Dec 9, 1946 Minutes of the Court Beutens

## INDEX

Of

## WITNESSES

Prosecution's Witnesses	Page
de Weerd, Klass A., Major, Artillery, Royal Netherlands Indies Army (resumed)	12268
Cross by Mr. Logan (cont'd) " " Mr. Blewett " " Mr. Levin " " Mr. Brooks " " Mr. Cunningham	12268 12293 12294 12311 12322
" Mr, Cutilingham	12329

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(none)

1 Monday, 9 December, 1946 2 3 4 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST 5 Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building 6 Tokyo, Japan 7 8 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, 9 at 0930. 10 11 Appearances: 12 For the Tribunal, same as before. 13 For the Prosecution Section, same as before. 14 For the Defense Section, same as before. 15 The Accused: 16 All present except OKAWA, Shumei, who is 17 represented by his counsel. 18 19 (English to Japanese and Japanese 20 to English interpretation was made by the 21 Language Section, IMTFE.) 22 23 24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Major Moore.

LANGUAGE ARBITER (Major Moore): Mr.

President, with the Tribunal's permission I present the following language correction: Exhibit 1291, record page 11,696, line 4, substitute "the three countries, Japan, Manchukuo, and China," for, "Manchukuo and China with Japan."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

11

12

13

14

10

1

2

3

4

5

. 7

K L A A S A. D E W E E R D, called as a witness
on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand
and testified through Dutch interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

15

16

17

BY MR. LOGAN (Continued):

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please.

18

Q Major, did the Japanese endeavor to restore law and order as quickly as possible after the occupation was completed?

21

20

A Yes, they did.

22

23

Q And did the Dutch Government fail or was it unable to operate because of the military operations or the occupation?

24

A The Dutch Government personnel was interned

5

6

8 9

10

12

11

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

and was, therefore, not in a position to carry on the administration.

Q Were there any acts of the Dutch or the Indonesians which required the suspension of the Dutch laws and the promulgation of new ones by the Japanese?

A It is not clear to me what laws are being referred to by you.

Q Well, I understand, Major, from your statement, you say that the Japanese introduced their own laws after the Occupation was completed and suspended the Dutch laws, isn't that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Now, do you know of any acts of the Dutch or Indonesians which required the suspension of the Dutch laws and the promulgation of new ones by the Japanese?

A I have not heard of such acts.

Q After the Japanese set up the new law courts, did the Dutch and the Indonesians have access to them to enforce their own rights?

A These law courts served a duel purpose. They, in the first place, tried criminal cases. In the second place, they heard civil cases. As for the second category, the civil cases, every inhabitant in

Java could bring suit in these law courts.

Q That is where it was under Japanese control, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q Now, in your affidavit you talk about the Japanese controlling the finances, radio, newspapers, moving pictures and so forth. Now, isn't it a fact that prior to the Occupation the Dutch, as distinguished from the Indonesians, controlled all the industries of the country including public utilities, financial institutions, radios, newspapers, schools and various associations?

A We have to distinguish between two different categories there. In the first place, there were State Government-operated enterprises; and, second category, were the private concerns. For the first category, the State-operated enterprises, they naturally were controlled by bodies, by government bodies; but these in turn were bound by certain regulations laid down by representative bodies -- representative councils. The second category falls in the largely Western -- the private concerns were in the largely Western, but Indonesian and Chinese capital played a big part. Moreover, these private concerns were naturally subject to government laws

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

23

25

which again were laid down by representative bodies.

Didn't the Japanese use the transportation system for transportation of their soldiers and material and supplies?

That is correct.

Would you say it was necessary that Japan control the public utilities in order to carry out the invasion?

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I submit that that question invades the province of the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: I take it you mean to object. Objection allowed.

Japan, however, did set up a form of government after the eccupation which was conducive to law and order, did it not?

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to that question for the same reason.

THE PRESIDENT: You can get the contents of the plan, that is all.

Was law and order restored under the form of government set up by the Japanese?

A Yes.

Major, on page 16 of your affidavit you refer to some secret ordinances promulgated by the Japanese. Could you tell us what they were? About the ninth

3

5

7

9

10

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

line from the bottom of that page.

A I cannot say what these secret ordinances were, because at the time I left Java the scanning of the "Osamu Kampo," the Japanese Government Gazette, had not progressed to a point where results could be ascertained.

Q Page 17 of your affidavit you state that the object of the Japanese was to set up a form of government similar to Formosa and Korea. Now, can you tell me from what documents you received that information?

A As mentioned in these lines in this paragraph -- in the same paragraph -- this information was gleaned from Japanese authorities who publicly expressed themselves to this effect and whose utterances were published in papers.

Q Do you have any of those papers with you or documents which would show that?

A I carry with me translations as made from newspapers at that time and I could look up the passages concerned but this would take some time.

Q In any event, you know of no document that came from Tokyo containing such statements, is that it?

A The only documents I have seen -- the only

document I have seen that concerns -- that refers to these matters is those documents which have been introduced and presented to this Court during the Dutch phase.

Q Of the 20,676 Dutch males who were interned, do you know how many of these held positions in the government and key positions in the leading commercial enterprises?

A That question is difficult to answer. In 1938, 17,000 Occidentals were employed by the government -- were in government service; but among those 17,000 there were those who are Occidentals but who were born in the Islands. I find it, therefore, impossible to say what the proportions were of leading and not leading government officials interned.

- Q Would you say that those who were not government officials held key positions in leading commercial enterprises?
  - A I could not agree to this conclusion.
- Q Would you say that among these Dutch who were interned there were some spies and possible saboteurs?
- A I find that point very difficult to answer. It is not known to me that there was any potential saboteur or spy among these interned personnel.

7

10

11

12

9

13 14

15 16

1718

19

21

22

24

25

Q And the women and children who were interned, were they the families of the males.

A That is correct.

Q And were they interned for their own safety?

A That is a reason which the Japanese occasionally gave, but during the period of their internment there has been no reason to believe that they were in any way threatened by the native population.

Q Or the Japanese?

A I can't understand that question.

Q You say you have no reason to believe that they were threatened by the native population. I want to know if there was any threatening by the Japanese to these women and children?

A If I understand this question well I have to assume that the Japanese safeguarded these women and children against their own potential threat -- against potential threat from their own side.

Whalen & Spratt

THE PRESIDENT: Were those women and children in any danger before the Japanese invasion?

THE WITNESS: In no way were they in any danger at all.

Q Did the Japanese have Indonesian judges?

A I have explained this in my statement. The higher courts were in the beginning, initially staffed by Japanese personnel, while the lower courts were or rather remained staffed by Indonesian personnel.

Q Did they have Indinesian judges prior to the @cupation?

A Yes, they were in the higher as well as in the lower courts.

Q The other day you were talking about these peoples councils. I believe in your statement you say that they were established on a democratic basis. Isn't it true, Major, that each council had 61 members, that the president was of Dutch extraction, and 30 members were Dutch, 30 of them were Indonesian, of whom 20 were elected and 10 appointed by the Dutch?

A The peoples council did consist of 61 members. It is also true that the chairman, the president, was of Dutch extraction, but there were three deputy chairmen, of whom two were Indonesian.

The remainder was made up of 30 Indonesians, 25
Dutch, and 5 Chinese or other Asiatics, non-Indonesian Asiatics. I am not certain of the proportion between elected and appointed Indonesian members, but I do think that two-thirds were elected and one-third was appointed. This is true for all sections of the native population.

Q And that one-third that were appointed, were they appointed by the Dutch?

A The one-third was appointed by the Netherlands East Indies Government, in which the Indonesians were represented as well as the Dutch.

Q So that the Dutch always had control of these peoples councils; isn't that so?

A The Dutch definitely had not the final word in these gatherings.

Q In your affidavit you state that after the Occupation all the key positions were occupied by the Japanese. Isn't it a fact that under the Japanese rule more of the minor officials in the government were Indonesians than under the Dutch rule, particularly with reference to mayors of towns and cities?

A That is correct, but this statement has to be qualified. The Japanese abolished the system of municipalities such as had been in force so far.

Before the Japanese Occupation there was a division of administrative powers. Dutch communities were organized and municipalities along Dutch lines, but outside those the Indonesians lived under their own system.

DUTCH MONITOR: Next to the Dutch organized villages there were native organized villages. The two were side by side.

A (Continuing) The Japanese authorities abolished this division, and they introduced a uniform unit of administration.

DUTCH MONITOR: For all villages, single, uniform organization for all villages.

A (Continuing) It will be more correct to say towns instead of villages in this connection. In the main cities and the principal towns the Japanese appointed Japanese chiefs of these units, of these municipalities, while in the smaller villages, towns and villages, the Indonesian chiefs were appointed heads. Those were the Indonesian persons who before then had been chiefs of their own organizations or units.

Q Now, during the existence of the Dutch rule of the Netherlands East Indies from time to time there had been independent movements; isn't that so?

A There had been before the Occupation by the Japanese parties -- political parties -- Indonesian political parties who aimed at independence, potential independence.

Q Now, in your statement you say that the Japanese banned expressions of democracy and pro-Occidental sympathies. Is it true that under the Dutch rule reference to independence in Indonesia was regarded as treasonable?

A 'That is not correct in any sense. Firstly, each member of the peoples' council had the right to speak on any subject in any way, and he could not be punished for that. Furthermore, there were several political parties who in their program had adopted as the final objective independence.

DUTCH MONITOR: Eventual independence.

Q Wasn't the use of the word "merdeka," which means independence, banned by the Dutch, Major?

A I have never heard of that.

Q Is it a fact that the Governor-General had extraordinary powers under the Dutch regime?

THE PRESIDENT: How is that relevant to any issue, Mr. Logan? Suppose it were a dictatorship? We are not concerned with the politics of the Netherlands prior to the invasion.

2 3

4 5

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

MR. LOGAN: Maybe so, your Honor.

The food that the Japanese obtained was used for the Army of Occupation; is that so?

From the initial stages of the ccupation onward the Japanese have tried, attempted to lay up stocks of foodstuff. So far as I have been able to trace, their object in so doing was, firstly, to supply their own troops stationed in the islands. in Java; secondly, to supply troops fighting elsewhere, for instance in the East. Finally, these stocks were necessary to supply Java itself.

Now, in view of your statement that Java before the war had barely enough for their own people. was it not necessary that the Japanese try to increase the farming products because the imports were shut off?

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to that question on the ground that it calls for a conclusion. Improper.

THE PRESIDENT: That is an over-refinement. I think he ought to be able to answer whether the Japanese had to grow more food because food imports were prevented by the state of the war.

In view of the fact that Java prewar was barely able to support herself, it would not have been necessary to step up the food production if new

12,280

DE WEERD CROSS

1 2

7 8

conditions had not called for that. New conditions were of a dual nature. Firstly, there was the presence of an Occupation -- the Japanese Occupation Army of roughly 50,000, augmented by the demands in connection with the necessary supply of troops elsewhere, which I referred to. The third factor was that under Japanese poor management food products had shown a tendency to decrease.

Q You also talked about the reorganization of vocational schools by the Japanese. Is it true that in addition to the former vocations taught that the Japanese also introduced schools of fishery, shipbuilding, and general seamanship?

A After the Japanese in the first stages of the Occupation had abolished all schools where fishery and seamanship were taught, and in the late stages when they realized the necessity for such school, they reopened them again. As for shipbuilding before the Occupation shipbuilding was not a major industry.

DUTCH MONITOR: The construction of wooden ships was of no great importance.

A (Continuing) After the Occupation the use of wooden ships was of great importance to Japanese for transportation amongst their various holdings,

and, therefore, the Japanese opened up wooden shipbuilding yards -- schools.

Q On page 18 of your affidavit, you state that
the military administration operated pursuant to
both orders issued through the ordinary channels of
command and issued directly through the Ministry of
War. Lo you have any documents coming through the
Ministry of War which have not been introduced in

evidence in this phase of the case?

A As a matter of fact, I have seen more documents in this connection than have been introduced to this Court.

MR. LOGAN: What was that? What did he say?

THE PRESIDENT: He has more documents than were tendered.

DUFCH INTERPRETER: I have seen more documents than were tendered.

Q But all the important, what you consider the important ones, have already been introduced in evidence, is that it?

A That would be correct.

Q On page 44 of your affidavit, you speak about these atrocities to 1200 Indonesians and Chinese. You relied on some reports, I suppose, with respect to that? You didn't see those atrocities personally, did you?

A I have, as a matter of fact, not witnessed

11

8

9

10

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

26

24

25

these atrocities, but I have learned of these atrocities 1 from hundreds of reports, from news items carried by the Japanese local paper and from eyewitnesses to these atrocities. 5 THE PRESIDENT: Did you ever hear any denial 6 or contradiction? 7 THE WITNESS: On the contrary, I have seen 8 sworn statements, confessions, by the Japanese who 9 were chiefly responsible for these atrocities. 10 Was this Army which committed these atrocities the same Army that was used later by the British 12 and Dutch when they came back into the East Nether-13 lands Indies -- Netherlands East Indies? 14 THE PRESIDENT: That assumes a fact or begs 15 the question. Put it whichever way you like, 16 Mr. Logan. 17 Q Well, is it a fact that this Army was so 18 used? 19 THE PRESIDENT: Did the British or the Dutch 20 use any Japanese Army, to your knowledge? 21 THE PRESIDENT: During a period starting at 22 the end of August and lasting for a number of months 231 when there were insufficient Allied troops available 24 to maintain law and order in Java and other territo-

ries, the maintenance of law and order was, under the

terms of the surrender, made a Japanese responsibility. Q Are you finished? A Yes, finished. I'd like to tell this further about the atrocities at Pontianak. 

3

6

5

8 9

10

11

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2324

25

Q I am not interested in that, Major, I just wanted to know if you had seen those atrocities. That is the only question I asked.

On page 56 of your affidavit you say that these committee members, of the committee for preparation for independence, received instructions from the military or naval authorities. Do you have any evidence of that?

A I have introduced this passage on the score of the fact that I read diaries, one diary having been written by a member of the committee. This member -- committee member -- states in his diary that -- that this member who was a delegate from Sumatra, states in his diary that he reported at Fort de Kock in Sumatra and there received instructions from the Japanese chief civil administration.

O What instructions did he -DUTCH INTERPRETER: He has not finished yet.
MR. LOGAN: I am sorry.

A Similar passages I have seen in a diary written by the delegate for the Celebes.

DUTCH MONITOR: From Macassar, Celebes.

- What instructions did they receive?
- A These instructions are not quoted in the diaries.

3

6

5

8

7

9

11

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

O You say that on August 15 members of this committee were secretly informed of Japan's capitulation. What means of communication were used to secretly inform them?

A On the 15th of August the Somubuchi informed committee members of the surrender of the Japanese Government.

DUTCH MONITOR: The most important committee members.

A The leading committee members were informed by the Somubuchi of Japan's surrender, but they were not permitted to further broadcast this information they had received.

Isn't it a fact that the news of surrender was broadcast over the radio?

A In Java this news was not broadcast over the radio before the 21st of August, and as far as the receivers were concerned they had been sealed and therefore could not be used to receive broadcasts from abroad.

O But nevertheless some reople did use their radios surreptitiously and ascertained the news.

Isn't that so?

A That is very true, but because the Kempei . searched very carefully for various receiving sets,

it was very dangerous to broadcast any news, to talk about any news, and was impossible -- as a result, all sorts of wild rumors came into circulation and nobody was certain of his sources.

DUTCH MONITOR: Rumors went into circulation because nobody could trust in their sources of information.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes for the purpose of enabling the interpreters to rest.

(Thereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International M 1 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. r S THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan. & 3 MR. LOGAN: May it please the Tribunal. 4 BY MR. LOGAN (Continued): A Isn't it a fact, Major, that Soomubutyoo also r were not guite sure whether the surrender had been m made on August 15th? 8 I don't understand this question. Will you put it again, please? 10 Isn't it a fact that the radio reception of 0 11 the surrender was not quite clear to the people in the 12 Netherlands East Indies because of static, and it was 13 not properly understood on August 15, 1945? 14 I presume you are referring to a broadcast 15 from Tokyo. 16 That is right. 17 I have never read that this broadcast should 18 not have been received properly by Japanese authorities 19 in Java. 20 THE MONITOR: Japanese military authorities. 21 Now, in the early morning of August 16, at 22 5 a.m., is it a fact that the Bemoeda, that is, the 23 National Youth Movement, kidnapped Sukarna and Hatta 24 for the purpose of getting them to take immediate steps didn't they?

to declare independence?

A That is right, but this Bemoeda organization was entirely under Japanese control.

was entirely under Japanese control.

Q Well, in any event, when the Bemoeda kidnapped Sukarna and talked with them they said that

they would take immediate steps to declare independence,

A That is correct, according to what Sukarna stated during that nightly session.

Q And isn't it a fact that on the evening of the 16th they went to Admiral MAEDA's home and he was sympathetic to the independence declaration, could do nothing about it, but he agreed to act as liaison officer between the Indonesians and the Japanese military government?

A As a matter of fact, in reports I have read Sukarna and his followers were brought to MAEDA's house on the 16th by this organization, by the Bemoeda organization.

THE PRESIDENT: It is a pity to waste much time on this issue, Mr. Logan. I know it has some more or less remote bearing on the extent of aggression but we won't be helped by any evidence as to negotiations of this type. That can only be determined by the result.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I am developing these facts for the purpose of ascertaining just how this independence came about because I think it will involve a question of law.

THE PRESIDENT: It didn't exist until after the war terminated, if it ever existed.

MR. LOGAN: Well, the point I am trying to develop, if the Tribunal please, is from this statement it appears that the -- an inference may be drawn that this independence was declared by the Japanese.

THE PRESIDENT: You should be more concerned about the other inference, that the Japanese intended to make the territory theirs forever.

MR. LOGAN: Well, that is a different point, your Honor, but it won't take me very long to finish this up.

BY MR. LOGAN (Continued):

Q Do you know it to be a fact, Major, that
MAEDA got in touch with Major General YAMAMOTO who
refused to see Sukarna and Hatta that evening and they
told him to see Major General NISHIMURA?

A I have stated in my statement alrady that Sukarna conferred with the Soomubutyoo who is the same as this General NISHMURA. It is at page 56.

Q And do you know that the Soomubutyoo told

1 2 3

4 5

7

6

9

10

8

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Hatta and Sukarna that they could not declare independence because they didn't know whether or not the Japanese had actually surrendered and also that, as they were of the military, they had to have new orders before they could countenance such a declaration of independence?

A According to an interrogation report, an interrogation taken from YAMAMOTO, this is correct.

Q And isn't it a fact that Sukarna and Hatta went back to MAEDA and there was about seventy people waiting for them there?

A The exact number of persons gathered there I don't know, but I do know that the Bemoeda collected all members of the committee for the preparation of independence in MAEDA's house. That was the same Bemoeda organization that had kidnapped Sukarna previously.

Q And at two o'clock the next morning of the 17th they decided to proclaim the indenpendence and that was done that day by Sukarna from his own home over Bemoeda's broadcasting system at noon?

A I mention this on page 56 of my statement. I have to point out, however, that this was not the Bemoeda radio installation but the official Japanese broadcasting system.

Did the Governor-General of the Netherlands

East Indies issue a proclamation of war against Japan on or about December 8? As far as I remember, the Governor-General broadcasted or announced, rather, on the 8th of December local time that the Netherlands Government in London had announced that a state of war existed between Japan and the Netherlands. THE MONITOR: And the Netherlands East Indies. MR. LOGAN: Thank you. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Blewett. 

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. BLEWETT:

MR. BLEWETT: If the Court please, I just wish to inquire of the witness on one point.

Q What verification were you able to make of the numerous references in your statement?

THE PRESIDENT: Do you suggest that any of his references cannot be supported? If so, put them to him.

Q Where did you obtain the information that the first head of the Record Office was the then Private Secretary of Premier TOJO?

A I found mention of this in a paper. I found mention of this fact in the Chahaya. The Chahaya is a Japanese-managed newspaper -- Malay language newspaper -- rublished in Bandoeng, Java. In the Chahaya of the 15th of October, 1943, it is stated -- in this article an account is given of an interview that UTABA, the Chief, Record Office of the Central Advisory Council, gave to newspaper men. In the account of this interview it is stated that he was at one time a private secretary to Prime Minister TOJO.

Q Was the name W-A-T-A-B-E?

A The name, as spelt in this edition of the

, po

16 17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2	

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

· 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Chahaya newspaper, reads UTABA.

Q Did you check up on that account in any way?

A I don't think this detail of sufficient importance to go and check it up; but, I assume that a Japanese propaganda service had sufficient knowledge of these facts and details.

Q Did you make any ascertainment as to whether or not former Premier TOJO ever had a private secretary?

A In my investigations I have restricted myself to events and developments in Java.

MR BIEWETT: That is all.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

## BY MR. LEVIN:

Q In your statement, Major, you indicate that on the 12th of December, 1941, you were mobilized as an officer of the Reserve of the Royal Netherlands Indies Army?

A In my statement I said that I was mobilized on the 12th of December, 1941.

Q Had there been any prior mobilization of the Royal Netherlands Army?

3

5

7 8

9

10

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

2425

A Yes. The Army in Java was mobilized on the 8th of December, 1941, while the Army in the outer islands were only called up a few days later. Rather, military personnel was only called up a few days later.

Q I believe I did not make myself clear.

I would like to have you advise the Tribunal whether or not there had been a mobilization of that Army prior to December 12th or prior to December 8th, 1941?

A No general mobilization has taken place before the 8th of December.

Q Was there any type of Army in existence prior to that time?

A There was in existence a skeleton formation of a Regular Army -- a nucleus for a Regular Army -- a nucleus consisting of a Regular Army.

Q And was the machinery in existence so that it could be called into -- could be activated very quickly?

A The Regular Army was naturally all active service all along. It was the Reserve and the Militia that had to be called up.

Q Now, you state on page 1 of your affidavit that the Japanese camp authorities allowed Malay

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

language newspapers to be brought into the camps until the end of January, 1944. Will you please state generally what was the character of the published items in these newspapers?

THE PRESIDENT: Oh, do ask him something that you do have in mind. You surely do not want to know the character of the paper. There must be something in that rewspaper that you have in mind that you would like him to tell you about.

Q Did the newspapers contain Japanese propaganda?

A The newspapers contained nothing but Japanese propaganda.

Q Po you know who the editors and publishers of the papers were?

A As I have stated in my statement, the publishers were, without exception, the Japanese Propaganda Service.

Q Were your movements in the camp in any way restricted?

A I don't understand this question clearly.

Q What I mean is: Outside of the general restrictions as a prisoner of war, were your movements restricted?

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal does not want

to know that, Mr. Levin.

Q Now, as a member of the staff of the Attorney General of the NEA, did you participate in preparing the evidence in this case?

THE PRESIDENT: He says as much in his statement; so did Colonel Wild.

MR. LEVIN: Well, I will go to another question, Mr. President.

Q On what do you base the statement, in your affidavit that Japan's policy in regard to the Southern regions was broadly laid down in Tokyo for all regions alike?

A This passage is based on the findings of the Imperial Conference which, if I am not mistaken, took place on the 12th of November, 1941. This has been introduced as a document the other day in this Court. Also, this is based on various remorts and interrogations taken from Japanese authorities and Japanese military authorities who have served in Java or other parts of the Netherlands East Indies.

1 2 3 fined in separate camps. 4 I didn't quite get this. 6 7 interned personnel. 8 9 10 11 camps, I believe, on page --12 13 approximately? 14 15 16 17 workers camps were located, 18 19 camps, did you? 20 21 1945. 22 23 24 as possible? 25

Now, you speak of certain prisoner groups that -- a number in the remaining groups were con-I assume that this refers to internment? I assume that your question refers to Yes. My question refers to the statement -to that portion -- to what you say in your statement, that the remaining groups were confined in separate Can you give us the number of those groups, There were a great number of internment camps all over Java in various places, and I find it impossible to say where exactly these special so-called I presume you didn't visit any of these I did so only after the 10th of September, On what do you base your statement that the contact with the outside world was restricted as much

From hundreds of camp reports and individual

2 3

4

5

6 7

8 9

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

reports which I went through.

During your internment, did the camps receive supplies from the Red Cross?

I can only tell you about my own experiences.

And did you receive supplies?

We received Red Cross packages two times. The first time the Red Cross packages which -- were delivered to us at the end of 1944. According to the address on these packages, they had been sent approximately the end of 1942 on board the so-called Exchange Ships. The first time we received these packages, we had to divide one package amongst forty-four men. The second time we received Red Cross packages was in May, 1945. Then we received one package for eight men.

Do you know whether you received all that was forwarded or sent?

It is not known to me how many packages were sent; but I do know that there were a great many more packages in Japanese-guarded warehouses than were distributed.

Did you see these in the warehouses or do you make this statement from reports that you obtained?

I base this statement on statements made

to me by fellow interned -- fellow prisoners who had to work in these warehouses.

Q Now, on what do you base your statement that the Chinese who were interned were supporters of Chiang Kai-shek -- of the Chiang Kai-shek regime?

A The internment of the most important Chinese in Java -- in Batavia went according to a regular program -- were carried out in the following manner: They were arrested on the score of a list of people who had contributed before the Occupation to a fund in aid of the Chiang Kai-shek regime.

Q Had these Chinese been charged with any misconduct or criminal offenses?

A These Chinese, in the same way as all other interned people, were simply arrested and, without any legal proceedings, were interned.

Q Now, will you please state on what you base your statement that the Japanese requisitioned whatever took their fancy generally without any payment of compensation?

A That is based upon hundreds of affidavits and similar documents -- various documents.

Q However, compensation was paid on frequent occasions, was it not -- was made on frequent occasions, was it not?

A That is not true. It can be stated as a general rule that no payment was made at all and that, in a very few cases where compensation was made, it was a matter of surprise.

Q Was there any evidence given that payment was to be made by giving them a note of some kind or a paper of some kind indicating that payment would be made?

A Generally, there was no such paper issued. It did happen in a few cases.

Q Now, with reference to the closing of the schools, I should like to ask whether or not the conditions, because of the Occupation, were not in a chaotic state in early 1942, and because of those conditions it was practically impossible to keep the children at school at that time.

A The conditions, indeed, were rather upset -confused. But, in my opinion, it would have been
much better to send the children to school and,
therefore, help return the normal conditions.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until halfpast one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

1330.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

> 14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25 AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

KLAAS A. DE WEERD, called as a witness on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand and testified through Dutch interpreters as follows:

THE WITNESS: Mr. President, sir, before proceeding I should like to be allowed to give a clarification of something I stated this morning.

THE PRESIDENT: You better leave the prosecution re-examine on that. We do not know what you are going to say. It may be more than a clarification.

Mr. Levin.

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. LEVIN (Continued):

By August and September, 1942, the primary schools had reopened and the attendance was again normal, was it not?

10

9

11

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

A That is correct with this qualification: that these primary schools were only those for Indonesians.

Q And the secondary schools were also reopened in September?

A That is not correct to use the word "reopening" because the schools that were open were entirely new schools for Indonesians only.

And is it not a fact, by 1943 the average attendance had increased from what was normally a twelve percent of school age to about thirty and thirty-three and one-third percent?

A These numbers are completely unknown to me.

9 Have you no information on this subject whatsoever?

A I do not know about figures for the situation before the war and I have never seen any figures for the period of the Occupation.

Q Now, in your statement you indicated that meetings were limited to various groups, such as sports, scientific, cultural groups, and so forth?

A That is correct.

Q Will you please state what organizations were not permitted to meet?

A All other organizations, especially those

1 concerned with politics. 2 Now, was it not a common practice before 3 the Japanese Occupation to get permission from the 4 police to hold certain meetings? 5 That indeed was usual. A 6 Now, you refer on page ten of your statement 0 7 that the possession of money was punishable -- that 8 9 10 11 paragraph, please -- paragraph and page? 12 13 page ten, the tenth line. 14 15 continue. 16 That is correct. 17 18 19 the Army? 20 21 effective for all persons. 22 23 24

possession constituted a punishable offense. THE MONITOR: Mr. Levin, when you refer to anything in the affidavit would you give us the MR. LEVIN: It is the first paragraph on THE MONITOR: Thank you, sir. Please Is it not a fact that this applied only . to military men and Japanese civilians employed by As far as I knew this prohibition was And that this measure was taken to prevent military men and others from obtaining Dutch money from the Dutch by illicit means? A That is not known to me.

Q And is it not a fact that the Dutch money was used by the Dutch to pay their taxes?

A That was correct for -- up till the time this measure was taken -- this prohibition was laid down. After that, I believe it was in 1944, taxes hat to be paid in Japanese military scrip.

On page twelve of your statement at paragraph four, you refer to that fact that "the possession of immovable property belonging to Occidentals or governmental institutions, was transferred by the Japanese to the 'Hudoosan Kanrikoodan' established by them."

A That is true. The "Hudoosan Kanrikoodan" was established by Ordinance of 10 October 1942.

Q Is it not a fact that this property was transferred to the body called the "Tekisan Kanribu," the Enemy Property Custodian Commission?

A The relations between the Tekisan Kanribu and the Hudoosan Kanrikoodan were such that the Tekisan Kanribu handled the administration of enemy property while the Hudoosan Kanrikoodan was the body which administered the property itself. The administration by the Tekisan Kanribu was, moreover, only started in the course of 1944.

O And was not that transfer made for the

3

6

7 8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

2324

25

purposes of custody and not appropriation?

A Sources to this matter are rather confused. The ordinances issued in the initial stages mention the "milik" which means property exercised by the Army -- rights of property exercised by the army, while the word "administration" was only given and used in ordinances in the later stages.

Q You further refer to private estates being appropriated. Will you state whether or not the reason for this was because the proprietors of these estates were absent and that the custody was taken thereof by the Enemy Property Custodian Commission?

A That is only partially correct. The majority of these estates belonged to Chinese who were never interned.

THE PRESIDENT: Possession was not vacant then?

THE WITNESS: No.

Now, did you attend any of the trials by court martial where you state the proper interpretation was seldom available?

A That is correct.

O The question I am asking is: did you attend any of the court martial trials where you state that the proper interpretation, I assume of the evidence,

2 3

6

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was seldom made or available?

I have never attended such a court martial and I have obtained this information from statements by witnesses.

You have never examined a transcript of the testimony of any of these court martials?

I have read a number of translations of verdicts given by Japanese courts martial. These verdicts covered at most one or two pages. It never appeared from these translations whether a correct translation -- interpretation had been available during the sessions.

You speak of a village guard of 1,300,000 Keiboodan. Did they consist largely of Indonesians? THE MONITOR: What page and what paragraph is that, Mr. Levin, please?

MR. LEVIN: Page 21.

The Keiboodan as such consisted of Indonesians only with Japanese instructers.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q On page 25 of your statement you speak of "the same picture of obligatory membership, unilateral binding regulations." That is in all fields, relating to fields of economic activity. Will you please explain to the Tribunal what you mean by that statement?

A Page 24 of my statement stated that by ordinance the Java Izi Hookookai has been established. In Article 4 it is stated that all physicians or medical men had to become a member of this Java Izi Hookookai. In Article 1 the object of this association is defined as support to the Japanese Army. In Article 9 it is laid down that the chief of this association, the chairman of this association, is empowered to lay down regulations and to give instructions to the members. Similar regulations are to be found in ordinances establishing economic corporations.

Q Excepting as it related to Japan, did you have any serious objection to the purposes of the Putera?

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to that question on the grounds that it calls for the personal view of this witness with respect to the matter. He is here giving a survey, not his personal views.

2122

23

2.5

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

MR. LEVIN: Mr. President, he sets out at great length the functions of the Putera and their purposes as indicating purposes rather inimical to the Javanese inhabitants.

THE PRESIDENT: You can suggest to him that there was nothing objectionable in that association.

It is suggested to you there was nothing objectionable in the association, as I understand it. What is your reply?

THE WITNESS: The organization was set up entirely on Fascistic lines, and, therefore, I personally would decidedly decline to be a member of such an organization.

Q Will you please state what there was objectionable to the organization of the Moslem unions into one mother organization, the Mashumi?

THE PRESIDENT: Well, he has given an answer. In any event, the whole purpose of this is to show how completely the Japanese took possession of the people of Malaya, or the people of Java, as well as of Java.

Q Were the Eurasians who had been taken out of their positions from technical and administrative organizations subsequently replaced in those positions?

A I have never stated that all Eurasians, or all

persons of Occidental extraction were taken out of all technical and administrative functions.

Q On page 41 of your statement, paragraph 3, you mentioned that certain permission had been given for making remittances, and that this permission was not kept; that is, to make remittances to the families in Japanese-occupied parts of China.

A This promise was, as a matter of fact, not kept. As soon as this promise was given the corporation of overseas Chinese, the Kakyoo Sookai, was established, and its services were enlisted to list those Chinese who wanted to make remittances, who wanted to send remittances. Applications streamed in, but afterwards nev r again was anything heard of it.

DUTCH MONITOR: Nothing was heard concerning those contributions, those applications for contributions.

A (Continuing) It is possible that a few remittances had been made, but the majority by far of all applications have never been handled.

Q Is it not a fact that the Kakyoo Sookai made these remittances until late in 1944 when they could no longer make any remittances because communications had been suspended?

	A	I	can	only	repea	t i	what:	Lfoun	d. in.	repor	ts
con	cerr	iine	g thi	s mat	ter,	tha	t in a	a few	cases	remi	ttances
hav	e be	een	sent	, but	that	in	most	cases	they	were	never
sen	t.										

MR. LEVIN: That concluded my cross-examination, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal:

## CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

## BY MR. BROOKS:

Q Mr. Witness, when did you first start collecting documents and evidence of alleged Japanese plans, such as have been introduced in this case?

A The question is not entirely clear. Do you mean that that was the case as it is being tried here? Is that the purport of your question?

THE PRESIDENT: It was after you were interned. That ought to be enough.

Q Now, Mr. Witness, the knowledge that you gathered after your internment, was that knowledge generally known, or any of the evidence there generally known by the representatives of the Royal Netherlands Indies Army prior to the declaration of war?

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to that question on the ground that it is indefinite and 2 uncertain just what he wants. 3 THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal thinks it is 4 pointless. You are wasting a lot of time getting 6 just nothing. In general what was the knowledge as to any 8 Japanese plans that was in the hands of the authorities prior to their declaration of war? That is 10 the point I am driving at. 11 THE PRESIDENT: Will the reporter repeat that? 12 I missed a word of it. 13 (Whereupon, the last question was read 14 by the official court reporter.) 15 THE PRESIDENT: Thank you. I confess I cannot 16 understand the question; I do not expect the witness 17 to understand it. 18 Mr. Witness, do you understand the question? 19 I can only think that the question that is 20 meant is whether the Netherlands authorities had 21 knowledge of Japanese aggressive plans -- plans of 22 aggression. 23 0 Yes. 24 MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to the 25

question as being beyond the scope of the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: Beyond the scope of his knowledge, too.

A That is correct, that I have no knowledge of what was known to the Netherlands authorities of Japanese plans prior to aggression.

Q Then, I take it, Mr. Witness, that any such plans were not being discussed at that time, or were not generally known, is that correct?

THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks, you are not at your best today. Now, there is no point in that question at all.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, what I am trying to, laying the basis for, is to ask on what basis war was declared. We have a lot--

THE PRESIDENT: That is quite beyond his province. He was not the Governor-General of Java. He would not know.

MR. BROOKS: The witness has collected the opinions and has summarized a lot of statements here, and I am asking if any of the evidence produced in the court here now was available to him and was used prior to their declaration of war. That is what I am reaching.

THE PRESIDENT: You may cross-examine him on specific allegations of fact in his statement,

no

4 5

8 9

not beyond that.

MR. BROCKS: If the Tribunal please, I do not want to argue this, but I have assumed the position, if the Court please, that the statements made have been from evidence previously -- or gathered subsequent to the declaration of war in justification of that declaration of war.

THE PRESIDENT: I can only repeat: We will confine the cross-examination to statements of fact in his evidence.

BY MR. BROOKS: (Continued)

Q Now, Mr. Witness, on page 1, Roman numeral one, of your affidavit, you say that the 12th of December you were mobilized as an officer, and you served as such on the staff of the First Division--

D 1 2 a 3 & 4 E d 5 r 6

THE PRESIDENT: Don't repeat all that. It takes so long to get it and it is so useless when you get it.

O As one of the staff officers did you work generally as a -- on plans for offense rather than defense?

THE PRESIDENT: It is irrelevant and immeterial.

MR. BROOKS: If the Court please, the time such plans were drafted and prepared I think would be very relevant when Japan was not the one declaring war and had not committed any prior acts to justify such declaration. May he answer?

THE PRESIDENT: The question is disallowed.

MR. BROOKS: I had a question on the point

of plans and preparations made prior to declaring

war. Can he go into that line?

THE PRESIDENT: No.

• Mr. Witness, on what do you base the statement on page 2 that Japan's policy was broadly laid down in Tokyo?

THE PRESIDENT: He has already answered.

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, that is the question that the witness wanted to correct his answer to when he came in. I was going to on

11

8

9

10

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

2324

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

redirect ask that question so that he might indicate another document he had in mind. I wonder if he might state it at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: He may answer.

A He mentioned a court document, and it appears to be exhibit 877. It is the decisions of liaison conference between Imperial Headquarters and the Japanese Government on the 20th of November 1941. Article 2, sub 10, sub a, mentions the following: It says that all important matters concerning local government will be decided upon by consultation between military and civilian authorities on the spot.

DUTCH MONITOR: A liaison has been made between military and civilian authorities.

THE PRESIDENT: Observe the light.

A All important matters concerning local government will be decided upon by liaison conference between Imperial Headquarters and the Japanese Government.

O What year did you acquire this knowledge?

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to that question as being immaterial.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you want the answer?
MR. BROOKS: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Answer it.

A I learned about this document since I arrived in Tokyo.

Q From the time of the Occupation, the first days of the Occupation, was that actually put in practice, or do you know -- that policy?

A I can indeed answer that. I can answer that because all reports drawn up by Japanese authorities mention the fact that they acted on instructions from Tokyo only.

Q Now, these first restrictions placed on the people after Occupation -- some of them that you have outlined on page 6 -- were placed on there at the early part of the Occupation as more or less temporary measures, were they not?

A The first measure mentioned on page 6 is the dissolution of the People's Council and other councils, which has never been rescinded, which was of a permanent nature.

Q It was replaced--

A The abolition of court of law and the institution

of Gunsei Hogin again was permanent and not temporary. The abolition, the ban on all organizations excepting those concerned with sports, et cetera, again was definite and permanent. And even the supervision by the police of the few remaining organizations was also permanent. THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:) 

A b r m 28 · M 0 r 7 9 10

1

2

3

5

8

MALSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed. THE PRESIDENT: Captain Brooks.

BY MR. BROOKS (Continued):

Mr. Witness, at the first part of the Occupation there were certain security and control restrictions placed upon the population. Were any of those later modified or removed?

I have enumerated not long ago those measures mentioned on page 6 which were not removed or rescinded. As stated in paragraph 6, page 6, that the original total ban on meetings and associations by Ordinance of the 15th of July, 1942, has been modified in the case of sports organizations, and so forth.

You also mention scientific, cultural, charitable and distributing organizations in that same paragraph.

I have mentioned in my statement and explained that practically all organizations were substituted -- all organizations that existed pre-war were substituted by Japanese organizations. So, for instance, the scientific and cultural organizations were all absorbed by the Keimin Bunka Shidosha.

Let me direct your attention again to these permanent rules where, like in the Peoples' Council.

13 14

11

12

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4 5

Now, in those cases the permanent change was replacements of a different type made of a different type of organization? Now, in such substitutions it made, was it also not true that the Javanese were given control to replace the Dutch that were in control of the Peoples' Council, for instance?

A Could the question be repeated, please?

Q I will restate it this way: was not the Japanese policy where these permanent changes were made to replace Dutch control with Javanese control in those organization replacements?

A That is not correct. The key positions were taken over by Japanese, and that is equally true for key positions held by Indonesians as those held by Netherlanders.

Q But that policy that you have just described was being modified and the key positions were being replaced with Javanese being given more consideration than the Dutch toward the years 1944 and '45, was it not?

THE PRESIDENT: The whole point is to show that the Japanese went so far as to prefer one class to another in the Government of Java. We are not going to discuss the merits of that preference. It has got nothing to do with any issue.

MR. BROOKS: I won't debate at this time.

2

Now, on page 34, the second paragraph from the bottom of the page of exhibit 1351, your affidavit, was there actually a desire of the inhabitants,

5

4

the natives of this area, to have their own Army and

their independence.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: We have heard enough about that independence issue. If you can show that the independence of the Javanese was necessary for the security of Japan it is different. It is beyond the scope of this witness' statement or of his ability.

MR. BROOKS: I will drop that. It is stated that it should appear; that the propaganda service tried to make it appear at that, and I think it was actually so.

Q On page 50, the first paragraph of your affidavit, you state the policy was such as to lead the villagers to violate the rules of land warfare and force the opposing party to treat them as franctireurs. After the capitulation of Japan how were these people treated that acted in this manner?

What happened in Bekasi is a case in point. Bekasi is a place near Batavia where a British plane made a crash landing -- a British plane carrying Indian soldiers made a crash landing in November of

	10
1	
-	1
	13.1
	1
2	1
4	
	1
3	
1	
	1
	1
4	
E	1
	1
	1
5	1
5	1
	1171
	1 6
	1
6	
O	1 300
7	
1	
	1
	1
8	
8	
	100
	1
	1
0	
9	100
	1
	1
	100
10	1
10	100
	135
	1
11	
44	1
9.12	130
17	1
.12	
	1
	100
	1
12	
13	
	1
	1
14	
14	
	100
	100
ALCOHOL: N	
15	1300
2)	
-	1
16	
10	1100
	1
	1
17	1000
	1

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1945. The population of this area, scared as they were, afraid as they were, of these foreigners, horribly massacred these Indian soldiers. This actually happened in a manner, exactly in the manner which the Japanese propaganda had instructed them to act.

Q Was this prior to or after the Dutch had started their struggle to resume control over the people there?

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to that question, on the ground that it is beyond the scope of this witness' statement.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

MR. BROOKS: I have no further questions.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Cunningham.

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)

## BY MR. CUNNINGHAM:

Q Major, are you now an officer in the Netherlands Army?

A I am an officer in the Reserve of the hoyal Netherlands Indian Army.

THE PRESIDENT: We have it twice now. I don't suppose we are any better for having it twice.

	1	
		O Amo you on optive duty news
G	1	Q Are you on active duty now?
l d	2	A I have as yet not been demobilized.
Ъ	3	Q Under whose command are you at the present
e r	4	time?
50	5	A I have been assigned by the Adjutant-General
&	6	to the Attorney-General's Office temporarily
a	7	assigned to the Attorney-General's Office.
r	8	( Is the Attorney-General elected or appointed?
n	9	THE PRESEDENT: The question is immaterial,
	10	indeed irrelevant.
	11	Q Are you classified here as a member of
	12	the I. P. S. staff, or as an investigator, or
	13	simply as a witness?
	14	A I gave my exact position in the preface
	15	in the introduction to my statement, and it does
	16	not seem necessary to explain it further.
	17	Q Mr. Witness, are you classified here as a
	18	
	19	witness, member of the I. P. S. staff, or as an
	20	investigator, or simply as a witness? Answer my
	21	question!
	22	A In May, 1946, as set out in my statement,
4	23	I have been assigned to the Attorney General's Office
	24	in September, 1946 I arrived at Tokyo, and I consider

25

Q Are you on active duty now?	
A I have as yet not been demobilized.	
Q Under whose command are you at the present	
time?	
A I have been assigned by the Adjutant-General	
to the Attorney-General's Office temporarily	
assigned to the Attorney-General's Office.	
( Is the Attorney-General elected or appointed?	
THE PRESEDENT: The question is immaterial,	
indeed irrelevant.	
Q Are you classified here as a member of	
the I. P. S. staff, or as an investigator, or	
simply as a witness?	
A I gave my exact position in the preface	
in the introduction to my statement, and it does	
not seem necessary to explain it further.	
Q Mr. Witness, are you classified here as a	
witness, member of the I. P. S. staff, or as an	
investigator, or simply as a witness? Answer my	
question!	
A In May, 1946, as set out in my statement,	
I have been assigned to the Attorney General's Office	,

myself to be a representative of the Attorney-General's

Office, Batavia, for the purpose of investigations

1	to be carried out in Tokyo. So far as I know, I
2	don't have any other status.
3	Q Am I right, then, in assuming that you are
4	here as an official representative of the Attorney-
5	General of Batavia?
6	A That is correct.
7	Q Have you read the record of this trial and
3	familiarized yourself with all of the details before
9	testifying here?
10	THE PRESIDENT: The witness need not answer.
11	It is irrelevant. And you be careful to ask sensible
12	questions, or we will deal with you.
13	Q Have you testified, or has your testimony
14	been used in any trials involving Japanese defendants
15	prior to your aprearance here?
16	A No.
17	Q Have you made any reports which have been
13	used as evidence in any other trials against any
19	Japanese?
20	A No.
21	Q Has your report, which you used in testimony
22	here, been officially approved by any of the officials
23	of the Dutch East Indies?
24	A NO

THE PRESIDENT: He is here to tell the truth,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
13
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

the whole truth, and nothing but the truth; and the knowledge possessed by the Dutch East Indies! officials of his report is irrelevant.

Q Did you include in your report, which is used in evidence here, all of the favorable features about the occupation of the Dutch East Indies which you discovered in your research?

A I have tried to be as objective as possible in the report and to bring forward only the important things.

Q May we take it, then, that you have reported all of the favorable features of the Japanese Occupation as well as the matter -- other matters?

THE PRESIDENT: This Tribunal is here to decide what crimes, if any, against international law were committed by the Japanese, not to ascertain what virtues they possessed. That may come later in the case of individuals.

Q Were you in the Dutch East Indies when the liberating troops of Britain and Holland arrived?

A Yes.

Q Was this a peaceful re-entry which the troops of Britain and Dutch East -- and the Netherlands made upon their return?

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to the

question as being immaterial, irrelevant, and beyond 1 the scope of this witness' statement. 2 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I will ask him a qualifying 3 question. Q Were you in a position to determine whether 5 or not it was a peaceful Occupation from your oppor-6 tunity for observation? THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld 8 on all of the grounds stated. 9 Have you made any estimate in your studies 10 of the number of casualties which resulted from 11 12 Japanese occupation? 13 A No. 14 Have you made any estimate in your investi-15 gation as to the number of casualties which resulted 16 from the reoccupation by the Dutch and British troops? 17 MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to that 18 question as being immaterial, irrelevant, and beyond 19 the scope of the affidavit. 20 THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld on all 21 grounds. 22 Now, Mr. Witness, how much of an Army was 23 there in the Dutch East Indies when the Government 24 of the Netherlands declared war on Japan? 25 MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to that

3 4

5

6

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

25

24

question on the same grounds as the previous question. THE PRESIDENT: I will hear you on that, Mr. Cunningham.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: My purpose in asking that question is to determine the state of preparation of the Dutch East Indies to defend themselves at the time the Netherlands-in-Exile in London made. the declaration of war.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection allowed.

Mr. Witness, can you state the state of the training and the amount of the equipment of the Arm and the Navy and the home defenses of the Dutch East Indies at the time of the declaration of war?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: I might say the reason for that question is to lay the basis for the next one to show the length of time and the amount of resistance put up by the forces of the Dutch East Indies after the declaration of war.

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to that question as being beyond the scope of the affidavit, also being irrelevant.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

Now, Mr. Witness, in what manner was liaison relationships carried or between the Dutch East

3

5

6

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Indies and the Government of the Kingdom of the Netherlands after May 20, 1940?

MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to that question on the same grounds as the immediately preceding question.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

Mr. Witness, to what extent was the entire area of the Dutch East Indies occupied by the forces of Japan?

As far as I know, Java was occupied by a force numbering roughly sixty thousand men -fifty thousand men. Sumatra was, I think, occupied by a number of forty-five thousand men. Similar numbers for territories occupied by the Navy are not known to me.

How many of the residents of the Dutch East Indies, would you say, came under the occupation Forces of Japan?

I do not know exactly, but as the whole of the entire territory was occupied except for a small part of New Guinea, it is safe to say that approximately the whole population of the Netherlands East Indies came under Japanese Occupation.

To whom did the Japanese commanders in the Dutch East Indies surrender?

CROSS

They surrendered to Admiral Lord Mountbatten. And were the Dutch Forces in the Nether-2 lands East Indies or Dutch East Indies after that 3 always under the jurisdiction or command of Lord 4 Lewis Mountbatten? 5 MR. HYDE: Mr. President, I object to 6 7 that question on the grounds it is immaterial, 8 irrelevant, and beyond the scope of the witness! statement. 10 THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld. 11 MR. CKUYAMA: I am OKUYAMA, Hachiro, 12 counsel for the defendant, NAGANO, Osamu. 13 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. OKUYAMA. 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 15 BY MR. OKUYAMA: 16 You state in your statement that from the 17 beginning of 1939 you entered for the second time 18 upon your career as lawyer; but how long did this 19 period last? 20 Until 12 of December, 1941, when I was 21 demobilized. 22 Then may I understand that you have been 23 a lawyer altogether for about ten years, eight 24 years before and two years afterwards? 25

That is true.

() In those ten years, which kind of cases did 2 you take up more: civil cases or criminal cases? A During that time I was primarily concerned 4 with civil cases, but later on I took up criminal 5 cases. 6 0 In your statement you say that you were 7 interned in several internment camps in Java. How many different internment camps did you go 9 to? 10 A All together I was in eight different camps. 11 ' Q Where was the last camp in which you were 12 interned? 13 In Bandoeng. 14 Where was the internment camp in which you 15 collected data and buried them in a can? 16 That was in two different camps, in a place 17 called Tjimahi not far from Bandoeng. 18 What is the distance between those places? 19 I believe about seventeen kilometers. 20 What kind of labor did you engage in while 21 you were interned? At the beginning, as I previously stated in . 23 my statement, I was interpreter for the Malay papers --24 translator for the Malay papers. 25

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until

```
half-past nine tomorrow morning.
1
                     (Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment
2
           was taken until Tuesday, 10 December, 1946,
3
           at 0930.)
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
1.3
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Diec. 9

21