESIDENT: Proceed to read the parts you intend to read.

Mh. SHIOBARA: (Reading) "I, ANDO, Kisaburo, after having duly made oath as per attached paper

in accordance with the form prescribed in my own country, state as follows: "1. My name is ANDO, Kisaburo. I was born on 11 February 1879, and my permanent domicile is at 115 Kita-Shinmachi, Sasayama-machi, Taki-gun, Kyogo Prefecture." THE PRESIDENT: In the future omit those formal parts. They are never read.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SHICBARA: I shall begin from the middle of page 2 from "I left Peking," that is, fifteen lines before the last line.

"I left Peking by air for Tokyo the next morning, 20 October, arriving there by train on the 21st, my plane having been delayed on the way. I immediately saw the President (TOJO) and thereupon for the first time received a formal invitation to become Vice-President of the IRAA and concurrently Minister without Portfolio. Since I had never had anything to do with politics either during my term of active service or after my retirement to the reserve list, I did not have a single friend among politicians. Therefore, I told the President that if this organization was connected with politics, I would like to refuse his request. The President told me, however, that it was not a political party; that it was a public organization coming under the provisions of the Japanese Security Police Law; and that this fact had been clearly stated by Home Minister HIRANUMA in the previous Diet.

"He concluded by urging me to assume this post, since the IRAA was an organization of a people's movement. Thereupon, I replied that, if this organization had no connection with politics, I saw no

reason why I should become a Minister of State and that I would accept the President's offer only if he were content for me to assume the Vice-Presidency as a private citizen. Premier TOJO said that if that was the way I felt about it, he would accede to my wishes. Thus, on the following day, 22 October, I became Vice-President of the IRAA without assuming the post of Minister without Portfolio.

"Immediately after my assumption, newspaper reporters came and asked me what thoughts I had on taking this new step, so I told them what I have related in the preceding paragraph, and added a clear-cut statement, saying that as a member of the Japanese nation in common with other members of our people, I hoped to carry out whatever duties a Japanese subject should carry out in this China Incident, and that, therefore, I had no intention of sitting in a special seat and of giving orders to the people. This statement appeared in the newspapers of that day.

"Since I had little knowledge of the situation within and without the IRAA at the time of my assumption, for a time I quietly observed what was going on inside the association, and I also exerted myself to read its past records from the time of its

founding. In this way, my daily duties at the time

did not include anything special or out of the way.

1

2 3

4 5

6

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

bear me out.

25

"But the IRAA had already decided to hold a Cooperative Council Meeting (TN - General Meeting) around December, and already, before my assumption, notices had been sent to local councils throughout the country informing them of matters to be discussed in this forthcoming meeting. Being yet very new at the job, I had been hoping that the meeting would be held as late as possible. For one thing, I was anxious at least to glance through the minutes of previous Cooperative Council meetings. Because of these reasons I gave my consent to have the meeting held on the 8th, which was the last of four proposed dates, namely the 5th, 6th, 7th and 8th. These dates had been decided in the course of business around the beginning of December. I believe. In view of these circumstances it is utterly inconveivable that a great event such as the Greater East Asia War should have been foreseen as one of the subjects for discussion at that meeting. I believe the records of those days are still in existence and will

"Later, on December 8th, I learned for the first time by telephone that war had broken out

early in the morning. But since the same telephone call told me not to announce this fact yet, I went to the Cooperative Council meeting without telling the news to anyone. At the meeting, President TOJO gave a lecture, and then left for the Palace in order to report to the Throne in his capacity as Premier, so that the meeting recessed for that period. After the Premier's return from the Palace, the meeting was reopened, I elieve around noon, and news of the proclamation of an Imperial Rescript declaring war was announced before the assembled members. This is all I have to say regarding the situation at and around the time of my assumption.

"5. Next I wish to state the mission and organization of the IRAA. I knew that before my assumption several changes had been made in its organization and directors, and that several personnel shifts had also been carried out. At the time I took office, in my opinion the association was not yet functioning as a harmonious whole, the sense of unity was very weak, and systematic order had not yet been established.

"The main work of the association consisted in conveying to the people, without error, matters that had been decided upon as policy and matters

ANDO

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on these policies which each ministry as part of its administrative functions, desired the people to practice. Furthermore, the association's task was to gain the people's understanding concerning these matters, to systematize as far as possible detailed methods for carrying them out, and to urge the people to do them. Of course, since the association was only a private organization of a public nature, the directors of the association were employed merely by contract, so that there were no strict rules of duty such as Government employees must obey. Also, it goes without saying that the association had no power to give orders to the people. Therefore, all the association could do was to gain the people's understanding regarding matters that should be carried out as part of a subject's duties, and to urge the actual execution of these matters. Also, concerning the administration of the various ministries, since from the people's standpoint there were many matters which seemed contradictory or inconsistent, in order to eliminate hidden grumblings as much as possible the IRAA made it a practice to take up the people's hopes and criticisms and, after studying and digesting them, would pass them on to the various government departments as material to be considered in

-

12 13

15 16

> 17 18

20

19

21

23

24

25

connection with the administration of the various ministries. The Cooperative Council was a special organization whose purpose was to serve as a medium for conveying governmental intentions to the people as well as the popular desires to the Government and thus effectuate a true spirit of cooperation. Furthermore, the IRAA aimed at reaching out to all the people in a loose sort of way without having any specialized system, so that if it had any special object of attention, one would have to say it was all the loo million people of Japan.

"Therefore, as far as the people in general were concerned, no distinction was made between members of the association and non-members. District associations, village associations, and local chapters of the IRAA in prefectures, towns and villages were nothing more than a kind of clerical organization.

"In the preceding paragraphs I have outlined the various functions of the IRAA at the time of my assumption and throughout my tenure of office. These functions, were expressed in a popular slogan of those days as fulfilling of our duties as subjects.

"6. Regarding the Greater East Asia War, we never heard anything that would lead our associa-

tion to believe such a war imminent, either at the time of my assumption of office, or after my assumption, in any guidance received from any ministry, in any instructions or demands from them, either outwardly or confidentially, until the issuance of the Imperial Rescript declaring war. As a concrete example, on December 8th, the first day of our scheduled Cooperative Council meeting, the planned program for this meeting was set completely awry by the Imperial Rescript declaring war, and there was much confusion, as we were kept busy until nightfall buying railway tickets, paying travel expenses, and attending to sundry other matters in order to suddenly send home delegates who had assembled from all parts of the country.

"I have heard it said that many people believe the Cooperative Council meeting had been called
in anticipation of the outbreak of war because the
first day of this meeting happened to be the first
day of the war. But the actual circumstances are as
I have related. As far as the association was concerned, it was a bolt from the blue; and it is a
fact that we were totally unprepared to take any
steps in the case of war. Besides, it is unthinkable that the Government should ever let the staff

ANDO

of a private organization who were not even public officials, know of a top war secret such as the date of opening the war, or even to let them know privately. A good example is myself. Although I was Vice-President, I heard of the outbreak of war from the President for the first time on the morning of the 8th of December."

Prosecution may cross-examine. If there is any cross-examination --

CROSS-EXAMINATION

| DV | RP | TC | ADIE | I OM | ANT . |
|----|-----|-----|-------|-------|-------|
| DI | DIT | JU. | AULLI | FILLT | JALL |

O I observed, General ANDO, that in your affidavit, exhibit 2363, you declined to become a minister without portfolio in October of 1940 -- '41, that is correct, '41. I also observed that you were appointed minister without portfolio in June of 1942. Is that correct?

A That is correct.

What caused you to change your mind, General?

A My real intentions never changed, but it was already six months after the outbreak of the Greater East Asia War. Previously I had been mobilized during the China Incident and had been a -- had served as a divisional commander in Japan. During my tenure -- during this service I -- by my orders many men on the reserve list were called up for active service and sent to the front.

THE MONITOR: During my tenure as division commander.

General, when you were asked to become a minister of state without portfolio in October 1941 you say in your affidavit that you saw no reason why you should become a minister and that you would accept the president's offer only if he were content for you to assume the vice presidency as a private

citizen.

8 9

A I was just beginning to explain my reason when you stopped me.

Well, please be good enough to explain it to me in a few words.

A I am a military man. Nilitary men, whenever they are ordered to do so, are ready to sacrifice life itself on the battle front, and many did actually die and many were wounded. During a time of emergency such as the Great War. which we were then going through, I received repeated requests from the Premier and concurrently President of the IRAA, General TOJO, to become vice president -- to become minister without portfolio, and thinking if I was needed in that post as minister of portfolio I should accept whether I liked it or did not like it, I finally agreed. However, concerning my -- correction -- I, myself, am well aware of my inability to participate in political life.

THE MONITOR: Lack of qualifications, instead of "inability."

A In view of my previous statement, when I had assumed the vice presidency, that I would not become minister of portfolio, which statement I had given out to the press, at that time I felt some pangs

3

5

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

of conscience on finally deciding to accept this post in June of the next year.

For these reasons I refused once again General TOJO's request, whereupon General TOJO said, "As far as the people of Japan are concerned I, myself, will make it abundantly clear to them that you did not assume this new post by your own free will," and this fact was actually published in the newspapers of the time.

THE PRESIDENT: That is enough; we have heard enough. We have heard some loquacious witnesses in this court, but none so loquacious as you. You must try to speak and express yourself clearly and briefly. You are taking up too much time with explanations.

- Q How long did you hold the office of vice president of the IRAA?
 - A From October 1941 to April 1943.
- Q And the accused TOJO was the president of that association when you became vice president?
 - A Yes, he was.
- Q And who was the president before the accused TOJO?
- A I believe Prince KONOYE, in his capacity as Premier.
 - O was it the invariable rule that the president

was the Prime Minister of Japan?

ANDO

1

2

3

5

8

10

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

A I do not know if there was any specific rule to that effect, but the fact is as you have just said.

O The fact is as I have just said, that all the presidents were Prime Ministers of Japan?

A Yes.

O And it was the Premier of Japan who appointed all the high ranking officers of this association?

A I do not -- I am not well aware of the extent -- correction -- I am not aware what category of people would be included in the term "higher officials." I believe that certain officials were appointed by the president.

Q Were the advisers appointed by the president, and were the managing directors appointed by the president?

A I believe that is so; however, I have no record of that.

O You say in your affidavit that -- on the top of page 4 -- that later, on December 8, you learned for the first time by telephone that war had broken out early in the morning?

A Yes, that is so.

Q Who gave you that information by telephone?

TO

A I believe it was the Chief Secretary of the Cabinet, in accordance with instructions from the Prime Minister.

Q And what time was it?

A In my present recollection I believe it was between 6:30 and 7:00. However, I am not exact on that point.

O Where were you when you received the news?

A I was at home.

O In bed?

A Yes.

O In your affidavit you say it is unthinkable that the government should ever let the staff of a private organization, who were not even public officials, know of a plot or secret such as the date for opening the war, or even to let them know privately.

A My common sense told me to assume that.

And who was the Secretary of the Cabinet who communicated with you?

A I recollect it was Chief Secretary HOSHINO.

Whalen & Duda

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

| Q I also observe | from | your a | ffic | lavit | t tha | at | the |
|------------------------|-------|--------|------|-------|-------|----|-----|
| Cooperative Council me | eting | opened | on | the | 8th | of | |
| December 1941. | | | | | | | |

- A Yes, as you say.
- Q Who fixed that date?

A It had been a practice for the officials of the various ministries who were in charge of such matters to assemble and consult at the Cabinet Information Board, and on the occasion of this meeting the same practice was followed.

Q What did the Cabinet Information Board have to do with your association?

A It had no direct connection with my organization.

Q You said something about the organization of this association, and I'd like you to tell me where the money came from which supported it.

A The greater part of its funds came from government subsidies.

Q And the amount of that subsidy was eight million yen for the fiscal year '41-'42?

A I have no exact recollection. However, I have a faint remembrance that it was somewhere between six and eight million.

Q And additional monies were obtained by special financial grants from the ken, were they not?

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

In the various prefectures the local branches 1 of the IRAA received subsidies from the prefectural office. Q And in addition, there were voluntary subscriptions, were there not? A I do not remember our organization ever received any contribution. Q You do remember that on the 30th of April 1942 there was a general election in Japan? A Yes, I do. 10 Q At that time there were no political parties 11 in this country, were there? 12 The political parties had been dissolved. Q How did a candidate receive a nomination in this election? A There was another body which had been specially set up in order to conduct election activities. Q A political party? I believe it registered itself as a political party. Q What was the name of it?

A Later it was called the Imperial Rule Assistance Political Society. However, I believe this organization was a predecessor of this society, and I have no clear recollection of the first name it had.

3

6

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q You do know that the IRAPS did not come into existence until after the election in April '42, don't you?

A I am aware that an organization was set up specially to conduct election activities; that is, to recommend candidates and to conduct election movements.

18,159

| - |
|-----|
| 1 |
| |
| - |
| 2 |
| |
| - |
| 3 |
| |
| 1 |
| 7 |
| |
| 5 |
| 1 |
| |
| 6 |
| |
| |
| 7 |
| ' |
| |
| 8 |
| |
| |
| 9 |
| |
| |
| 10 |
| |
| |
| 11 |
| 2 7 |
| 12 |
| 12 |
| |
| 13 |
| 10 |
| |
| 14 |
| 17 |
| |
| 15 |
| |
| |
| 16 |
| |
| _ |
| 17 |
| |
| 18 |
| 18 |
| |
| 0 |
| 7 |
| |
| 00 |
| U |
| .0 |
| .0 |
| 21 |
| 21 |

22

23

24

25

Q So that in the result the candidates in that election were recommended?

A That is true. But not all the candidates were recommended.

Q What happened to those who were not recommended? Were they elected?

A I remember that some of them were.

Q Do you remember what percentage of the seats were gained by the IRAA in the April election of '42?

A Since those who became candidates had to resign from their positions in the Imperial Rule Assistance Association before running for office, there were none from the IRAA who actually ran.

MR. SHIOBARA: I object to this question on the ground that it is out of the scope of the examination in chief, and also on the ground that this witness is not an expert qualified to answer those questions.

THE PRESIDENT: As far as I can judge he was called to show that this association had no connection with military operations or with aggressive war. It seems to me the cross-examination is well within the examination in chief. The objection is overruled.

Q Is it not a fact that in the election of April '42 IRAA-sponsored candidates obtained over eighty per cent of the seats?

-

| 1 | A I do not know of any such fact. |
|----|--|
| 2 | Q Well, you know that they had a very large |
| 3 | majority in the Diet immediately following, don't |
| 4 | vou? |
| 5 | THE PRESIDENT: This also goes to credit I |
| 6 | take it? |
| | BRIGADIER NOLAN: Yes. |
| 7 | A Since the great majority of the people joined |
| 8 | in the Imperial Rule Assistance movement I do not |
| 9 | quite understand from what basis you have gotten |
| 10 | that percentage. |
| 11 | Q What was the IRAA Youth Garps? |
| 12 | A Its members were composed of those who posi- |
| 13 | tively exerted themselves to carry out the Imperial |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Rule Assistance movement. |
| 16 | Q Was the IMAA pledged to support the establish- |
| 17 | ment of a Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere? |
| 18 | A It conducted no activities outside of |
| 19 | directed to areas outside of the country. |
| 20 | MR. SHIOBARA: I object to that question on |
| 21 | the ground that it is out of the scope of the examina- |
| 22 | tion in chief. |
| 23 | THE PRESIDENT: Objection overruled. |
| 24 | Q If it took no part in affairs outside of |
| 25 | Japan, what was the function of the Bureau of East |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Asia of the IRAA?

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY BRIGADIER NOLAN (Continued):

Q At the adjournment I was asking you, General ANDO, what was the function of the Bureau of East Asia in your association?

A The East Asia Bureau existed primarily for the purpose of contact and liaison with Manchukuo, China and other areas in East Asia with the idea of promoting the welfare of the region.

THE MONITOR: Correction: The purpose was to maintain contact and liaison with organizations with the object of promotion of the idea of East Asia prosperity which existed in Manchuria, China, and other areas in the Far East -- East Asia.

There were also many organizations within Japan itself with the purpose of Asiatic development,

| 1 | and liaison and contact work with these organizations |
|----|---|
| 2 | were also maintained. |
| 3 | Q Just one more question, General ANDO. When |
| 4 | you said in your affidavit that you were informed |
| 5 | early in the morning of the 8th of December, 1941, |
| 6 | by the accused HOSHINO that war had broken out he |
| 7 | told you not to announce that fact at that time, |
| 8 | did the accused HOSHINO tell you why you were not to |
| 9 | announce the fact that war had broken out? |
| 10 | A He didn't tell me anything as to the reason |
| 11 | why. |
| 12 | BRIGADIER NOLAN: That is all. |
| 13 | THE PRESIDENT: Mr. SHIOBARA. |
| 14 | MR. SHIOBARA: Mr. President, I want to |
| 15 | examine this witness. |
| 16 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 17 | BY MR. SHIOBARA: |
| 18 | Q I am going to ask you a few questions by |
| 19 | way of supplementing my previous questions. Is it |
| 21 | correct to understand that the Imperial Rule Assistance |
| 22 | Association and the Imperial Rule Political Society |
| 23 | are two completely different and separate things al- |
| 24 | |

INTERPRETER: To which the witness replied,

"Yes."

23

24

25

| 1 | A They are entirely different organizations. |
|----|---|
| 2 | Q You testified that the Premier at that time |
| 3 | was concurrently president of the Imperial Rule Assist- |
| 4 | ance Association. Was he connected in any way with |
| 5 | the Imperial Rule Political Society at the same time |
| 6 | as president or something like that? |
| 7 | A He had no position in the Imperial Rule |
| 8 | Assistance Political Society. |
| 9 | Q As vice-president of the Imperial Rule |
| 10 | Assistance Association were you, Mr. Witness did |
| 11 | you, Mr. Witness, concurrently hold a post in the |
| 12 | Imperial Rule Political Society Imperial Rule |
| 13 | Assistance Political Society? |
| 14 | A I believe I was an advisor of some kind. |
| 15 | MR. SHIOBARA: That is all. |
| 16 | MR. BLEWETT: I think that is all for this |
| 17 | witness, sir. |
| 18 | THE PRESIDENT: He is at liberty on the |
| 19 | usual terms as far as we are concerned. |
| 20 | (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) |
| 21 | MR. BLEWETT: We offer in evidence, if the |
| 22 | |

if the Court please, defense document No. 148 for the purpose of showing the distinction between a public and a purely political association.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

7 .8

15.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 148 will receive exhibit No. 2364.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2364 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLEWETT: I shall read exhibit 2364:

"Excerpt from the Minutes of the Budget Committee Meeting, House of Representatives, 76th Session of the Diet. The meeting opened at 10:12 A.M., January 28, 1941.

"Member of the Committee, HIRAKAWA: I have unders cood what the Premier explained. I should like to put a question to the Home Minister about one point. This point has already been raised at the Co-ordination Conference (T.N. KYORYOKU KAIGI) and is whether or not the Imperial Rule Assistance Association is a political association coming under the Public Peace Police Laws. In regard to this question, I am of the opinion that it is evident that the Imperial Rule Assistance Association is in no way a public organization, but a private organization; and there can be no doubt that it is a political association. That being the case, I believe it naturally comes under the category of political associations prescribed in the Public Peace Police Laws. Furthermore

there are many amongst the people who also believe that is so. Concerning this point, I saw a press report to the effect that there had been a contention at the Central Co-ordination Conference by Mr. FUNADA, Head of the Internal Affairs Department, that although the Imperial Rule Assistance Association is an association, the said law is not applicable to it. Political association prescribed in the said law means either a secret association or one which necessitates governmental supervision from a standpoint of preserving public peace, and the Imperial Rule Assistance Association is not included in the organizations of such kind. I think the purport of his contention may probably be that the Imperial Rule Assistance Association is an organization working in unison with the government, that it is neither a secret association nor one detrimental to the public peace and order, and that it should not be subjected to governmental supervision. But what are his grounds for regarding it as working in unison with the government? It is the Imperial Rule Assistance Association itself which insists that it works in unison with the government. There is no legal ground It may be said that it does not disturb public at all. peace and order. However, whether or not its activities

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

22

23

24

25

by the government responsible for the supervision of organizations of such kind. I think it is decidedly unjustifiable that a certain organization standing under the supervision of the government should determine for itself that it does no harm to the public peace and order, and in consequence, the Public Peace Police Laws are not applicable to it. The said law admits no exception. Should that law not be applicable to such an organization as the Imperial Rule Assistance Association, it might lead to the unreasonable conclusion that, when a certain organization with similar nature and purpose to those of the Imperial Rule Assistance Association is newly organized, it need not be subject to the said law. If the said law is not applicable to the Imperial Rule Assistance Association, we cannot be sure that another organization of the same kind to which the said law is not applicable would not be organized. This is a very serious problem. So I should like to hear the Home Minister's opinion about this point. State Minister, HIRANUMA: I will answer your

question. I have previously expressed my opinion that the Imperial Rule Assistance Association is not an organization which carries out its activities in

accordance with any political view of its own. purpose of the Imperial Rule Assistance Association is that it shall make our nation thoroughly understand and cooperate with the state policies fixed by the government. It does not fall under the category of politica: association prescribed in the public peace police law, so far as it is not an organization which may determine and propagate its own political views, However, there is no doubt that it is an organization, and therefore an association. It may come under the category of public association prescribed in the Public Peace Police Laws. Accordingly, as a public association, it must be subject to the said law. At present, we have no intention to supervise the Impedial Rule Assistance Association as a political association according to the Public Peace Police Laws." We offer in evidence defense document 292

1

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We offer in evidence defense document 292 to show the true meaning of the phrase, "Imperial Way," and its contrast to totalitarianism.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 292

Will receive exhibit No. 2365.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2365 and received in evidence.)

2

3

5

6

Q

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

MR. BLEVETT: I shall read exhibit 2365.

"Record of the 2nd Budget Committee Meeting of the House of Representatives on the 24th of January, 1939.

"Committeeman AZUMA.

"Next I should like to ask Premier HIRANUMA a few questions but as to the spirit of the Imperial Way, or that of all the people assisting the Imperial rule, I am one of those who are somewhat acquainted with Premier HIRANUMA's attitude towards this matter and have listened earnestly to your repeated explanations in the plenary sessions of the Diet that it was an ancient phrase, but politically a new one, and that it meant concentrating the total strength of our country on national policy and realizing the policy with the so-called spirit of the Imperial Way, or that of the entire people assisting the Imperial rule. I should like to request you to explain a little more explicitly, however, as to the difference between parliamentarism and the spirit of national assistance for the Imperial rule. Up to this time, I think, Premier HIRANUMA has been misunderstood by the public more than once. It has been suspected if he weren't trying to enforce a Fascist policy. At the time of the investiture of Premier HIRANUMA the stocks of

KABUTO-CHO went down, because the public feared that Fascism would be enforced and that everything would become terrible. But our people, I am sure, understood your true meaning by your successful statement in this House to attach importance to the Diet and to promote parliamentarism. There are leftists and rightists in the world in fast, however, even among my fellow Members. Among the rightists many are liable to insist upon totalitarianism and the denial of parliamentarism at the slightest provocation. Your Excellency, the Premier, I think, knows about this matter better than I. Totalitarianism and the doctrine of the Imperial Way are often confused, but in regards to this point I have heard the Premier's declaration in this House of the necessity to observe the provisions of the Constitution and to emphasize parliamentarism. Consequently, political parties would naturally rise, and it would be wrong to negate this. But, I think, the spirit of one sovereign for the whole people' or totalitarianism considerably differs from parliamentarism in the way of methods of realization. There are some who say opposing parliamentarism is often acting contrarily to the spirit of assisting the Imperial Way. And although totalitarianism is like assisting the

Imperial Way it has certain points in which it differs entirely. As a result of your explanation in this 2 House, we understand that what Premier HIRANUMA calls the spirit of assisting the Imperial Way consists in stressing parliamentarism increasingly on the basis of a constitutional government. But we are not entightened as to Premier HIRANUMA's fiew on totalitarianism, the doctrine of the Imperial Way, and constitutional government. If possible, therefore, I should like to have you explain them to us once more.

Minister of State, Man William

difficult to answer, and I doubt whether I can satisfy you. I understand that totalitariarism is a word used in opposition to the so-called individualism of the Western countries, and I think it means that its object is not the individual, but the whole, or that, for the sake of the whole, the individual must obey the whole irrespective of whether it be advantageous or not to one's own interest. The doctrine of the Imperial Way in our country has no such meaning I believe. The scul of the doctrine of the Imperial Way in our country is, I believe, to enable all people to find their own places and not leave anyone without his

own place. When viewed in this light, we must think about the whole and we must think about the individual also and is quite different from the absolute idea of sacrificing the individual for the whole. This from the viewpoirt of the doctrine of the Imperial Way, becomes the entire nation's assistance to the Imperial rule. In other words with everyone observing the purport of the Imperial Benevolence not one person shall be denied gaining his rightful place and concentrating on this fundamental is what I believe to be the so-called assistance of the entire nation to the Imperial rule. In our country, therefore, it follows that in obedience to this great spirit enabling every person or thing to have his or its own place, constituting political institutes first and last of course but also the others irrespective of what occupation they pursue, all should concentrate themselves on this point. This is my understanding. Consequently, I believe that there is a fundamental difference between the idea of totalitarianism which was developed in the Western countries and our doctrine of the Imperial Way." We offer in evidence, if the Court please, document 681, an excerpt from Ambassador Grew's book

which has been offered for identification as exhibit

24

23

1

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2306.

כנ

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Can the prosecution object to the introduction of evidence of defense document 681 on the ground that the Tribunal will decide whether or not there has been an attitude of strong resistance in this country or not, and that is purely a matter of opinion of the ex-Ambassador?

MR. BLEWETT: May I speak, sir?

THE PRESIDENT: Certainly.

opinion regarding opinions; but certainly, sir, this seems to be a factual report or observation by a man who was stationed in Japan to note just such conditions and report them to his own country.

THE PRESIDENT: But how is it relevant?
Whether it be an opinion or statement of fact, how is it relevant?

IR. BLEWETT: We were under the impression, sir, it would be relevant to the conspiracy charge of the prosecution.

THE PRESIDENT: What bearing has the Japanese Constitution or political setup upon the question we have to decide? Is it assumed that a country must be

totalitarian before it can be guilty of aggressive 1 war? Whatever the political complexion of Japan or the accused, the question is what they said and what 3 they did. This investigation would never end if we 4 let in this type of stuff. I will take the views of the Tribunal, nevertheless. The majority of the Court think that this 7 exhibit, this document should be rejected. 8 The objection is upheld. 9 MR. BLEWETT: I offer, sir, defense document 10 565 in evidence, which is the affidavit of KUZUU. 11 12 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 13 14 565 will receive exhibit No. 2366. 15 (Whereupon, the document above 16 referred to was marked defense exhibit 17 No. 2366 and received in evidence.) 18 IR. BLEWETT: I have just been advised, sir, 19 that the witness will be called later on in another 20 phase of this case. So I shall withdraw that document. 21 THE PRESIDENT: You have leave to withdraw it. 22 MR. BLEWETT: Thank you, sir. 23 CLERK OF THE COURT: Exhibit No. 2366 is 24 withdrawn. 25

Kapleau & Goldber

MR. BLEWETT: We shall now show the true reasons for the promulgation of the Imperial Ordinance relative to the Army and Navy lists relative to cabinet appointments. I refer to prosecution's exhibit No. 93.

I offer in evidence defense document
No. 435, the sworn deposition of TSUGITA, who at
the present moment is ill and unable to appear in
Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Molan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Mr. President, the prosecution is of the opinion that this document should not be offered until the deponent is well and able to come before the Tribunal and submit to cross-examination.

MR. BLEWETT: We will be glad to produce the witness for cross-examination, sir.

THE PERSIDENT: I can only assume that the prosecution, having read this affidavit, want to cross-examine him. Otherwise, they would not ask us to wait until he is well.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: We do desire to cross-examine him, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we allowed you, the prosecution, to read affidavits subject to the deponent

being called for cross-examination if the defense thought he should be called. We must do as much for the defense.

BLIGADIER NOLAN: I remember, Mr. President, such a ruling in the case of those witnesses who were not available to come to give evidence by reason of the distance away from the Court in which they lived, but my memory is that those who were in or around the vicinity of Tokyo were asked to present themselves here with the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: Not sick men, Brigadier.

Sick men are in the same category as men at a distance.

If this man is not sick, well, he should be here;

but is there any question about that?

MR. BLEWETT: No question about it, sir. He was here last week ready to testify and he has been subpoensed and I just learned shortly that he is now in bed with a cold unable to appear.

THE PRESIDENT: If we do not allow the affidavit to be read now, we may throw the defense case out of order which we do not want to do.

Well, I think I will take a vote on this.

By a majority the Court has decided to allow the affidavit to be read.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

No. 435, to wit, the affidavit of TSUGITA, Daizaburo, will receive exhibit No. 2366.

> (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2366 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLEWETT: I shall read exhibit No. 2366: "Sworn Deposition.

"Deponent: TSUGITA, Daizaburo.

"Having first duly sworn an oath as an attached sheet and in accordance with the procedure followed in my country I hereby depose as follows:

"On this 13th day of January, 1947.

"At Tokyo"

Signed.

"I was the chief of the Bureau of Legislation in the HIROTA Cabinet from March, 1936 to February, 1937.

"The HIROTA Cabinet, which came into existence following the 'February 26 affair', had as its mission a rigid enforcement of military discipline, the calming down of the people's mind and the establishment of a peaceful diplomacy. Premier HIRCTA adhered to this policy consistenty. At the first Cabinet conference held soon after the inaugural ceremony in March 9, 1936, he made an important statement as follows:

"When I presented the Cabinet members list

today, the Emperor told me firstly to conduct civil administration in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution; secondly, not to conduct diplomacy forcibly and thirdly, to avoid any sudden charge in the financial situation. I am going to render my services to the State in obedience to the Imperial intention, so I hope, therefore, every one of you will cooperate with me. All ministers respectfully agreed. In this connection, in my diary of March 9, 11th Year of SHOWA, 1936, I wrote the following description:

"'March 9

"'About 9 a.m. Mr. DAWASAKI, Takukichi rang me up. Secretly asked if I would accept the directorship of the Bureau of Legislation and consented. At 9.30 I was summoned, went to the Premier's official residence and paid respects to each Minister. Went into the Cabinet The Imperial opinion confided to the Premier when he presented the list of Cabinet members: firstly, to govern according to the articles and chapters of the Constitution; secondly, not to conduct foreign policy by force; thirdly, avoid a sudden change in the financial situation. Each Minister understood the point of the Finance Minister's talk. The War Minister said he was sorry for the Incident and he would take care that such

2 3

4

6

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

.17

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

4

,

7

8

10

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

an event should not occur again in future.' The part of the said diary referring to the War Minister's talk shows a firm resolution declared by him to enforce military discipline considering the February 26 Incident.

"The reason why the HIRCTA Cabinet reformed the Army and Navy ministries official organization was to enforce military discipline. It was at the extraordinary session of the Diet in May 1936 that this reform bill was introduced into the Cabinet from the Army and Navy Ministries. The military and naval authorities explained: 'The Army and Navy Ministers have the duties of supervising troops belonging to the supreme command, of maintaining military discipline and of strengthening the Unity of the army; therefore, equally, they themselves must be soldiers belonging to the supreme command, that is, on the active list. Indeed, this is brought out by the fact that all of the War and Navy Ministers were soldiers on the active list since the reform of the government organization in the second year of TAISHO (T.N. 1913) (i.e. the reform in which generals and lieutenant-generals on the reserve list were also allowed to become Ministers). Now, soon after the Incident, keenly feeling the necessity of restricting the Ministers to officers on

the active list in order to strengthen the power of the Ministers, to enforce military discipline and to solidly preserve unity, we intend to make the system correspond with actuality by reforming the government organization.' When I asked them the meaning of, 'scon after the Incident we keenly feel the necessity of restricting the Ministers to the officers on the active list, they answered as follows: 'We will place several generals and lieutenant-generals who are responsible for the Incident on the reserve list, but under the existing system some of them could become War Minister in future and could bring about such a disgraceful event as the February 26 Incident or an even more serious one than that. In order to prevent such a calamity, we intend to make the system one under which generals and lieutenant-generals on the reserve list cannot become Ministers.!

"Moreover, the Vice-Ministers of War and Navy said, 'As the Premier has already consented to this bill, we want it to be passed quickly.' After I asked the Premier about that. I prepared a Cabinet bill and submitted it to the Cabinet conference.

"In the conference Premier HIROTA asked TENAUCHI, the Army Minister, and next NAGANO, the Navy Minister, 'Will this reform not lead to a situation

24

18

19

20

21

22

23

7

8

10

11

12

13

in which those cormanded to form the Cabinet will find it difficult to appoint future War and Navy Ministers? They both answered, 'There is no fear that such a situation will arise. With this exception, no special utterance was made and the bill was passed in its original form.

"I did not ascertain how each Minister thought about the bill and why he consented to it. Only Mr. BABA, the Finance Minister, expressed his opinion directly to me when I spoke with him about it before the conference. 'Enforcement of military discipline is a serious question in hand and a very difficult task, but the War Minister is resolved to take it upon 14 himself and carry it out at any cost. Now that he 15 eagerly desires this reform in order to perform the 16 task, the Cabinet reforms the government organization 17 to save his face but in return for that, he shall 18 enforce military discipline thoroughly. That is the 19 best course for the Cabinet to take, I think.! Thus 20 he indicated his consent to the original bill.

"There are some who hold that the reform caused the Army to participate in politics afterwards. I will relate one or two facts as material for judging whether this observation is right or wrong. The formation

of the HIRCTA Cabinet naturally took place before the

25

21

23

24

25

reform of the Army and Navy Ministries and to choose ministers from generals and lieutenant-generals on the reserve list was still permissible from the legislative point of view. Informing his Cabinet, however, HIROTA met with several demands from the Army concerning the selection of the Ministers and if he rejected those demands, it was feared that he would be unable to get an Army Minister. His attempt to form the Cabiret met with great difficulty; he continued negotiations for about a week after he had received the Imperial mandate and during that time the formation was several times in danger of aborting, but finally, after acceding to most of those demands, he succeeded. In this case the system under which Ministers could be selected from generals and lieutenantgenerals on the reserve list was of no use in preventing the Army from participating in politics.

"Next, when the KCISO Cabinet was formed in July, 19th Year of SHOWA (T.N. 1944), the War and Navy Ministers were required to be generals and lieutenantgenerals on the active list in accordance with the government organization of the War and Navy Ministries, but Admiral YONAI who was on the reserve list was appointed Navy Minister. In order not to conflict with the rules of the government organization, however,

Admiral YONAI was placed on the active list just before he was inaugurated, with the special consideration of the Emperor. In this case, even, the reform could not prevent a general officer on the reserve list from becoming Navy Minister."

3.

MR. BLEWETT: We offer in evidence defense document No. 547, being a report on the examination of the revision of the Imperial Ordinance.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

547 will receive exhibit No. 2367.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 2367 and received in evidence.)

MR. BLEWETT: I shall read exhibit 2367.

"Report on the Examination of the Revision of Imperial Ordinance on the Organization of the War Ministry and One Other Subject.

"We have been appointed members of the Committee of Examination with regard to revisions in the Organization of the War Ministry and of the Navy Ministry which have been referred to the Privy Council for deliberation. We held a committee meeting on the thirtieth of last month and listened to explanations given by the Ministers of State and the government officials concerned and have made a thorough examination of the subject matter.

"The two points in question have for their purpose to revise the stipulations with regard to qualifications in the appointment of Ministers and

Vice-Ministers for the War Ministry and the Navy
Ministry. It is to be noted that the stipulations
in the organizations of the War and Navy Ministeries
prescribing that those who are appointed Ministers
(full general or lieutenant-general in the case of
Minister of War and full admiral or vice-admiral in
the case of Minister of the Navy) or Vice-Ministers
(at one time was called secretary-general) (lieutenant-general or major-general in the case of ViceMinister of War and Vice-admiral or rear-admiral
in the case of Vice-Minister of the Navy) that would
limit them to generals or admirals on active service
originated in the thirty-third year of Meiji (1900).

"Later, in the second year of Taisho (1913)
the above-mentioned limitations were rescinded by
Imperial Ordinance No. 165 of the second year of
Taisho (1913) relative to the revision of the Organization of the War Ministry remark No. 1 of the
attached list was rescinded and by Imperial Ordinance
No. 168 of the same year relative to the revision
of the Organization of the Navy Ministry remark No.
1 of the separate list was rescinded), thereby making it clear that those to be appointed Ministers
or Vice-Ministers of War and of the Navy were not
to be limited to generals or admirals on active ser-

These have been effective up to the present day. It is further to be noted that all these revisions of the Organizations were not referred to the Privy Council for deliberation. According to explanations given by the Ministers concerned with the revisions now proposed, the following points are pointed out: Ministers of War and of the Navy come under the system of the Supreme Command and tear the heavy responsibility of directing and controling the officers and men of the Army and the Navy who are subject to the Supreme Command, of maintaining strict military discipline and of keeping up a solid unity of the entire Army and the Navy. Vice-Ministers assist their Ministers, put Departmental affairs in order and supervise the work done by the Bureaus and the Divisions. Therefore, it is actually essential that both the Ministers and the Vice-Ministers be officers on active service who come under the system of the Supreme Command and have powers of command the under the Supreme Command. Moreover, in the case of the frmy, the results, following the above-mentioned revision of organization in the second year of Taisho (1913) procedure of handling affairs in the War Ministry, the General Staff Office and the Department of Military Education

2

1

3

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

brought about duplication of work and waste of personnel, causing great inconvenience. In addi-3 tion, the Army has come to feel an urgent necessity for remedial measures to be taken with regard to the 5 unfortunate incident recently having taken place 6 not only to establish commanding authority, to enforce military discipline, and to strengthen the unity of the Army, but also to try to carry out improvements in the existing system in order to bring about economy in personnel and to expedite the work handled. For these reasons, it should be prerequisite that Ministers and Vice-Ministers be limited 13 to general officers on active service. Moreover, since the above-mentioned revision of organizations in the second year of Taisho (1913) and up to the present day, there has not been an instance of a general or an admiral other than those on active service having been appointed Minister or Vice-Minister of War or of the Navy. Reflecting on this fact, it is appropriate to have matter express by stipulated in provision and make things correct with the fact both in name and reality. For these reasons and in order to revert to the old system which was in force prior to the second year of Taisho (1913) to limit the qualifications for appointment

4

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

23 24

for Ministers and Vice-Ministers of War or of the
Navy to generals or admirals on active service that
it is proposed to introduce the two points in question to carry out partial revision in the existing
organizations of the War Ministry and of the Navy
Ministry respectively by adding the clause 'Those
who are appointed Ministers or Vice-Ministers shall
be generals or admirals on active service' to the
beginning of the remarks of the attached and separate
lists of the respective Organizations.

"After giving due consideration, it is clear that the two points in question have for their object to restore the old system with regard to qualifications in the appointment of Ministers and Vice-Ministers of War or of the Navy and to limit them to generals or admirals on active service by stipulations in the Organization. And although at the present time there may still be some concern regarding the reason why the said limitation stipulated in the Organization was removed in the past, the revisions now proposed can be recognized as a timely measure in bringing the control of the Army and the Navy to perfection, taking all things of the present into consideration. Therefore, the Committee of

Examination has unanimously decided that the two

matters in question shall be approved exactly as 1 they are. "We have the honor to report the above as 3 4 the result of examination. 5 "The 6th day of May of the eleventh year 6 of Showa (1936) to the President of the Privy 7 Council, 'Baron, HIRANUMA, 9 "Chairman of the Committee of Examina-10 tions," and so on. 11 We now refer the Tribunal to prosecution 12 exhibits 103 to 129 and the Indictment, to show the 13 position and location of every accused on certain 14 important dates stressed by the prosecution. Shall 15 I start reading this list? 16 THE PRESIDENT: Hasn't it been read already? 17 MR. BLEWETT: No, sir. 18 THE PRESIDENT: Are you going to read very 19 much? 20 MR. BLEWETT: I think perhaps, sir, it would 21 be more consistent to start and finish it at one time 22 rather than to break it in two pieces. 23 THE PRESIDENT: Well, it is before us as 24 an exhibit and there is one thing we certainly won't 25

overlook, those matters you are about to read.

MR. BLEWETT: I have this arranged, sir, and it is not exactly a chart, but it is in the form of a chart. I tried to make up a chart to show the exact position of each one of these accused on each one of these important dates.

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, it would seem that the method of presenting this matter is one that pertain to the argument of the case or summation of it.

THE PRESIDENT: My colleagues, I think without exception, would like it in more convenient form than it is in now, and Mr. Blewett may have it in more convenient form.

We will adjourn until half-past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600 hours an adjournment was taken until Wednesday, March 12, 1947, at 0930 hours.)